Athens Journal of Psychology - Volume 1, Issue 3, September 2025 – Pages 139-150

Queering Psychology Education: Fostering Inclusivity and Destigmatization in Academic Curricula and Mental Health Practices

By Nikolaos Souvlakis[*] & Damien Ridge[±]

The integration of queer perspectives into psychology education is a critical step toward fostering inclusivity, cultural competence, and ethical responsibility within the discipline. Historically, psychology has operated within a heteronormative framework, marginalizing queer identities and perpetuating stigma through exclusionary curricula and outdated diagnostic practices. This exclusion has contributed to significant mental health disparities among LGBTQ+ individuals, who experience higher rates of anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation due to systemic discrimination and inadequate mental health support. Incorporating queer theories, intersectional frameworks, and empirical research into psychology curricula is essential for preparing future professionals to provide affirming and effective care. Studies indicate that exposure to inclusive educational content enhances cultural competence, reduces bias, and fosters greater empathy among mental health practitioners. Additionally, experiential learning, faculty diversity, and collaboration with LGBTQ+ organizations are crucial for ensuring a comprehensive and representative psychology education. Overcoming systemic barrierssuch as institutional resistance and faculty training deficitsrequires a concerted effort to reform curricula, expand research on LGBTQ+ mental health, and engage queer voices in educational development. Ultimately, integrating queer perspectives into psychology education is not merely an enhancement but a necessity, ensuring that mental health professionals are equipped to support diverse identities with empathy, knowledge, and respect.

Keywords: *Queer-Inclusive Education, Heteronormativity, Psychological Stigma, Cultural Competency.*

Introduction

The incorporation of queer perspectives in psychology education is not merely an enhancement but a fundamental necessity that addresses the persistent omissions and biases prevalent in contemporary academic curricula and mental health practices (Ferguson, 2023; Riggs & Treharne, 2017). Conventional psychology has historically operated within a heteronormative framework promoting the heterosexuality as the normative human sexuality while holding binary assumptions about genders (Ray & Parkhill, 2021), often marginalising or entirely excluding the experiences and identities of queer individuals. Even in more subtle ways, clinical settings may marginalize queer clients by; Assuming heterosexuality or binary gender identities when discussing relationships or mental health concerns. Lacking knowledge of unique stressors queer individuals face, such as minority stress, which affects mental health due to chronic

[*]Senior Lecturer/Programme Leader, University of Westminster, UK.
[±] Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Westminster, UK.

https://doi.org/10.30958/ajpsy.1-3-1 doi=10.30958/ajpsy.1-3-1

discrimination. Failing to offer affirmative care, which validates and supports queer identities rather than viewing them as risk factors for mental distress. This exclusion creates significant gaps in both understanding and ways of addressing the mental health needs of this diverse population. As social awareness of queer identities expands, the urgency of integrating inclusive perspectives into psychology education becomes increasingly important

Literature Review

Empirical research consistently demonstrates that queer individuals experience disproportionately higher rates of mental health issues, including anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation, compared to their heterosexual counterparts. This research consistently demonstrates that queer individuals experience disproportionately higher rates of mental health issues, including anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation, compared to their heterosexual counterparts. For instance, a longitudinal analysis of UK data from 2010 to 2021 revealed that psychological distress increased for gay men, lesbians, and women with "other" orientations. (Bai et al., 2024; Cipollina, Eddy, & Sanchez, 2024). These poorer outcomes can largely be attributed to stigma, discrimination, and systemic marginalisation (reference). Although there have been improvements in some areas (e.g. American Psychological Association and British Association for Counsellors and Psychotherapists have guidelines for working with LGBTQ+ individuals, traditional psychology curricula frequently overlook queer realities, failing to equip future mental health professionals with the necessary knowledge and skills to support clients effectively. This educational negligence perpetuates a cycle of misunderstanding and misdiagnosis, which not only endangers the mental well-being of queer individuals but also reinforces broader cultural stigmas surrounding queer identities. many psychologists trained under outdated curricula lack sufficient knowledge of LGBTQ+ mental health, leading to misdiagnosis in clinical settings. A common example is the misdiagnosis of gender dysphoria as borderline personality disorder (BPD) in transgender clients. Studies indicate that some mental health professionals conflate gender identity distress with symptoms of BPD (such as identity instability), failing to recognize the client's struggle as related to gender identity rather than a personality disorder (Rodriguez-Seijas et al., 2023)

The integration of queer perspectives is crucial to cultivating an inclusive and effective psychology curriculum. Research highlights that the exclusion of LGBTQ+ perspectives from education not only limits students' understanding of human diversity but also contributes to biased clinical practices that fail to meet the needs of queer individuals (Bidell & Stepleman, 2017). An effective curriculum must go beyond acknowledging the challenges faced by queer individuals; it must critically examine the cultural, social, and historical factors that shape their lived experiences. For instance, the pathologization of homosexuality in early editions of the DSM led to harmful therapeutic practices, such as conversion therapy, which persisted due to a lack of critical engagement with queer scholarship (Drescher, 2015). To prevent such harmful misconceptions, psychology programs must incorporate queer theories, empirical research, and intersectional frameworks into core courses, including

developmental psychology, clinical training, and social psychology (McDermott et al., 2018). This approach equips future mental health professionals with the skills to challenge heteronormative assumptions and recognize the diverse experiences of LGBTQ+ individuals. For example, a clinician trained in intersectionality may better understand how a Black transgender womans mental health challenges are shaped not only by transphobia but also by racial discrimination and socioeconomic barriers (Cipollina, Eddy, & Sanchez, 2024). By integrating these perspectives, educators can foster a more nuanced and empathetic approach that prepares students to engage effectively with clients from diverse backgrounds. Moreover, the promotion of such inclusivity in psychology education serves as a vital countermeasure against the stigma surrounding queer identities. Research indicates that stigma remains a significant barrier to mental health care for LGBTQ+ individuals, with studies showing that nearly 30-50% of queer individuals avoid seeking psychological support due to fear of discrimination or past negative experiences with clinicians (Meyer, 2012; Ploderl, Mestel, & Fartacek, 2022). For instance, transgender individuals often report being misgendered or having their gender identity invalidated in therapeutic settings, leading to a profound distrust in mental health services (Budge et al., 2013). When psychology education actively integrates queer perspectives, it challenges these stereotypes and misinformation, fostering a more affirming clinical environment. A concrete example of this is the adoption of LGBTQ+ affirmative therapy models, which have been shown to improve therapeutic outcomes by addressing minority stress and validating queer experiences (Horne, 2020). By incorporating these models into training programs, psychology educators can help dismantle deeply ingrained biases, ensuring that future mental health professionals provide competent and compassionate care to LGBTQ+ clients. When psychological education actively integrates queer perspectives, it challenges stereotypes and misinformation that perpetuate discrimination. This affirmative approach not only empowers queer individuals but also normalises discussions of gender and sexual diversity within academic and clinical settings. Future psychologists equipped with a robust understanding of queer issues are better positioned to provide compassionate, informed, and culturally competent care, thereby reducing the barriers that queer individuals frequently encounter in therapeutic contexts (Leitch, McGeough, & Arguello, 2023).

Historically, queer identities have been subjected to pathologisation and stigmatisation within psychology, profoundly shaping contemporary perceptions and practices. From the late nineteenth to the late twentieth century, homosexuality was classified as a mental disorder in psychiatry, with LGBTQ+ individuals being viewed as subjects of scientific control rather than as complex human beings deserving of understanding and empathy. The inclusion of homosexuality in the first edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-I) in 1952 exemplifies the political nature of diagnosis. This classification reinforced negative stereotypes and legitimised discrimination, leading to widespread misunderstandings and mistreatment of queer individuals across various social sectors, including healthcare (Sharon, 2023). Although the American Psychiatric Association removed homosexuality from the DSM-II in 1973 after intense political pressure, the legacy of pathologisation continues to affect the experiences of queer individuals in therapeutic contexts. Many psychologists, influenced by outdated

training and societal norms, have maintained biases that shape their perceptions of LGBTQ+ clients (Lytle, 2014; Pedersen et al., 2024). Recognising this historical context is essential to understanding the persistence of stigma and misunderstanding in contemporary mental health practices. Research indicates that many mental health professionals still lack adequate training in affirmative LGBTQ+ care, often resulting in a continued sense of alienation among queer clients seeking support (Ploderl et al., 2022).

The lack of queer perspectives from psychology curricula perpetuates a narrow understanding of human sexuality and identity, depriving students of a comprehensive view of psychological diversity in the population. This not only limits their educational experience but also sustains stereotypes about queer identities among future mental health professionals. When psychology education disregards queer perspectives, it fails to provide emerging psychologists with the necessary tools to challenge their biases and destigmatise the experiences of their clients (Tomicic et al., 2020). One imperative to integrating queer perspectives into psychology education is underscored by a growing body of research demonstrating the positive outcomes of inclusive curricula on the mental health and well-being of LGBTQ+ populations. Studies have shown that the absence of queer-inclusive content in psychology education perpetuates stigma and can significantly impact the therapeutic alliance between professionals and LGBTQ+ clients (McGeough & Aguilera, 2020). Research further indicates that students exposed to inclusive materials exhibit decreased levels of prejudice and an increased understanding of LGBTQ+ issues (Heredia & Rider, 2020). This shift in perspective is crucial, as mental health professionals with a comprehensive understanding of queer experiences are better equipped to navigate the complexities of their clients' lives, fostering an environment conducive to healing and acceptance.

Furthermore, the intersectionality (how identities/social positions overlap and interact to create unique experiences of oppression and privilege) of queerness with other identities heightens the necessity for inclusive education. Studies highlight that LGBTQ+ individuals frequently belong to multiple identity and social groups including along the lines of disabilities, ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status, In turn, these intersections influence mental health outcomes (Cipollina et al., 2024). Studies show that Black transgender women face disproportionately high rates of unemployment (26%) and housing instability (41%) compared to both cisgender Black women and white transgender individuals (National Center for Transgender Equality, 2020). These intersecting oppressions contribute to chronic stress, heightened vulnerability to mental health issues, and reluctance to seek professional support due to past discriminatory experiences in healthcare settings (Singh & McKleroy, 2011).

If her therapist has not been trained in intersectional frameworks within psychology education, they might only focus on one aspect of her identity such as gender dysphoria while overlooking the compounded effects of racial discrimination and economic precarity. Without an inclusive and intersectional approach, there is a risk of misdiagnosis or ineffective treatment that fails to address the root causes of distress. However, a clinician trained in intersectional, queer-affirmative therapy would recognize how these overlapping factors shape her lived experience and tailor interventions accordingly, ensuring culturally competent and holistic care (Mizock & Lundquist, 2016).

By incorporating intersectional frameworks into psychology education, future professionals can develop a more nuanced understanding of the barriers and opportunities faced by LGBTQ+ individuals, enabling them to tailor interventions and advocacy efforts accordingly. One transformative aspect of queer-inclusive curricula is the ß potential to normalise discussions about gender and sexual diversity. Educational approaches that challenge heteronormative assumptions and expose students to the lived realities of queer individuals can enhance empathy and cultural humility among students. This, in turn, creates a more inclusive academic environment, benefiting both LGBTQ+ students and future professionals by fostering an ethos of acceptance and understanding (Ginicola et al., 2017).

Methodological Orientation

Based on our empirical data from teaching, clinical practice, and supervising psychologists and psychotherapists, we believe that the integration of queer perspectives in psychology education is crucial for cultivating a competent and inclusive mental health workforce where everybody matters. Our experiences in both academic and clinical settings consistently reveal that many trainees and early-career mental health professionals enter the field with limited exposure to LGBTQ+ affirmative frameworks. This gap in education often results in uncertainty or discomfort when working with queer clients, sometimes leading to misdiagnosis, ineffective interventions, or even unintentional reinforcement of stigma (Bidell, 2017).

For instance, in our supervision of trainees, we frequently encounter cases where students default to heteronormative assumptions for example, assuming a clients distress is rooted in their queer identity rather than external factors such as discrimination or family rejection. Some trainees also struggle with applying intersectional perspectives, failing to recognize how race, disability, and socioeconomic status interact with a clients queer identity to shape their mental health needs (Mizock & Lundquist, 2016). These observations align with research highlighting that psychology students trained in LGBTQ+ affirmative care exhibit greater competency, reduced biases, and improved client outcomes (O'Shaughnessy & Spokane, 2013).

In our teaching roles, we have also observed that students who are exposed to queer theory and LGBTQ+ case studies show marked improvements in their ability to think critically about psychological frameworks. In class discussions, many report that learning about heteronormativity, minority stress, and intersectionality challenges their pre-existing assumptions and enhances their empathy for clients with marginalized identities. These qualitative findings are reinforced by empirical studies demonstrating that integrating queer perspectives into psychology curricula leads to lower levels of implicit bias and greater self-efficacy among trainees in working with LGBTQ+ populations (Moradi et al., 2020).

Discussion

As studies demonstrate, LGBTQ+ individuals face significant mental health disparities, which are largely due to stigma, discrimination, and the lack of affirmative (i.e. recognising, validating, and supporting identities and experiences) LGBTQ+ care (Cipollina et al., 2024). To address these challenges, psychology curricula must include both theoretical and practical training that reflects the diverse realities of queer lives. Such an approach requires a deep understanding of the historical and social contexts that have shaped LGBTQ+ identities and standing in society, and the unique struggles queer individuals face, including discrimination and exclusion from healthcare systems e.g. puberty blockers for trans in the UK (Zeeman et al., 2019).

Bidell and Stepleman (2017) argue that an effective competence framework for mental health professionals should incorporate knowledge of diverse gender and sexual identities and the cultural nuances that inform these identities. Such education is vital to prevent the pathologisation of LGBTQ+ clients and to help clinicians challenge traditional heteronormative frameworks. The incorporation of queer theory (a critical approach that challenges normative understandings of gender and sexuality, arguing that identities should be considered fluid, socially constructed, and shaped by power dynamics) into psychology courses can equip students with the critical tools necessary to recognize how identities can be marginalised within conventional psychological models (McDermott et al., 2018; Ruments, et al., 2018). Furthermore, training in LGBTQ+ competencies prepares professionals to address the specific needs of queer clients by fostering a deeper understanding of minority stress (refers to the chronic stress experienced by individuals from marginalized groups due to stigma, discrimination, and social exclusion, which negatively impacts mental and physical health) and its impact on mental health (Meyer, 2003; Testa et al., 2015).

In line with this, experiential learning is helpful to developing empathy and competence among psychology students. Clinical training experiences that expose students to real-world LGBTQ+ client as case studies provide opportunities to apply theoretical knowledge while building emotional and professional competencies (Bidell & Stepleman, 2017). This approach is reinforced by research that highlights the importance of involving LGBTQ+ individuals in the educational process whether as guest speakers, educators, or role models ensuring that the curriculum is reflective of the voices and experiences of those it aims to support (Jeffery & Tweed, 2014).

Moreover, professional ethical guidelines emphasize the necessity of culturally competent care. The American Psychological Association (2000) provides clear guidelines for psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients, underscoring the need for clinicians to offer a nonjudgmental, inclusive environment that respects the diverse sexual and gender identities of clients. Inadequate training on LGBTQ+ issues perpetuates disparities in care and contributes to systemic inequalities in healthcare access (Harper et al., 2013). The failure to equip mental health professionals with these competencies could reinforce biases that further alienate LGBTQ+ individuals in therapeutic settings.

A commitment to integrating LGBTQ+ competencies also addresses a significant ethical imperative in the field: the duty to do no harm. Studies show that without adequate training on topics such as cisnormativity, heteronormativity, and intersectionality, professionals may unintentionally perpetuate harmful stereotypes or miss the specific needs of their clients (Winters & Randall, 2010). By adopting a framework as proposed by Bidell and Stepleman (2017), i.e. emphasising cultural humility, self-awareness, advocacy, intersectionality, minority stress theory, and affirmative therapeutic practices, psychology programs can improve their ability to provide culturally competent care while fostering an inclusive environment where all identities are affirmed.

Additionally, the inclusion of LGBTQ+ perspectives has gained traction in several universities, such as the University of Toronto Scarborough, which introduced a course on "Queer Psychology" aimed at addressing the gaps in traditional psychological theories. This course has been instrumental in enhancing students' awareness of gender and sexual diversity, thus contributing to the reduction of stigma surrounding queer identities (Bleasdale et al., 2024). Such curriculum reforms underscore the importance of institutional commitment to inclusivity, challenging long-standing paradigms that have historically marginalized LGBTQ+ identities. Likewise, universities like the University of Amsterdam have embedded queer perspectives throughout their programs, offering both theoretical knowledge and practical experiences that prepare students to engage with LGBTQ+ clients effectively.

However, while there is growing momentum for curricular reform, systemic barriers still pose significant challenges. Institutional resistance, financial constraints, and a shortage of trained faculty capable of teaching LGBTQ+ issues all hinder the widespread integration of queer perspectives into psychology education (Goldfried, 2019). Overcoming these barriers requires a concerted effort to diversify faculty, secure targeted funding, and ensure that curricula are continually updated to reflect the evolving needs of LGBTQ+ populations. Engaging LGBTQ+ students in the process of curricular reform is essential to ensure that their needs and experiences are adequately represented. By creating spaces where LGBTQ+ voices are heard, universities can cultivate a more inclusive academic environment. These efforts will help dismantle the power dynamics that have historically relegated queer identities to the margins, ultimately fostering a more equitable and effective psychology education system (Monro, 2021).

Implications for Psychology Education

Educators need to be equipped with the tools, knowledge, and training necessary to confront their own biases and effectively teach about queer theories and identities. Therefore, we propose that workshops, training programs, and ongoing professional development opportunities are needed to help educators become more inclusive, reflective, and supportive in their teaching approach. Educators trained to teach about queer identities can create a more supportive academic environment that encourages acceptance, challenges stereotypes, and results in a more compassionate and understanding educational experience for students. For example, workshops

focused on queer identities can offer educators practical strategies for adapting their teaching methods, making them not only effective but sensitive to the needs of queer students.

Additionally, universities will need to prioritise the recruitment of a diverse faculty, including individuals who can offer queer perspectives in the classroom, if they are to produce psychologists who can respond to the full range of human experiences. Representation in academia is also critical for students, who are more likely to feel validated in their identity when they see their experiences reflected in their instructors. The presence of queer faculty not only provides students with role models, but also fosters a culture of inclusivity that benefits all students. Institutions should actively recruit and support queer academics, ensuring that their contributions are integrated into the curriculum. This approach not only enriches psychology programs but also reflects the diverse perspectives that are essential to the discipline (Salpietro, Ausloos, & Clark, 2019).

Collaboration between educators, mental health professionals, and LGBTQ+ community organizations plays a crucial role in creating a more inclusive psychology curriculum. Mental health professionals, educators, and LGBTQ+ advocates must work together to ensure that psychological training programs are responsive to the realities faced by queer individuals. Partnerships with LGBTQ+ organizations offer future mental health practitioners valuable opportunities to engage directly with the community, challenging preconceived notions and fostering empathy (Smith-Millman, Harrison, Pierce, & Flaspohler, 2019). These relationships allow educators to design more inclusive curricula that reflect the diversity of queer experiences, addressing gaps in programs that fail to represent or misrepresent queer issues. This collaboration strengthens academic content and empowers LGBTQ+ individuals, reinforcing their presence within academic and professional frameworks.

Conclusion

The integration of queer perspectives into education can also lead to transformative changes in the mental health field. As educators and mental health professionals become more attuned to the intersectionality of queer identities including how race, gender, socioeconomic status, and disability intersect with sexual orientation and gender identity they can offer more culturally competent care (Smith, Altman, Meeks, & Hinrichs, 2019). A nuanced understanding ensures that queer individuals receive the mental health support they need and deserve, ultimately improving therapeutic outcomes. Additionally, such training fosters an environment where queer identities are not marginalized but celebrated as integral aspects of the human experience (Serchen, Hilden, & Beachy, 2024).

Future research in queer-inclusive psychology education should explore the impact of microaggressions, such as subtle forms of discrimination in clinical and academic settings. These might include a therapist assuming a clients partner is of the opposite sex, misgendering a transgender client despite prior correction, or questioning the legitimacy of non-binary identities. In educational contexts, microaggressions can manifest through exclusionary language in textbooks, lack of

representation in course materials, or professors dismissing LGBTQ+ concerns as "niche issues." Research should investigate how these repeated, seemingly small instances contribute to cumulative stress, identity trauma, and avoidance of mental health services among LGBTQ+ individuals.and resultant identity trauma on LGBTQ+ populations. Microaggressions subtle, often unconscious expressions of biascan significantly affect mental health. As Smith-Millman et al. (2019) and others have highlighted, these minor but repetitive incidents create a hostile environment that can severely impact the well-being of LGBTQ+ individuals. Incorporating discussions on microaggressions into psychology curricula would provide future professionals with the tools to identify and address these issues in clinical practice, improving their ability to offer supportive, nonjudgmental care.

Similarly, addressing identity trauma the psychological distress caused by the invalidation or denial of one's identity due to societal rejection is essential in psychology education. Research linking identity trauma to mental health outcomes will enhance understanding of the unique challenges queer individuals face. By addressing identity trauma, mental health professionals can foster therapeutic environments that validate queer identities and facilitate healing from societal rejection and marginalization (Serchen et al., 2024). Incorporating an intersectional approach is crucial. Factors such as race, socioeconomic status, and geographic location deeply shape the experiences of microaggressions and identity trauma within queer populations. Understanding these intersecting identities ensures that future practitioners can offer a holistic and inclusive approach to care, one that addresses the full range of challenges faced by queer individuals, particularly in underserved communities (Van Ryn & Fu, 2003; Smith et al., 2019).

Finally, research on the effectiveness of queer-inclusive therapeutic interventions is vital. Investigating which therapeutic strategies affirm queer identities and improve the therapeutic process for LGBTQ+ clients can offer evidence-based practices for educators. Qualitative research that captures the narratives of LGBTQ+ individuals in therapy will bridge the gap between theory and lived experience, ensuring that psychology programs equip future professionals to provide competent, compassionate care (Smith-Millman et al., 2019).

Integrating queer perspectives into psychology education is not just an academic enhancement but an ethical and professional necessity. Without meaningful inclusion, psychology risks perpetuating outdated biases, contributing to the marginalisation of LGBTQ+ individuals, and failing to equip future professionals with the competencies required for culturally responsive care. However, achieving full integration remains an ongoing challenge due to institutional resistance, faculty training deficits, and the persistence of heteronormative frameworks in both academia and clinical practice. To overcome these barriers, psychology education must implement structural changes, such as mandatory LGBTQ+ competency training for faculty, curricular reforms that embed queer perspectives across all psychology subfields, and stronger collaborations between universities and LGBTQ+ advocacy organisations. Additionally, institutions must address resistance by fostering open dialogue, providing educators with the necessary resources to challenge biases, and actively recruiting diverse faculty who can bring lived and academic expertise to these discussions. By embracing these strategies, psychology can evolve into a field that not only acknowledges but actively

affirms the lived realities of LGBTQ+ individuals. The goal is not just to include queer perspectives but to ensure that they become foundational in shaping future mental health practice. A truly inclusive psychology education does more than recognise diversity it empowers future professionals to dismantle stigma, challenge systemic inequalities, and foster a mental health landscape that prioritises the well-being of all individuals, regardless of their gender identity or sexual orientation.

References

American Psychological Association (2000) Guidelines for psychotherapy with lesbian, gay, and bisexual clients. American Psychologist, 55, 14401451.

Amney Harper P, Finnerty P, Martinez M, Brace A, Crethar HC, Loos B, Harper B, Graham S, Singh A, Kocet M, Travis L, Lambert S, Burnes T, Dickey LM, Hammer TR (2013) Association for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Issues in Counseling competencies for counseling with lesbian, gay, bisexual, queer, questioning, intersex, and ally individuals. *Journal of LGBT Issues in Counseling*, 7(1), 243.

Bai Y, Kim C, Levitskaya E, Burneiko N, Ienciu K, Chum A (2024) Long-term trends in mental health disparities across sexual orientations in the UK: a longitudinal analysis (2010-2021). *Social Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiology.* doi: 10.1007/s00127-024-02751-w.

Bidell MP (2017) The Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Development of Clinical Skills Scale (LGBT-DOCSS): Establishing a new interdisciplinary self-assessment for health providers. *Journal of Homosexuality,* 64(10), 14321460.

Boroughs MS, Bedoya CA, O'Cleirigh C, Safren SA (2015) Toward defining, measuring, and evaluating LGBT cultural competence for psychologists. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice,* 22(2), 151171.

Budge SL, Katz-Wise SL, Tebbe EN, Howard KAS, Schneider CL, Rodriguez A (2013) Transgender emotional and coping processes: Facilitative and avoidant coping throughout gender transitioning. *The Counseling Psychologist,* 41(4), 601647.

Cipollina R, Eddy Z, Sanchez DT (2024) Contested sexual identities and bi+ identity disclosure experiences. *Journal of Bisexuality,* 24(1), 125.

Dagoberto Heredia G, Nic Rider G (2020) Intersectionality in sex therapy: Opportunities for promoting sexual wellness among queer people of color. *Current Sexual Health Reports,* 12(3), 195201.

Ferguson A (2023) *Intersectional Approaches to Queer Psychology*. In Queer Psychology: Intersectional Perspectives (pp. 15-32). Springer.

Ginicola MM, Sanabria S, Filmore JM, DeVoll M (2017) *Counseling gay male clients, affirmative counseling with LGBTQI+ people*. In A. M. Singh (Ed.), Affirmative counseling with LGBTQI+ people (pp. 151170). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119375517.ch12.

Harper A, Finnerty P, Martinez M, Brace A, Crethar HC, Loos B, Harper B, Graham S, Singh A, Kocet M, Travis L, Lambert S, Burnes T, Dickey LM, Hammer TR (2013) Association for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Issues in Counseling competencies for counseling with lesbian, gay, bisexual, queer, questioning, intersex, and ally individuals. *Journal of LGBT Issues in Counseling*, 7(1), 243. https://doi.org/10.1080/15538605.2013.755444

Heredia D, Rider N (2020) Intersectionality in sex therapy: Opportunities for promoting sexual wellness among queer people of color. *Current Sexual Health Reports,* 12(3), 195201.

Horne SG (2020) The challenges and promises of transnational LGBTQ psychology: Somewhere over and under the rainbow. *American Psychologist*, 75(9), 13581371.

Jeffery MK, Tweed AE (2014) Clinician self-disclosure or clinician self-concealment? Lesbian, gay, and bisexual mental health practitioners experiences of disclosure in therapeutic relationships. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research,* 15(1), 4149. https://doi.org/10.1080/14733145.2013.871307.

Leitch J, McGeough B, Arguello TM (2023) A thematic analysis of social workers practices with sexual and gender minority clients. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health,* 28(4), 650677.

Lytle MC, Vaughan MD, Rodriguez EM, Shmerler DL (2014) Working with LGBT Individuals: Incorporating Positive Psychology into Training and Practice. *Psychology of sexual orientation and gender diversity,* 1(4), 335347. https://doi.org/10.1037/sg d0000064.

Meyer D (2012). An Intersectional Analysis of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender (LGBT) Peoples Evaluations of Anti-Queer Violence. *Gender & Society,* 26(6), 849-873.

McGeough B, Aguilera A (2020) Clinical interventions with sexual minority clients: Review, critique, and future directions. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 32(1), 119.

Mizock L, Lundquist C (2016) Missteps in psychotherapy with transgender clients: Promoting gender sensitivity in counseling and psychological practice. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity,* 3(2), 148155.

Moradi F, Ghadiri-Anari A, Dehghani A, Reza Vaziri S, Enjezab B (2020) The effectiveness of counseling based on acceptance and commitment therapy on body image and self-esteem in polycystic ovary syndrome: An RCT. I*nternational journal of reproductive biomedicine*, 18(4), 243252. https://doi.org/10.18502/ijrm.v13i4.6887.

O'Shaughnessy T, Spokane AR (2013) Lesbian and gay affirmative therapy competency, self-efficacy, and personality in psychology trainees. *The Counseling Psychologist,* 41(6), 825856.

Owen-Pugh V, Baines L (2014) Exploring the clinical experiences of novice counsellors working with LGBT clients: Implications for training. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research,* 14(1), 1928.

Pedersen S, Tadros E, Kakacek S, Wunderlich S (2024) The Impact of Therapists Self-Disclosing Sexual Orientation With LGBTQ+ Clients. *The Family Journal,* 0(0).

Ploderl M, Mestel R, Fartacek C (2022) Differences by sexual orientation in treatment outcome and satisfaction with treatment among inpatients of a German psychiatric clinic. *PLOS ONE,* 17(1), e0262928.

Ray TN, Parkhill MR (2021) Heteronormativity, Disgust Sensitivity, and Hostile Attitudes toward Gay Men: Potential Mechanisms to Maintain Social Hierarchies. *Sex Roles,* 84(1/2), 4960.

Riggs DW, Treharne GJ (2017) *Queer theory.* In B. Gough (Ed.), The Palgrave handbook of critical social psychology (pp. 101121). Palgrave Macmillan/Springer Nature.

Rodriguez-Seijas C, Morgan TA, Zimmerman M (2023) Transgender and gender diverse patients are diagnosed with borderline personality disorder more frequently than cisgender patients regardless of personality pathology, *Transgender Health* 9(6), 553564.

Rumens N, de Souza EM, Brewis J (2018) Queering Queer Theory in Management and Organization Studies: Notes toward queering heterosexuality. *Organization Studies,* 40(4), 593-612.

Salpietro L, Ausloos C, Clark M (2019) Cisgender professional counselors experiences with trans* clients. *Journal of LGBT Issues in Counseling,* 13(3), 198215. https://doi.org/10.1080/15538605.2019.1627975.

Sharon E (2023) "Blurred facilitation stand" the hidden factor when working with LGBTQ: Diagnosis an addressing an unspoken effect of internalized homophobia. *European*

Journal of Psychotherapy & Counselling, 25(3), 247262.

Singh AA, McKleroy VS (2011) Just getting out of bed is a revolutionary act: The resilience of transgender people of color who have survived traumatic life events. *Traumatology,* 17(2), 3444.

Smith-Millman M, Harrison SE, Pierce L, Flaspohler PD (2019) "Ready, willing, and able": Predictors of school mental health providers competency in working with LGBTQ youth. *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 16(4), 380402. https://doi.org/10.1080/19361653.2019.1580659.

Smith RW, Altman JK, Meeks S, Hinrichs KL (2019) Mental health care for LGBT older adults in long-term care settings: Competency, training, and barriers for mental health providers. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 42(2), 198203.

Testa RJ, Habarth J, Peta J, Balsam K, Bockting W (2015) Development of the gender minority stress and resilience measure. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 2(1), 6577. https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000081.

Tomicic A, Martinez C, Rodriguez J (2020) Using the Generic Model of Psychotherapy to develop a culturally-sensitive approach to psychotherapy with sexual and gender minority patients. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 599319. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.599319.

Van Ryn M, Fu SS (2003) Paved with good intentions: Do public health and human service providers contribute to racial/ethnic disparities in health? *American Journal of Public Health,* 93(2), 248255. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.93.2.248.

Wilson CK, West L, Stepleman L, Villarosa M, Ange B, Decker M, Waller JL (2014) Attitudes toward LGBT patients among students in the health professions: Influence of demographics and discipline. *LGBT Health,* 1(3), 204211. https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2013.0016.

Zeeman L, Sherriff N, Browne K, McGlynn N, Mirandola M, Gios L, ... Health4LGBTI Network (2019) A review of lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and intersex (LGBTI) health and healthcare inequalities. *European Journal of Public Health,* 29(5), 974980. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cky22