

Exploring the Efficacy of Online Synchronous Debate as a Tool for Teaching Ethics

By Lai Chun Wong & May Lee Low[‡]*

Ethical dilemmas are multifaceted and complex, comprising a diverse set of viewpoints, values, beliefs, and attitudes. They rarely have a purely right or wrong answer. Not everyone will have the same answer to an ethical dilemma. Hence, teaching ethics is about helping students build critical thinking skills so that they can consider contradictory viewpoints to come out with the best course of action in the face of conflicting choices. Post pandemic, online teaching is now a norm. This study aims to explore the effectiveness of online debate in teaching ethics. Using Kialo Edu's free argument mapping and debate web tools in a synchronous online workshop, we conducted an undergraduate Ethics and Laboratory Safety module, where the students engaged in collaborative and real-time debates on an ethical statement. Feedback was collected through an anonymous online survey to evaluate the impact on student learning. The results showed a positive impact on their learning experience. This study highlights the potential of online synchronous debate in promoting active student participation and critical thinking in ethical dilemmas.

Keywords: online learning, ethics, online debate, critical thinking, Kialo

Introduction

Ethics can be interpreted as the discipline of dealing with good and bad with commitment and moral duty. Ethics impacts the behavior and permit an individual to make the right options. The significance of ethics cannot be disregard in any level of life. Thus, ethics plays a very important role in the education of students, and learning ethics is often an important component in any undergraduate curriculum. For example, the American Chemical Society Committee on Professional Training recommends that instruction in professional ethics be part of the undergraduate chemistry curriculum (The American Chemical Society, 2016), while the degree programmes accredited by the Royal Society of Chemistry require ethics to be touched on in undergraduate chemistry courses under transferrable skills (The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2023).

However, ethical dilemmas are complex and multifaceted issues that require a deep understanding of various viewpoints, values, beliefs, and attitudes. They rarely have a straightforward answer, and individuals may have differing opinions on how to approach a particular ethical issue. Thus, the motive of ethics education is not directly learning ethics for its purpose. It's objective to deploy this body of

*Senior Lecturer, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, IMU University, Malaysia.

[‡]Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, UCSI University, Malaysia.

knowledge for two motives. The first motive is to grow intellectual dimensions that will authorize students to recognize ethical dimensions of issues and address the ethical issues. The second purpose of ethics education is important to develop critical thinking skills that enable them to analyse and evaluate multiple perspectives, consider contradictory viewpoints, and make sound decisions in the face of conflicting choices.

In this regard, debate has been shown to be an effective method to teach ethics (Hawkins, Fulford, & Phan, 2019). A debate can be defined as a thoughtful and reasoned presentation of opposing persuasive arguments. These arguments can be presented in situations such as a competition, a classroom, or a legislative group attempting to make decisions (Wasilewsky, 2023). Recently, the global pandemic has significantly changed the landscape of education, leading to the widespread adoption of online teaching as a norm. Hence, online debate may be a promising pedagogical tool in current times of ethics education.

This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of using online synchronous debate as a tool for ethics education. Specifically, this study explored the use of Kialo Edu, a free web-based tool designed for argument mapping and debate, in an undergraduate Ethics and Laboratory Safety module. By conducting a synchronous online workshop, students engaged in collaborative and real-time debate on an ethical statement. The impact on students learning was evaluated through collection of feedback via an anonymous survey. The results of this study will shed light on the positive effects of online synchronous debate on student learning experiences and highlight its potential as a pedagogical tool in ethics education.

Literature Review

Debate as an Instructional Tool

Ethics education focused solely on knowledge transfer through lecture-based approaches may be inadequate in developing students' ethical reasoning and judgment, particularly in complex ethical dilemmas. Instead of relying on rote memorization and mechanical learning, ethics education should prioritize the cultivation of critical thinking and reflective skills that can be applied to real-life scenarios. Therefore, a more multifaceted approach is required to achieve meaningful and practical learning outcomes in ethics education.

One way to achieve this is through the use of debate, which has long been recognized as an effective learning method in the classroom (Settlage, 2020). Debate requires students to take a stance on a given topic, make judgments according to their own values to defend their position, and persuade students with opposite views. This process allows students to explore and gain understanding of alternative viewpoints, thus developing critical thinking, communication, and argumentation skills (Ait Hattani, 2021; Lampkin, Collins, Danison, & Lewis, 2015; Malone & Michael, 2018; Mimouni, 2022), which are essential for ethical decision-making. Engaging in debate can also reduce students' fear of confrontation (Jouini, 2019), deepening student knowledge (Gulnaz, 2020), and developing student empathy and open-mindedness (Ait Hattani, 2021).

Furthermore, there is evidence to suggest that debate can serve as an effective instructional approach for ethics. Hogan et. al conducted a study in 2018 to assess the impact of debating on the development of ethical reasoning skills in pharmacy technician students. The results showed that students had an improved understanding of ethical dilemmas after engaging in debate (Hogan & Dunne, 2018). Similarly, in an interprofessional education (IPE) debate on ethics and professionalism as a teaching modality for first-year pharmacy and physiotherapy students, students agreed that debating ethics through IPE was a valid teaching modality. The students found the debates challenging and stimulating, and it benefited them to work as a team (Strawbridge, Barrett, & Barlow, 2014). Also in the field of pharmacy, pharmacy students were able to enhance their teamwork, peer assessment, communication, and critical evaluation skills through a series of workshops that effectively delivered course content on ethical issues, using debates as a teaching method (Hanna et al., 2014; Lampkin et al., 2015). Debate-based ethics education has also shown to improve idealistic and realistic moral judgement among undergraduate nursing students (Kim & Park, 2019).

Online Debate

The coronavirus pandemic has significantly transformed the landscape of education worldwide, necessitating a shift to online learning. Online classes have become the cornerstone of modern higher education, prompting colleges and universities to explore ways to expand their online programs. It is widely recognized that online courses can be as effective as traditional instruction, provided that appropriate methods and technologies are employed, student-to-student interaction is present, and timely feedback is provided (Gray & Diloreto, 2016). The learning outcome is primarily dependent on the teaching methods, rather than the media (Clark, 1994). Given these conditions, it stands to reason that online debates can play a role in encouraging student participation and fostering critical thinking skills in a manner similar to a face-to-face debates.

To assess the efficacy of online debates, Richardson and Ice (2010) conducted a study comparing different types of online discussions. Their research involved open-ended discussions, debates, and case-based discussions, utilizing the Practical Inquiry Model (Garrison, Anderson, & Archer, 2001) to evaluate their impact on students' critical thinking abilities. Findings revealed that debates were more effective than open-ended discussions in improving students' critical thinking achievement levels, although slightly less effective than case-based discussions. These results suggest that online debates hold promise as a valuable instructional tool for enhancing critical thinking skills in students.

Moreover, several studies have reported positive outcomes associated with the use of online debates in higher education. Mitchell (2019) investigated the effectiveness of debates in an online asynchronous social policy course. The study involved 36 participants, and a combination of qualitative and quantitative data was collected to evaluate the impact of the debate assignment. The majority of students reported that the debate assignment helped gained a better understanding of course concepts, improve their critical thinking skills, and enhance collaboration with fellow

students. Similarly, in another study by Mutiaraningrum, Kalimantan, Bambang, and Cahyono (2015), asynchronous online debates were found to have the potential to enhance critical thinking skills and promote learning autonomy among Indonesian EFL students in an argumentative writing course. Deliberate planning of debates, including assigned roles and clear expectations, facilitated students in presenting thoughtful and critical arguments. The flexibility of online debates allowed both students and instructors to engage with the material and reflect upon it. However, it is important to note that these studies also highlighted challenges in implementing online debates, such as delayed responses, student confusion, and technical issues.

By considering the aforementioned studies, it becomes evident that online debates offer valuable opportunities for enhancing critical thinking skills, promoting collaboration, and improving student engagement in online learning environments. However, there are limited studies examining the role of online synchronous debate in ethics education. Hence, further research is needed to explore the role of online synchronous debate in ethics education.

Methods

This study utilized a case study approach to explore the efficacy of online synchronous debate as a tool for teaching ethics. The research was conducted during a synchronous online workshop in the Ethics and Laboratory Safety module with a class of 25 students.

The online focused debate was selected as the teaching methodology for this study. The students were presented with a statement to debate: "Society is obliged to fund or provide medical care for individuals born with defects and disabilities, or those with special needs, regardless of cost." To facilitate the debate, we utilized Kialo Edu, a custom version of Kialo (kialo.com), which is a widely used argument mapping and debate platform specifically designed for classroom use. This platform is accessible for free and can be accessed from any internet-connected device.

In the same session prior to the debate, the students were given a briefing on how to navigate the debate site and were provided with instructions on how to contribute their arguments in real-time. The students were allowed to support or challenge the statement by contributing their pro and con claims without any limitations on the number of posts. The Kialo Edu platform also facilitated collaboration, allowing students to write counterarguments, comment on each other's claims, ask questions, give feedback, and vote on the impact of each other's contributions.

Following the online synchronous debate, a debriefing session was conducted by the facilitator to discuss the debate thread with the students. This session provided an opportunity for reflection and clarification on the arguments presented during the debate.

To evaluate the impact of the online synchronous debate on student learning, an anonymous online survey was administered using Google Forms. The survey consisted of nine questions, including two yes or no questions, five Likert scale questions, and two open-ended questions. The survey aimed to gather feedback from

the students on their experience with the debate and to assess the achievement of the project objectives. The students were given a week to complete the online survey. Table 1 presents the questions included in the survey, along with the corresponding question number, question type, and response options. The collected survey data was analyzed to gain insights into the effectiveness of the online synchronous debate as a teaching tool and its impact on student learning in the Ethics and Laboratory Safety module.

Table 1. The Questions Posted to the Students in the Survey

Question no	Question	Type of Question
Q1	Did you find the online debate site easy to use and navigate.	Yes/No
Q2	The online debate format was interesting.	Likert scale
Q3	The use of the tool encouraged my participation in the online discussion	Likert scale
Q4	Participating in the online debate sharpened my critical reasoning skills.	Likert scale
Q5	The debate tool allowed me the space to explore the arguments at my own pace.	Likert scale
Q6	Following the debate, I have better understanding of the ethical dilemma in this workshop.	Likert scale
Q7	Would you recommend the use of this method to teach science ethics again?	Yes/No
Q8	What is the best aspect of the online debate?	Open ended
Q9	Please give any other feedback/comment here (if any).	Open ended

Results

Out of the 25 number of students in the class, 11 students responded to the survey. To ensure unbiased feedback, the identity of the students remained anonymous. This anonymity was intended to encourage the students to provide honest and candid feedback without any fear of repercussions or judgment. Table 2 provides a summary of the main characteristics of the class.


Table 2. Summary of the Main Characteristics of the Class

Characteristics	n (%)
Gender	
Female	20 (80%)
Male	5 (20%)
Programme	
BSc (Hons.) Pharmaceutical Chemistry	11 (100%)
Programme mode	
Part-time	0 (0%)
Full-time	11 (100%)

Based on the survey results, it can be concluded that the use of online debate in this study to teach ethics was well received by the students. In the yes and no questions (Q1- Did you find the online debate site easy to use and navigate, and Q7- Would you recommend the use of this method to teach science ethics again?), 100% of the respondents replied yes for both questions. In the likert scale questions (Q2-6),

100% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that the online debate format was interesting, 91% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that the use of the tool encouraged their participation in the online discussion, 73% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that participating in the online debate sharpened their critical reasoning skill, 100% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that the debate tool allowed them the space to explore the arguments at their own pace, and 91% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that following the debate, they had a better understanding of the ethical dilemma (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Student Responses to Q1-7



The responses to the open-ended questions (Q8-9) are as follow:

Question	Responses
What is the best aspect of the online debate (Q8)	<p>Easy and no one will be getting mad about it.</p> <p>We can agree or disagree any statement given.</p> <p>It stimulates critical thinking and reasoning skills as well as provides a clearer picture regarding the arguments as it's displayed on the board simultaneously meanwhile it also allows multi-user.</p> <p>I dont have to voice out, i can type it out instead and i think everyone can take part in it as we don't need to voice out fast like real debate.</p> <p>Can speak out own opinion.</p> <p>Able to understand and learn different aspects of the same topic from other students.</p> <p>It opens up different perspectives for opposing teams to give them new ideas on what could be possible.</p>

	<p>It covers the overall learning outcome which made understanding the information faster and better.</p> <p>We can see each other opinion.</p> <p>Students can post up their opinions and everyone can participate in the discussion.</p> <p>Everyone was given the platform to express their opinion in a situation thats comfortable for them.</p>
<p>Please give any other feedback/comment here (if any) (Q9)</p>	<p>Better if don't share our names and opinion on screen.</p> <p>It's good to use.</p> <p>None, everything was great!!</p> <p>The name can be anonymous.</p>

Discussion

Kialo.edu Online Debate Site

The efficacy of online synchronous debate as a teaching tool for ethics was explored in this research study. One of the survey questions asked students about their experience with the online debate site, Kialo.edu, specifically focusing on its ease of use and navigation. All students who responded to the survey answered positively, indicating that they found the platform easy to use and navigate. This suggests that students quickly adapted to the site's features and functionality, positively contributing to their overall learning experience. However, it is important to note that this question only covers one aspect of the site's usability, and there are other areas, such as accessibility and user satisfaction, that could be further explored.

Accessibility plays a critical role in ensuring that the online debate platform is inclusive and can accommodate users with disabilities or special needs. Evaluating accessibility provides insights into meeting diverse student needs. User satisfaction is also important, indicating that students find the platform enjoyable, intuitive, and user-friendly. It also suggests that the platform meets their expectations and enhances their overall learning experience. Factors such as the platform's interface, functionality, responsiveness, and ease of interaction contribute to user satisfaction. Improving accessibility and user satisfaction enhances equal access and positive learning experiences. While this study focused primarily on the ease of use and navigation of Kialo.edu, future research could expand on these findings by conducting more comprehensive evaluations that explore the platform's accessibility and user satisfaction. By considering these important aspects, educators can make informed decisions about selection of online debate tools for effective ethics education.

Additionally, a comparison with other online debate tools was not made. Despite these limitations, the positive response to Kialo.edu supports its use as an

online debate platform for teaching ethics. This finding aligns with previous research that has highlighted the positive outcomes of using Kialo.edu in teaching and learning (Mahoney, 2022; Mora-López, 2019). Therefore, the platform's ease of use and navigation make it an attractive option for educators looking to incorporate online debates into their teaching practices.

While Kialo.edu offers several benefits for online debates, it is important to note that there are other online tools for conducting debates. Online platforms like Zoom, Google Docs, Debategraph, and Slack also be used in teaching and learning for conducting online debates. When selecting an online tool for debates, factors such as ease of use, accessibility, and the ability to support collaborative and structured debate should be considered.

Online Debate as a Learning Tool

Online debate has proven to be an effective learning tool in promoting student engagement and interaction in the online learning environment. By utilizing online synchronous debates, peer-to-peer interaction is encouraged, and platforms for dialogue are created, sparking interest among students.

Compared to traditional face-to-face debates, online debate offers several advantages, including increased flexibility in scheduling and location. Students can participate in debates from anywhere with an internet connection, making it particularly beneficial for those with conflicting schedules or residing in different time zones. Additionally, online debate enhances student engagement by providing an inclusive platform where all students can actively participate, regardless of class size, eliminating the passive spectator role often observed in face-to-face debates. Moreover, the recorded history of online debate discussions can be shared with those who were not present during the debate, allowing for further engagement and learning. Finally, online debate is more accessible for students with disabilities or those who may feel uncomfortable participating in traditional face-to-face debates, fostering a more inclusive learning environment and providing equal opportunities for all students to contribute.

The survey results from our study indicate that the use of online debate to teach ethics in this study was well received by the students. A majority of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that the online debate format was interesting, encouraged their participation in the online discussion, allowed them to explore arguments at their own pace, and helped them gain a better understanding of the ethical dilemma presented in the workshop. It is worth noting that online teaching often faces challenges in engaging students and promoting active participation, particularly in larger class sizes (Na & Jung, 2021). However, the implementation of online debate appears to address this problem by fostering student engagement and encouraging active involvement in the learning process.

These findings are consistent with previous studies that have explored the effectiveness of incorporating online debate into classroom learning (Tur & Marín, 2014). For example, Tur et al. conducted a study investigating the impact of using Twitter in debate activities on students' educational experience. The findings revealed that 71.7% of students reported being motivated to participate in debates

due to the use of Twitter, and almost 80% of them believed that the activity aided their comprehension of the debate topics. Additionally, 84.9% of students expressed enjoyment and learning from the activity.

Regarding the impact of participating in online debate on critical reasoning skills, the survey results showed some divergence among the participants. While a considerable percentage of participants agreed or strongly agreed that participating in the online debate sharpened their critical reasoning skills, 27% neither agreed nor disagreed. This suggests that the impact of online debate on critical reasoning skills may vary among individual students. Further research is necessary to gain a comprehensive understanding of this aspect. Additionally, the lack of consensus among participants may be attributed to the use of only one scenario for the debate, with the complexity of this scenario potentially not stimulating critical thinking for all students.

In response to the open survey question (Q9), where students were asked for additional feedback or comments, several students expressed a preference for anonymity in online debates. Several possible reasons may explain this preference. Some students may feel uncomfortable sharing their honest opinions due to fear of judgment or retaliation, particularly if their opinions or beliefs differ from their peers. Furthermore, students may choose to remain anonymous to avoid potential consequences in professional settings, where their opinions expressed in a public forum could be seen by future employers or colleagues. Additionally, personal preference or comfort may drive some students to prefer anonymity, as they feel more at ease expressing themselves online without revealing their identity.

However, while anonymity provides students with a sense of comfort and safety to express their honest opinions, it can also have drawbacks. Allowing students to participate anonymously may lead some to hide behind their keyboards, inhibiting constructive dialogue and resulting in a lack of engagement and missed opportunities for learning and growth. Anonymity may also enable the posting of inappropriate or offensive content without accountability. To address this issue, it is crucial to establish clear guidelines and expectations for online behavior and etiquette. Instructors should emphasize the importance of respectful and professional communication and set standards for appropriate language and conduct during the debate. The teacher also plays an important moderating role during these discussions, and to oversee the content. By establishing these guidelines, students will be aware of the expectations and the potential consequences for violating them, thereby fostering a constructive and inclusive online debate environment.

Apart from the privacy and anonymity highlighted by some students, one of the prominent themes that emerged from Q9 was the flexibility and ease of participation. Students appreciated the convenience of expressing their opinions through typing rather than voicing them out in real-time, allowing them to contribute at their own pace. They also valued the inclusive nature of the online debate, where everyone had the opportunity to participate and share their thoughts. Another key theme was that the online debate format stimulated critical thinking and reasoning skills. Students mentioned that the visual display of arguments on the board simultaneously provided a clearer understanding of the topic and encouraged them to analyze different perspectives. They also appreciated the collaborative nature of

the platform, as it allowed them to learn from other students and gain new ideas from opposing teams.

Limitation and Future Study

The present study has several limitations that should be acknowledged, highlighting the need for further research to enhance our understanding of the efficacy of online synchronous debate as a tool for teaching ethics. Firstly, the study's small sample size limited the consideration of demographic differences among participants, potentially impacting the generalizability of the findings to other populations or contexts. Therefore, future research should aim to include larger and more diverse samples, considering demographic factors such as gender.

Secondly, the study solely relied on self-reported feedback from students through an online survey, introducing potential subjectivity and response biases. The Likert scale used in the survey may not fully capture the complexity of students' learning outcomes, and the limited scope of the survey with only two open-ended questions restricted students from providing in-depth feedback. Students may have also answered in a way they thought would please the facilitator. To overcome these limitations, future studies could adopt a mixed-methods approach, incorporating qualitative interviews or focus groups, to gather more nuanced and comprehensive insights into students' experiences and perceptions of online debate as a teaching tool for ethics.

Furthermore, the study did not assess the long-term impact of online debate on students' learning outcomes beyond the immediate workshop. It is essential to examine whether the effects of online debate are sustained over time and whether they lead to significant changes in students' attitudes and behaviors towards ethical issues. Future research should employ longitudinal designs and evaluate learning outcomes at multiple time points to gain a better understanding of the sustained impact of online debate as a teaching methodology for ethics.

Conclusion

The findings of this study suggest that online synchronous debate can be an effective teaching tool for ethics education. The survey results indicate that the use of online debate was well received by the students, with 100% of the respondents finding the online debate site easy to use and navigate and recommending the use of this method to teach science ethics again. The majority of the students agreed or strongly agreed that the online debate format was interesting, encouraged their participation, sharpened their critical reasoning skills, allowed them to explore arguments at their own pace, and helped them gain a better understanding of the ethical dilemma.

The open-ended responses further support the positive aspects of online debate, highlighting the opportunities for students to express their opinions comfortably, engage in critical thinking and reasoning, and learn from different perspectives.

However, it is worth noting that some students expressed a preference for anonymity during the online debate, emphasizing the need for a safe and judgment-free environment for open discussions. While anonymity can provide students with a sense of safety and comfort, it is important to balance this with the need for responsibility to take ownership of their comments.

While this study provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of online debate in teaching ethics, there are limitations that need to be addressed. The small sample size and reliance on subjective feedback through an online survey limit the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the study did not assess the long-term impact of online debate on students' learning outcomes and attitudes towards ethical issues.

In conclusion, while this study highlights the positive reception and benefits of online synchronous debate for ethics education, further research is warranted to fully explore its potential and address the limitations identified. By continuing to investigate and refine the use of online debate, educators can leverage this interactive and inclusive tool to foster critical thinking, enhance student engagement, and promote a deeper understanding of ethical dilemmas.

References

- Ait Hattani, H. (2021). Communicative language teaching in support of critical thinking: The role of in-class debate. *Revue Linguistique et Référentiels Interculturels*, 2(1), 41-49.
- Clark, R. E. (1994). Media will never influence learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 42(2), 21-29.
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2001). Critical thinking, cognitive presence, and computer conferencing in distance education. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 21(1), 7-23.
- Gray, J. A., & Diloreto, M. (2016). The effects of student engagement, student satisfaction, and perceived learning in online learning environments. *NCPEA International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation*, 11(1).
- Gulnaz, F. (2020). Fostering Saudi EFL learners' communicative, collaborative and critical thinking skills through the technique of in-class debate. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 10(5), 265-283.
- Hanna, L.-A., Barry, J., Donnelly, R., Hughes, F., Jones, D., Lavery, G., et al. (2014). Using debate to teach pharmacy students about ethical issues. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 78(3), 57.
- Hawkins, W. A., Fulford, M., & Phan, S. V. (2019). Using debates as the primary pedagogy to teach critical care in a PharmD curriculum elective course. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 11(9), 943-948.
- Hogan, S., & Dunne, J. (2018). Evaluating the effectiveness of a focused debate on the development of ethical reasoning skills in pharmacy technician students. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 82(6), 660-669.
- Jouini, S. (2019). The Role of Competitive Debating in Skills and Knowledge Building Among ESL Learners in Tunisia. *The Journal of Teaching and Education*, 9(1), 67-76.
- Kim, W. J., & Park, J. H. (2019). The effects of debate-based ethics education on the moral sensitivity and judgment of nursing students: A quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Education Today*, 83.

- Lampkin, S. J., Collins, C., Danison, R., & Lewis, M. (2015). Active learning through a debate series in a first-year pharmacy self-care course. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 79(2), 25.
- Mahoney, J. (2022). Drawing the line: Integrating Kialo to deepen critical thinking in debate. *Journal of Foreign Language Education and Research*, 3, 175-182.
- Malone, Y., & Michael, T. (2018). Collaborative learning and critical thinking skills: Effects of a debate-based pedagogy. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*, 10(1), 61-69.
- Mimouni, A. (2022). EFL student's perceptions of using debates as an instructional tool to enhance critical thinking. In K. EL Hiani & N. Trimasse (eds.), *Teaching English as a Foreign Language in Morocco: Perspectives and Aspirations, Chapter 1* (pp. 1-12). Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Mitchell, E. T. (2019). Using debate in an online asynchronous social policy course. *Online Learning Journal*, 23(3), 21-33.
- Mora-López, N. (2019). The use of Kialo in the online ESL writing university classroom. In *Congreso Internacional Nebrija En Lingüística Aplicada a La Enseñanza de Lenguas: En Camino Hacia El PlurilingüismoAt: Universidad Nebrija*, Madrid (Spain).
- Mutiaraningrum, I., Kalimantan, W., Bambang, I., & Cahyono, Y. (2015). Online debate in argumentative writing course: Potentials and challenges. *International Journal of Language and Linguistics*, 2(4), 43-54.
- Na, S., & Jung, H. (2021). Exploring university instructors' challenges in online teaching and design opportunities during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 20(9), 308-327.
- Settlage, D. M. (2020). Breaking through student bias with creative debate assignments. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 20(1), 155-157.
- Strawbridge, J. D., Barrett, A. M., & Barlow, J. W. (2014). Interprofessional ethics and professionalism debates: Findings from a study involving physiotherapy and pharmacy students. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 28(1), 64-65.
- The American Chemical Society (2016). *Academic professional guidelines*. 5th Edition. Available at: <https://www.acs.org/careers/career-services/ethics/academic-professional-guidelines.html>.
- The Royal Society of Chemistry (2023). *Accreditation of degree programmes*. Available at: [rsc.li/degree accreditation](https://www.rsc.li/degree-accreditation).
- Tur, G., & Marín, V. I. (2014). Enhancing learning with the social media: student teachers' perceptions on Twitter in a debate activity. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 4(1), 46-43.
- Wasilewsky, M. (2023). *What is Debate? | Definition & Overview*. Available at: <https://study.com/academy/lesson/what-is-debate-definition-overview.html>.