Utilizing a Human Rights Perspective to Train Therapists

Psychological associations have been clear that they expect their trainees and professionals to work with diverse issues and diverse clientele, including sexual issues and sexual and gender minorities. While these organizations have been clear on their stance on non-discrimination toward sexual and gender minorities, research has demonstrated that most psychologists are not well trained on sexuality in general, even though educators and trainees believe sexuality is an important topic. Sexuality is a core aspect of human across their lifespan and although sexuality may be expressed differently across cultures, sexuality is a part of overall health and wellness for everyone. Sexuality is a broad concept that can include all types of sexual behaviors, sexual and gender orientation, sexual relationships, sexual pleasure, intimacy, attachment, and reproduction. It may be helpful for psychology to adopt a human rights perspective in their sexuality training. This perspective frames sexual rights as human rights and utilizes a sexual health and wellbeing framework. Utilizing this perspective, psychologists can focus on sexual citizenship from a global, rights-based, positive, sexual health viewpoint.
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Introduction

Optimal health and wellness incorporates aspects of the body, mind, and spirit—including one’s sexual health and wellbeing. Sexual health encompasses (a) physical, psychological, and social well-being; (b) the possibility of engaging in safe, pleasurable sexual experiences, and; (c) being unrestricted by sexual coercion, sexual discrimination, or violence (The World Health Organization [WHO], 2015.). Several international organizations have been at the forefront of advocating for sexual health and associated sexual rights. For instance, The World Association for Sexual Health (WAS) has emphasized sexual health as requisite to peoples’ attainment of overall wellness and well-being (2015). The World Health Organization (WHO) has been advocating for sexual health since 1970s and embraces a definition of healthy sexuality that includes a diversity of sexual behaviors and expression (WHO, 2015). These international sexual rights advocates conceptualize the expression of sexuality as an individual right fostering quality of life, creating equitable social interactions, and enhancing individual and social responsibility—all which promote the probability of peace in a society (WAS, 2008).

The WAS is an international organization representing sexological organizations worldwide and has been a vanguard of sexual rights advocacy since 1978 (known then as the World Association for Sexology). In their advocacy for sexual health, this organization created a declaration outlining sixteen sexual rights (e.g. the right to equality and nondiscrimination; the right to the highest attainable standard of sexual health, with the possibility of pleasurable, satisfying, and safe sexual experiences; the right to be free from all forms of violence and coercion) (WAS, 2014). They are part of a growing movement that steadfastly view sexual

In regard to sexuality, The American Psychological Association (APA) has championed rights for sexual and gender minorities for over four decades. For example, in 1975 APA advocated to remove stigma associated with gay, lesbian, and bisexual orientations, adopted The Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual Clients in 2000, and established the Virtual Working Group on Restrictions Affecting Diversity Training in Graduate Education in 2011. While APA and other psychological associations have focused on non-discrimination and non-violence for sexual minorities, they have not done as good of a job on focusing on sexuality overall. They have not focused on sexuality enough in their training for clinicians to feel comfortable discussing all of the aspects of sexuality with their clients, including sexual pleasure (Dermer & Bachenberg, 2015; Tolman & Diamond, 2014). Research has repeatedly demonstrated clinicians do not get adequate training in sexuality and are not comfortable discussing sexuality and when they do discuss sexuality (Burnes, Singh, & Witherspoon, 2017; Hanzlik & Gaubatz, 2012; Kelsey, Stiles, Spiller, & Diekhoff, 2011; Miller & Byres, 2008; Miller & Byers, 2009; Miller & Buyers, 2012; Mollen, Burnes, Lee, & Abbott, 2018). Therapists and psychologists are required to understand basic sexual development and are not supposed to discriminate against sexual minorities.

Both the APA’s Code of Conduct and the European Federation of Psychologists’ Associations (EFPA) policy on Human Rights and Psychology discuss psychologists’ respect and protection of human rights (APA, 2017; EFPA, 2015). Yet, there is little literature on training psychologists in a human rights perspective (see Patel, 2019 for a discussion of human rights and applied psychology), and no literature in training psychologists in sexuality from a human rights perspective. Psychology has advocated for sexual minorities in their training, code of ethics, and political discourse, but has done so from a diversity and social justice perspective, rather than a human rights perspective. Adding a human rights perspective as a way to teach about sexuality, sexual health and sexual rights may be a fruitful manner in which to train current and future therapists. The definition of human rights, a review of sexual rights as human rights, and an examination of how a human rights perspective can be used to train clinicians will be presented.

**Human Rights**

Human Rights are basic freedoms and rights inherent to all human beings and supersede social, political and cultural values and norms (United Nations Population Fund [UNFPA], 2013). The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1948 and was created in an effort to prevent many of the atrocities of World War II (Patel, 2019).
This extraordinary document was meant to recognize the incontrovertible dignity and inalienable rights of all human beings, and is based on the ideas of freedom, justice, and peace (Patel, 2019). The General Assembly, which created the UDHR, is the main policymaking arm of the United Nations (UN) and all Member States have representation in the General Assembly (UN, 2019). Given the global representation in creating the UDHR and focus on all humankind, it is expected that governments and other political, social, legal, and educational systems have the duty to respect, protect, and advocate for human rights in a context of equality, non-discrimination, inclusion, and accountability (UNFPA, 2013).

**Sexual Rights as Human Rights**

Compared to exploration of other rights, sexual rights are still relatively new in the human rights discourse (Parker, 2007). In the public health sector, the inclusion of sexual wellness with the right to health was recognized as early as 1975 in a WHO Technical Report series (WHO, 1975). In addition, the WAS, the WHO, the Sexual Rights Initiative (SRI), the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), the International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF), and other international organizations have been involved in promoting healthy sexuality and examining the importance of conceptualizing sexual rights as human rights. The SRI, in their statement on sexual rights, believe it is the responsibility of every government to respect, protect, and fulfill human rights—including sexual rights. The WAS stated categorically that “sexual rights are human rights” (Kismödi, 2017 et al., p. 1).

Sexual rights are universal human rights based on the inherent freedom, dignity, and equality of all human beings. Since health is a fundamental human right, so must sexual health be a basic human right. In order to assure that human beings and societies develop healthy sexuality, the following sexual rights must be recognized, promoted, respected, and defended by all societies through all means. Sexual health is the result of an environment that recognizes, respects and exercises these sexual rights (WAS, 2014).

The IPPF has also declared sexual rights as a part of Human Rights in their document, *Sexual Rights: an IPPF Declaration*. They are committed to a human rights approach that includes sexuality. “...sexual rights are a component of human rights, which are an evolving set of entitlements related to sexuality that contribute to the freedom, equality and dignity of all people” (IPPF, 2008, p. 10). Their declaration includes seven guiding principles and ten sexual rights. These principles and rights recognize sexuality as an integral part of personhood, non-discrimination and freedom from harm, the right to sexual pleasure for everyone, and limitations on sexuality should be few and when needed should be for the greater good, to protect other people’s rights, and non-discriminatory.

All of these organizations believe recognizing sexual rights as human rights is an important step in improving the sexual wellbeing and health of people across the world (Kismödi, et al., 2017). The inclusion of sexual rights as a human rights is important because a human rights perspective compels people to guard, defend,
uphold, and advocate for people’s freedom to enjoy and express their sexuality (Kismödi, et al., 2017). Human rights target the entitlement of each person to have equal rights as a citizen of their country and as a global citizen (a member of the interconnected world).

The discourse about sexual rights has included the concept of sexual citizenship (Evans, 1993; Richardson, 1998; Richardson, 2017; Richardson, 2018). It includes the idea that people have a sexual contract with their governments (carried out through policies and laws) as a member of that nation (Richardson, 2017). Although this concept has been written about in different ways, here it is being used relative to sexual rights, how sexual rights can be granted or restricted by governments and other institutions, and the fight for formal equality as national and global citizens (Richardson, 2000; Richardson, 2018). Writing from a public health and human rights perspective, Parker (2007) envisioned “sexual citizenship” as “only possible when all people have the right to pursue a satisfying, safe, and pleasurable sexual life” (p. 973).

There is some criticism of utilizing a human rights perspective. For instance, Miller (2007) points out problems with including sexual rights under the right to health, including a history of political, legal, medical, and behavioral institutions medicalizing sexuality and limiting sexual rights to only those that directly relate to physical health. However, more recent views of health focus on wellness in a physical, mental, spiritual, and sexual sense. Furthermore, adopting a sex positivity framework to help ensure sexual rights provides a path for not just focusing on freedom “from,” but also freedom “to.” Freedom “from” fear is mentioned in the preamble of the UDHR. It means that people should not fear violence and discrimination. Freedom “to” means justly expressing one’s rights and is reflected in documents like the United States Declaration of Independence in the right to pursue happiness—the right pursue wellbeing in a way that fulfills people without violating the rights of others. In this vein, the sex positivity model focuses on sexual wellness (Cruz, Greenwald, Sandil, 2017; Dermer, Cipra, Bachenberg, 2019). Those working from a sex positivity model highlight non-pathological aspects of sexuality, informed consent, sexual pleasure, eroticism, open sexual communication, and freedom of sexual and gender expression (Burnes, Singh, & Witherspoon, 2017; Cruze, et al, 2017; Dermer et al., 2019; Glickman, 2000). Despite these pitfalls, a human rights perspective to sexuality provides a viable and interesting alternative to medical, diversity, and social justice perspectives typically used to teach about sexuality.

Psychology Training and Sexuality

Psychologists and therapists are trained in diagnosing sexual dysfunction, trained in their ethical obligations against discrimination, and expected to advocate for sexual minorities. Overall, applied psychology programs seem to train more about sexual orientation and related discrimination rather than sexuality overall (Burnes, et al., 2017; Mollen et al, 2018). Both diversity and social justice frameworks are used to train psychologists and therapists about discrimination,
violence, and oppression related to sexual and gender orientation and the intersection between a sexual minority status and other identities.

**Multicultural and Social Justice Perspectives**

Multiculturalism and social justice, while different in their approach to education and therapy in some ways, also share many commonalities. Both perspectives both acknowledge the importance of diversity and recognize that oppression has a debilitating effect on mental health (Ratts, 2011). They also both promote the need to develop multicultural and advocacy competent helping professionals, interventions that are culturally sensitive, and emphasize understanding the oppressive status quo. These approaches also have their differences. The development of multicultural and social justice competencies across mental health fields has exemplified the commitment to social change and remediing social injustice by assisting various mental health professionals to understand individuals’, couples’, families’, and institutions’ circumstances and concerns from a more ecological perspective (Constantine, et al., 2007). Some authors believe that multiculturalism and social justice are synergic (Prilleltensky & Prilleltensky, 2003). In reality, both perspectives are probably used in most training programs even if one is more emphasized.

Although it is not intended to go into an in-depth review of the history, development, or nuances of each approach, some basic differences will be presented in order to later discuss the advantages of using a human rights perspective to teach about sexuality. Multiculturalism focuses on gaining knowledge of various cultural identities and on sensitivity to issues of bias, discrimination, and oppression without ranking or comparing groups nor necessarily challenging contradictory perspectives between groups (Vera & Speight, 2003). As multiculturalism pertains to the therapeutic relationship, it involves understanding cross-cultural relationships and developing awareness, knowledge, skills as they relate to the ability to work in a diverse society (Pieterse, Evans, Butner, Collins, & Mason, 2008). Social justice, rather than focusing on knowledge of differences and how they might influence the therapeutic relationship, highlights how some group are more or less privileged in society (Very & Speight, 2003). Social justice involves the intentional awareness of systemic forces of oppression that includes a political component and speaks to an active engagement in redressing social inequities while aiming to provide full and equal participation by all groups. The central focus of social justice is to respond to systemic inequalities that serve to marginalize and disenfranchise various groups of people and should be designed to change social values, structures, policies and practices that affect disadvantaged or marginalized groups (Pieterse, Evans, Butner, Collins & Mason, 2008).

Regardless of the perspective, clinicians need to move forward in their approach to addressing sexual health and sexual rights (Prilleltensky & Prilleltensky, 2003). The discussion of using multicultural and social justice perspectives was somewhat simplified, but the basic point was that they are not
comprehensive enough to be the only frameworks used to discuss sexuality. When only these perspectives are used the discussions are skewed toward only from the freedom from bias, discrimination, violence, barriers and oppression rather than also including a sexual health and sexual wellbeing perspective.

**Utilizing a Human Rights Perspective to Teach Sexuality**

The basis of seeing sexuality and sexual rights, not just reproduction, as part of human rights is because it is conceptualized as part of a holistic, comprehensive view of health. Besides sexual and gender orientation and their expression, sexuality can include eroticism, sexual pleasure, body image, masturbation, sexual behaviors/fantasies, sexual functioning, reproduction and reproductive health, attachment, intimacy, sexual knowledge and communication (and informed consent), and spirituality (Mollen, et al., 2018; Robinson, Bockting, Rosser, Miner, & Coleman, 2002; WHO, 2010).

Sexual health is an approach to sexuality founded in accurate knowledge, personal awareness and self-acceptance, such that one's behavior, values and emotions are congruent and integrated within a person's wider personality structure and self-definition. Sexual health involves an ability to be intimate with a partner, to communicate explicitly about sexual needs and desires, to be sexually functional (to have desire, become aroused, and obtain sexual fulfillment), to act intentionally and responsibly, and to set appropriate sexual boundaries. Sexual health has a communal aspect, reflecting not only self-acceptance and respect, but also respect and appreciation for individual differences and diversity, as well as a feeling of belonging to and involvement in one's sexual culture(s). Sexual health includes a sense of self-esteem, personal attractiveness and competence, as well as freedom from sexual dysfunction, sexually transmitted diseases, and sexual assault and coercion. Sexual health affirms sexuality as a positive force, enhancing other dimensions of one's life (Robinson et al., 2002, p. 45).

A literature search produced no articles on using a human rights perspective to train psychologists and therapists in sexuality. There have been several studies, though, that point to the lack of comprehensive sexuality training for clinicians (Mollen, et al., 2018). Despite the importance of sexuality to every human across their lifespan, neither educators (Swislow, 2016) nor students feel adequately prepared to talk about sexuality in-depth.

Some clinical and counseling psychology programs include a course on sexual dysfunction or sex therapy, but usually they are offered as topics in other courses or as an elective (Asher, 2007; Swislow, 2016). In Mollen et al’s (2018) recent survey of Canadian and U.S. doctoral counseling psychology programs, they found that 94.7% of programs provided training on sexual and/or gender orientation, 76.3% had some training on sexual intimacy skills and intimate relationships, 15.8% reported included sexual pleasure, and 39.5% of respondent programs reported training in sexual functioning and behavior. Although 47.5% of respondents reported addressing sexual health, topics under this area seemed more related to sexual trauma and exploitation rather than focusing on positive aspects.
Finally, few of the programs reviewed different forms of sexual expression: Sex Toys (5.3%), pornography (7.9%), Kink (15.8%), BDSM (15.8%), swinging (5.3%). Finally, the majority of respondents (78.9%) thought training in sexuality was important even though they did not think they have time to include more about sexuality and/or there were obstacles to providing more information about sexuality.

A human rights framework to sexuality would address many of the same issues as multicultural and social justice perspectives on a global level in addition to health and wellness as related to sexuality. “The term human rights framework refers broadly to human rights responsibilities, commitments, and principles, which are based in international human rights law” (Patel, 2019, p. 114). Both a human rights perspective and applied psychology share a focus on health and wellbeing (Patel, 2019). Using a human rights perspective forces psychology to look at the cause and context of sexual rights violations, not just the outcome and associated symptoms. Some principles that a human rights perspective on sexual rights and psychology share concerns about are: fairness, respect, dignity, autonomy, participation and inclusion, proportionality (of restriction of rights), equality and non-discrimination (Patel, 2019). Among other responsibilities related to human rights, psychologists have an obligation to understand, monitor, and research sexuality, sexual health, and sexual rights, and apply that knowledge to their work, institutions, and advocacy. Part of human rights is “right to the highest attainable standard of health with regards to sexuality and sexual health” (Kismödi et al., 2017, p. 21). This also includes the right to benefits of scientific progress and its application. And the right to education on sexuality and sexual health.

A human rights approach can also contend with “conscience clauses” which allow for refusing to work with certain clients based on free speech and/or freedom of religion. One of the major obstacles to training in sexuality, besides the lack of comfort discussing sexual issues, has been religious and cultural objections to certain sexual acts, behaviors, and sexual and gender orientations. Accrediting bodies, governments, agencies, and professionals sometimes circumvent discussions of sexuality and limit sexual rights on the basis morals (Kismödi et al., 2017). For mental health professionals, framing sexual and gender issues as diversity issues led to some progress in training professionals and serving clients, but it has also caused some problems. From a multicultural perspective, one set of authentically held cultural beliefs does not “trump” another set of authentically held cultural set of beliefs.

In the past, some of the accrediting bodies and codes of ethics left some room for refusing to serve SGM clients on the basis of a “conscience clause”. The current ethical standards of major accrediting and professional organizations of mental health organizations have closed that gap by expecting members of their organizations to get the training and supervision needed to work with all clients regardless of their sexual or gender orientations. In contradiction to professional ethical standards, several states in the U.S. have introduced conscience clauses that would allow students and professionals to refuse to provide services to clients if...
the clients’ goals contradict the professional’s religious beliefs. For example, in 2011 Arizona passed into law a Bill that allows trainees to refuse to see certain clients (Wise, et al., 2015). The American Psychological Association (APA) has been at the forefront of protecting the ethical and accreditation standards requiring trainees be trained to work with diverse clientele, including LGBTQI+ clients. In the Fall of 2011 they established the Virtual Working Group on Restrictions Affecting Diversity Training in Graduate Education. The APA working group created five core tenants of training for APA: (a) Psychology has a compelling interest in meeting the needs of a diverse client population; (b) trainers are responsible for education and training; (c) trainers respect trainees’ developmental process and foster cognitive complexity; (d) attaining competence to work with a diverse public is not optional.

Although APA’s position is strong about the requirement to learn how to work with diverse clientele and serve diverse clientele, a human rights approach would help solidify that position. When teaching from a multicultural framework it is difficult to argue why one group’s values and beliefs supersede another group’s needs. When teaching from a human and sexual rights perspective, these are rights are framed as basic freedoms and rights and take a meta-position to specific cultural and religious beliefs. A human rights approach means taking action to change cultural ideas and practices if they violate these fundamental rights (PAHO/WHO, 2001).

Conclusion

The human rights perspective, applied to the realm of sexuality, although not without faults, has many of the advantages of multicultural and social justice frameworks with the added emphasis on sexual health, sexual wellbeing, and sexual rights that should be afforded to all humankind. Educators training clinicians have the difficult task of helping trainees find a way to act ethically and justly toward the diverse issues they will face and the diverse clientele they will serve while balancing their cultural, personal, or religious belief systems (Wise, et al., 2015). Irrespective of individual beliefs, when people become psychologists and therapists they agree to be a professional who is well versed in sexuality, sexual health, and the accompanying rights.

Sexuality is a core aspect of being human, of human development, and of many intimate relationships regardless of one’s particular sexual wants, desires, behaviors, sexual orientation, or gender orientation. The global attention to sexual rights and the advocacy of global groups for sexual rights tend to be based on a human rights perspective and could offer psychology an additional and powerful way to prepare clinicians, researchers, and educators to be aware of and support sexual citizenship. All humans have the right to live in a world where they enjoy the freedom to experience their sexuality in any way they wish without violating the basic rights of others.
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