Serbian Royal Right to the Throne of Hungary at the Basis of the Formation of Medieval Romanian Orthodox States

This paper shows that the overall situation in the Pannonian-Balkan area led to the facts in the 14th -16th centuries on the background of which the Romanian medieval states were formed and consolidated. The origins of these facts derive from the interactions between the first Hungarian tribes who came to the Pannonian area and the situation encountered here, which can be staged as follows. The first stage is related to the arrival of the Hungarian tribes from the northern part of Europe and the conquest of the territory between the eastern Alps and the Dniester. The second stage is the period between the Christianization of the Hungarian King Stephen and the arrival of the Angevins. The second and the third period, post-Angevin or better Sigismundian-Lazarević are, are epochs of colonization of different population from the Germanic, North Pontic or Balkan space that are integrated into the noble structure of the Kingdom, consolidating its authority. The expansion of Serbian civilization after the claim to the throne of Hungary of the Serbian King Stefan Dragutin when Árpád dynasty came to end. Thus, the medieval Romanian Orthodox states, The Romanian Country-Wallachia and Moldavia are the rest of Andrew III's, the last Árpádian's posterity, of his Serbian posterity, and catholic Hungary, the rest of his Angevin Posterity.

Introduction

The overall situation in the Pannonian-Balkan area led to the facts of the 14th-16th centuries on the background of which the Romanian medieval states were formed. The origins of these realities derive from the interactions between the first Hungarian tribes that came to the Pannonian area, and the situation they stumbled on there and which can be staged as follows. *The first stage* is related to the arrival of the Hungarian tribes from the northern part of Europe and the conquest of the territory between the eastern Alps and the Dniester, subjugating the Slavic population living in the area and achieving a strong system of domination. *The second* stage is the period between the Christianization of the Hungarian King Stephen and the arrival of the Angevins. It is a period when an aristocracy of Western model outstands, following the Moravian and Slavic German model they came across, but also under the influence of the Bulgarian boyar model. It's the period that anticipates the consolidated Angevin kingdom, which imposes a western type

organization, which came through Bohemia, German countries and Poland. *The second* and *the third period*, *post-Angevin* or better Sigismundian-Lazarević are, are epochs of colonization of different population from the Germanic, North Pontic or Balkan space that are integrated into the noble structure of the Kingdom, consolidating its authority. The contrast between the civilizing power of the Balkan Byzantium and the military power of the Hungarian aristocracy, which didn't have an advanced level of civilization, led to conflicts that would result in the anti-Orthodox war of the Hungarian nobility, carried out under the leadership of the Angevin Kings. The result of this conflict would be the founding of The Romanian Country-Wallachia and Moldavia and the alienation of Serbia and Bosnia, but also the advent of a tradition about the Kingdom of Hungary as an Orthodox Kingdom. It was important because it confirmed the influence by Byzantine Christianity, of Byzantine and Bulgarian descent in the area, before the arrival of some populations from the Western Balkans, related to the Serbian Church, leading to a symbiosis of the three major Orthodox churches in the Balkans[1]. The Byzantine one (which assimilated the Bulgarian), the Bulgarian and the Serbian, of the new nobility that will found the extra-Carpathian states, The Romanian Country and Moldavia and will form the great aristocracy, as well as a new infusion of Orthodox population that get under the dominion of large Hungarian families through marriages whose traces got lost in time.

Historical Hungary and Newcomers

Historic Hungary has not lost touch with newcomers and their areas of origin, the German and Western-Balkan ones. Other waves of immigrants from the Western Balkans will come in the 15th – 16th centuries, with the decrease of Serbia's power in the process of its integration into the new Ottoman state[2]. This population had a much higher social and cultural level than the old settlers' and created a new cultural stratum, which will express itself politically through the influence of Branković's relatives in the politics of Hungary and its

---

1. Boško I. Bojović, *L'Ideologie Monarchique dans les Hagio-Biographies Dynastiques du Moyen Age Serbe*, Orientalia Christiana Analecta 248, Pontifcio Istituto Orientale, Roma, 1995 : 173-204.

2. Sima Ćirković, *La Serbie au Moyen Age*, Zodiaque, Paris, 1992 : 7-15.

client states The Romanian Country – Wallachia and Moldavia, in the 15th but especially in the 16th centuries.

Historic Hungary is thus set up in a space where orthodoxy was experienced and manifested historically at all social levels, from serfs, enslaved workers on the estates of great feudal lords such as Wass, Telegdi or Banffy families, or at the level of a colonization nobility, like the one in Maramureş or Haţeg, with their extensions in Moldavia and Muntenia, or even at the level of the great Serbian royal families' members, such as Lazarević or Branković, who took refuge and settled here. The last wave is by far the most important, because it brought to the orthodoxy in eastern historical Hungary a prestige that it no longer had, a royal dimension, it could never have on the background set by Hungarian autonomous states as voivodeships in the contact area between the extra-Carpathian Cumans and the Bulgarians by the Danube. The Bulgarians who influenced the structure and the ideology of the new Orthodox extra-Carpathian states and contributed together with the Cumans to the aristocratic structure and the formation of an autonomous nobility unrelated to families that came from Serbia through the short Transylvanian period. A similar role was played by large families from Kievan Russia who took refuge in the north of Moldavia and constituted the force of the great Moldavian nobility unrelated to Bogdan family (Mihul de la Dorohoi for example or Brăescu, Boris Braevici's). The papacy's efforts to keep Hungary's space under the Latin church control and the use of the Hungarian nomadic origin aristocracy for this purpose under the coordination of a great monarch belonging to a strong royal European family, Louis of Anjou, show us the importance of Hungary in the context of Western Christianity's anti-Byzantine policy constituting here one of the two centers of Catholic action in relation to Byzantium along the path of the Fourth Crusade and later the Polish-Lithuanian one. But the Orthodox nobility of Illyrian origin in these areas had a policy of great resistance, which combine military virtues and social status creating conditions for them to retain their position as great nobles during the Hungarian stage, but they couldn't prevent the remaining descendants in Hungary after the two campaigns, from mixing with the peasantry bearing noble titles, thus taking a lower social position. This was the case in Maramureş, so back to the first idea, which combines the social status with an intransigent position in the context of defending Orthodox religious independence.

1 **The Angevin Kingdom and Dušan's Serbian Empire**

2

3   When an explicit conflict broke out between the Angevin
4 kingdom and Dušan's Serbian empire(1346-1355), the Transylvanian
5 nobility assumed an offensive position against Hungarian
6 Catholicism, a position probably achieved with financial and military
7 support from Stefan Dušan (as evidenced by the monetary treasury
8 of Cuhea)[3] which led to the founding of new Orthodox States.

9   Medieval Serbia was at the crossroads of the Slavic-Bulgarian,
10 the Byzantine and the Italic worlds. This made Western spirituality
11 and chivalric practices coexist with Byzantine ones in Serbia. The
12 conflict between Byzantium and the Western world caught Serbia by
13 surprise during the bustle of its state consolidation, titanic moments
14 that are related to a still little studied phenomenon connected to the
15 great movements of the elites in times of states' organization.

16   Stefan Nemanja (1166-1196) succeeds in Raška and Diokleia to
17 some sovereigns between East and West, from Vojislavljevic
18 dynasty[4]. These dynasts, such as the Archon Peter, identified on a
19 recently discovered seal, probable father of St. Jovan Vladimir,
20 played and important role in all political movements in the area.
21 Jovan Vladimir was an archetype of holiness in the Balkans, as a
22 royal martyr who could seem to foresee the future of a noble people
23 destined, however, for an assumed sacrifice. Stefan Nemanja's
24 departure to Raška, where he was rebaptized in the Orthodox
25 religion, after being baptized as Latin by his parents in his childhood
26 in Diokleia, the fight between Simeon Nemanja's successors, Stefan
27 and Vukan, as well as Stefan Prvovencani's hesitations anticipate the
28 close relationships between Serbia and The Holy See in Stefan
29 Milutin's time. But Stefan Dušan(1331-1355) thoroughly changes this
30 situation, being the first monarch to introduce autocracy in its pure
31 meaning in the medieval Serbian society. Growing himself as
32 emperor on the *Resurrection* day, he gives for the first time in the
33 history of the Orthodox world a monumental, combative aspect to
34 the hostile relationship between Catholics and Orthodox. In his

---

3. Denis Căprăroiu, „Opinii istoriografice despre unul dintre voievozii cu numele Bogdan din secolul al XIV-lea/ Historiographical Opinions about One of the Voivodes Named Bogdan from the 14th Century", in *Analele Universităţii din Craiova. Seria Istorie/ Annals of the University of Craiova. History Series*, XVI, nr. 1 (19), Craiova, 2011: 27-43.

4. Zorica Zlatić Ivković, *Stefanu Nemanju povodom 900 godina od rođenja (1113-2013)*, Grafoprint, Beograd, 2013, Grafoprint, Beograd, 2013: 142.

Zakonik[5], Dušan succeeds in turning into constitutional norms the strict canonical relationship that existed between Orthodoxy and Catholicism in the previous 200 years. The need for rebaptism for the Catholics, canonical, was shocking for the diplomatic relationships with Hungary, which led to the great Angevin campaigns against Serbia. It's obvious that the elements related to the Orthodox orientation that were involved in the founding of Moldavia are related to Dušan's reaction. St. Sava will also be represented in Moldavia, in Voroneţ, and Bălineşti (which comes from the name Balea, as the one from Ieud, although the founder is the logothete Tăutu, probably also a Muşatin relative), churches built by the same Bogdan's dynasty, through Stephen the Great(1457-1504). The logothete Tăutu can be Ioan, Stephen's brother, just as Cârstea Arbure[6], Luca's father, who was said to have been claimed the throne, pretended to be Cîrstea, another brother of Stephen's. So the cult of St. Sava would have royal dynastic support.

This *Legend of Roman and Vlahata* is a family tradition, of this family, Bogdan, considered of Serbian origin, which can be related to a Ştefan Constantin of Moldavia (homonymous with Ştefan Constantin, Milutin's brother disappeared during the advent of the first political structures in Maramureş, related to the voivode Ştefan from Maramureş, Constantin, means Costea, appointed from the family of the Serbian Despots through the chronicler from Bistriţa, wearing, in his tomb, heraldic buttons identical to Lazăr's). See in this context the existence of a Bogdan, son of Mikula, voivode of Serbia helped by the Angevin king to come to Hungary after the fall of his dominions in Herzegovina, identifiable with Bogisa, Nikola's son, from the branch of Miroslav from the Nemanids and relative of the queen in the Kotromanic family of Hungary that had domains near Maramureş and with Bogdan, the founder of Moldavia, although certain data about them are missing.

The symbiosis between the state founding families and the great Bulgarian, Cuman or Russian nobles encountered in Muntenia and Moldavia can be seen in the presence in the royal councils of the new states of great feudal lords like Albul Tocsabă, Boris Braevici,

---

5. Codul de Legi al lui Ştefan *Dušan* / Stefan Dušan's Code of Laws (Information on: http:// www.Dušanov-zakonik.com/).

6. Maria Magdalena Székely, „Obârşia lui Luca Arbure. O ipoteză/The origin of Luca Arbure. A Hypothesis", in Violeta Barbu Publisher, vol. *In honorem Paul Cernovodeanu*, Bucharest, 1998: 419-429.

together with less important boyars of Transylvanian or Maramureş origin, like Popşea, Huhulea, Albotă, Hudici, Giula, Oancea, Voico. The new great families founded by Serbian emigrants such as Zamona family of vlastelini in Baia de Fier or Balş family in Moldavia will be related to Staico's descendants, the latter being Mircea the Elder's brother. They formed the boyars' family in Bucov, including descendants of illegitimate sons of lords, forming the large families Craiovescu, Sărăţeanu, Movilă, Ganea. The social fluctuations of this great nobility will lead to important civil wars such as the one between Dănescu and Drăculea families, followed by the one between the families Craiovescu and Mihnea, or in Moldavia, the one between Petru Aaron's descendants and Stephan the Great's ancestors and later between Ganea and Arbure families (related to Aaron) and between Rareş's "clients", Movilă and Costin families. It's a space that can hardly find its identity, following noble female successions, a unique phenomenon in Europe, and assimilating the identity of ancient Serbia, as seen in the genealogical program in Argeş, in which Simeon, Sava and Lazarus of Serbia appear as the oldest, therefore the ancestors of Basarab family. The role that the great Serbian nobles will play in the former eastern Hungary will lead to keeping Transylvania, Muntenia and Moldavia unconquered by the ottomans.

North Danube Orthodox Population

There is an overlap of social categories and different stages of religious development in the history of the Orthodox population in the former eastern Hungary, up to the Danube and the Dniester. The ecclesial organization of the Orthodox serfdom in Transylvania, which surely inherits ecclesial structures of ancient Bulgaria that conquered the salt mines are from the Avars and the Gepids, and set up an Orthodox structure for their miners and agricultural workers probably subject to Bulgarian aristocrats or maybe directly to the tsars, organization replaced by the old Hungarian nobility that came with Árpád and that was assimilated by Hungarity slightly different from the one in Hungary under Slovakia's influence, probably different linguistically and religiously, which gives rise to Ladislaus Kan's resistance, but gives kinship with Árpád's family (Sarolta, Gyula's mother, he probably descended, through women, from these Bulgarian nobles in the area of the salt mines or the gorges from the

Carpathians to the Danube and to the residence of the tsarate or to the great salt markets of Byzantium, nobility that cannot be related to the new waves coming in the 14th century, of Orthodox population), was the main reason of the existence of Orthodox Christianity in hilly eastern Hungary, in the Someş rivers area or in the border area with the Cumans' lands. In other areas such as Haţeg or Maramureş, a new ecclesial organization was founded, related to the Serbian Church, which, due to its superiority, hierarchically subjugated the old Bulgarian organization (entered under Byzantine subordination after the conquest of Bulgaria by Byzantium) and provided religious assistance to the great nobility and to the boyars that will found extra-Carpathian states where they will again subordinate the Bulgarian organization there to a Serbian one, and then directly to Constantinople, to face attacks from the powerful Bulgarian state led by Asan dynasty, probably related to female ancestors of Basarab family, where they take their name from. We can consider that Bulgarian noble blood entered the genealogical composition of the newcomers, but most likely the descendant of the North-Danubian aristocracy of the first Bulgarian tsarate was reduced to serfdom by the Hungarian kingdom and then conferred to the new Western Balkan aristocrats who came after 1300, the ancestors of the Orthodox nobility from Transylvania, Banat, Crișana, Maramureș, Moldavia and Muntenia until today. The most remarkable performance of Hungarian Orthodoxy is the maintenance of an Orthodox religious life in the central Transylvanian area, in servitude to large Hungarian families, or to the diocese of Alba Iulia, which seems to be the first large owner in central Transylvania and the only before the great families brought by the Angevins in the 14th century, so the one that managed the serf population and also enslaved the relatives of the old Transylvanian elite destroyed by the Stefanian annexation, heiress of the elite that came with Árpád and of the old Bulgarian elite. We can say that Transylvania was organized as the estate of the diocese of Alba Iulia, which was then colonized in the Balkans, Germany, western Hungary or the extra-Carpathian Cuman-Pecheneg (Kan Family) areas. However, the persistence of an Orthodox religiosity in the center of Transylvania can also show the presence of older domains of the great noble families brought in the 14th century, entered through marriage or deprival in the possession of the families faithful to the Angevins in the disturbances of the 14th century. But there is no documentary evidence for this. We are also dealing with a Hungarian Catholic population brought to the area of

the salt mines, but this is recent, after the 14th century, because they speak Hungarian with Slovak elements as it is spoken by the Hungarians from central Hungary, Slovak elements that cannot connect the current Hungarians from Transylvania and the Szeklers to the old Árpádian Hungarians who achieved the conquest, but who got detached ethnically through marriages between the descendants of the great Moravian nobility with the descendants of the old Catholicized Hungarian chiefs. Probably Stephen I of Hungary gave the land of Transylvania to the diocese of Alba Iulia to diminish the resistance of the Transylvanian Orthodox aristocracy and its church, as well as that of the alliances with Byzantium and the Danube line kept by the Byzantines, and populated by Slavic aristocrats or Pecheneg-Cumans to which a peasantry of a similar ethnicity was subject. The Battle of Kossovopolije(1389) will remove the area of Eastern Europe from the only angle from which it was seen until then, namely the anti-Orthodox spread of Catholicism led by the pope, and will lead to a change in the attitude of the great European nobility towards Orthodoxy, through the death of the Tsar Lazarus, the first crusading king killed in battle against the unbelievers. Thus, the Order of the Dragon is founded, in which Stefan Lazarević will talk about the battle of Kossovopolije and the death of his father that must be avenged, in which the chivalrous idea of choosing the *Kingdom of Heaven, Vidovdan* becomes obvious, idea found in heraldry and words, or in the fresco that makes Lazarus the ancestor of the Basarab family, which he places in the succession of the holy Serbian monarchy. The interest shown for Orthodoxy in Western Europe gives rise to a pro-Orthodox current that will lead to reform in Germany which experienced before these religious currents the Byzantinizing synods organized by Sigismund in Konstanz and Basel under the certain influence of Stefan Lazarević and of the description of his great crusading experience within the Order of the Dragon. We can say that these two synods are the attempt of the Order of the Dragon to re-annex a acephalous West with a three-pope ordination and distrust of the Orthodox Church and the attempt of the great despotic Roman-Byzantine imperial tradition that still had the prestige of the important old Byzantine power continued by the Serbians and the Russians. They are allies of Sigismund in front of the Poles and the Italians who will go on the Florentine line of uniation. It is no coincidence that Sigismund is supported by the aggressively Catholicizing Orthodox Russian boyars, subject to Poland-Lithuania, bringing forth the idea of converting King

Sigismund to Orthodoxy, but also the Tismana legend about Nicodemus's conversion of Sigismund, in the Serbian royal tradition of Ladislaus's conversion (or Andrew's according to some variants) to Orthodoxy by Sava, through which they tried to integrate the Hungarian monarchy into the idea of the holy Serbian dynasty, to which the colonized boyars and then country founders to the east of the Carpathians were bound, even trying to apply it for them ("*our holy ancestors*" as it appears in the documents referring to the necropolis from Rădăuți).

Matthias Corvinus and the Fight for Serbia

On the other hand, Matthias Corvinus, in the spirit of tradition appeared due to the deep ties between his ancestors and the Serbian nobility and royalty, saying that the kings of Serbia are his ancestors, worships the relics of Maxim Branković's ancestors as his relatives without a doubt in Buda, and he tried, inspired by the model of Serbian canonization made by Maxim himself in the old tradition of the holy Serbian dynastic royalty, to canonize even his father, John, in Rome, though. It is a Serbian Orthodoxy camouflaged in a Catholicism that made it invulnerable to the attack of the great Hungarian nobility. A similar process of infiltration of the upper Balkans in culture and economic and diplomatic agility as the one Hungary went through between the 13th the 16th centuries, cases that reach their peak through the Corvinus royalty and Hunyad and Corvinus crusade system created by it, takes place in the Romanian Lands with the Greeks, who from infiltrations and anti-Ottoman refuges come to power repeatedly since the 17th century in both countries with strong noble parties.

The Wallachian nobility in relation to Corvinus family had a complex attitude. It is about a space of great importance for the Late Crusade, which began to be integrated into European crusade projects since Sigismund of Luxembourg, through the participation of Wallachian boyars in the Battle of Kossovopolije, which led to the creation of an aristocratic pattern related to the military struggle within our nobility. The Battle of Kossovopolije, beyond the controversy surrounding its unfolding, is the first Orthodox crusade

attempt, which relaunched the idea of chivalry after a certain passivity that followed the traditional crusades[7].

In the case of Muntenia, the nobility acquired a new sense of solidity, which it has not had since the founding of the state and perhaps since the creation of the states in the south of the Danube in which it was certainly somehow involved. The Wallachian nobility expressed its willingness to participate in the crusade several times: at Nicopolis(1396), at the second Kossovopolije, at the Stefanian battles, etc. It is an assumed military fighting tradition.

Matthias Corvinus's (1458-1490) policy in south-eastern Europe is deeply linked to the interests of the battle for Serbia. The reasons for Matthias Corvinus's involvement in Serbia are strategic, but are justified by his genealogical links with this space. All the genealogical successions that link the Corvinus to the Basarab family played an important role in the structure of the Hungarian kingdom's politics. Matthias Corvinus acted in Southeast Europe as the leader of the *Late Crusade*. All local leaders, Branković, Basarab or Bogdan, acted under the coordination of his court. The foundations of this reality were laid in the time of John Hunyadi[8], who coordinated the actions of Moldavia, Wallachia and Serbia with a royal power whose source remains to be clarified, but which power must be linked to genealogical connections and successions established during the period when Stefan Lazarević played a very important role in the area, especially through his position as the first knight in the Order of the Dragon(1408).

References to the Serbian and Constantinian ancestry of the Muşatins, but also to their Hungarian female ancestry, the memory of Bogdan's wives or his ancestors', and to the relationships between the kingdom and the Serbian Church through St. Sava and Stefan Prvovenčani(1196-1227) with Ladislaus's Hungary, in which we see a archetype for Nicodemus and Lazarević's relationship with Sigismund of Luxembourg(1387-1437) and George (Maxim) and Jovan with Matthias Corvinus, but also the memory of the genealogical kinship between the Hungarian king, Ladislaus, and the Serbian king, a symbolic transfer of Serbian holy royalty to Hungary,

7. Pavel Chihaia, *Învăţături şi Mituri în Ţara Românească*, Ed. Ex Ponto, Constanţa, 2010: 127-136.

8. George Bariţiu, „Ioan Corvin de Hunedoara. Originea, genealogia, faptele sale immortali/ John Corvinus Hunyadi. The Origin, the Genealogy, His Immortal Deeds", in *Transilvania. Foia Asociatiunei transilvane pentru literatura romana si cultura poporului romanu/ Transylvania. Sheet of the Transylvanian Association for Romanian Literature and Culture of the Romanian People*, Year VI, nr. 5-10, 1873.

kinship that could also be possible for Matthias with the late Serbian rulers and with the Muntenians, show us a Serbian ideological program that can date from the time of Stefan Dušan and the Orthodox political separations conducted with Serbian church support from Muntenia and Moldavia.

We are dealing with another facet of the Hungarian monarchy, an Orthodox dimension, which was functional in the noble Orthodox circles of Hungary, among which comes Matthias, who writes to the pope that he can convert Hungary in three days to Orthodoxy (with the help of the great Serbian nobility and the black army obviously), and thus also appropriates the dimension of the conversion to Orthodoxy from Vladislav in the legend of Voskresenkaya and Sigismund (who also makes donations to Tismana[9] in a Lazarević succession which through Cilli family connected him as they did with Vladislav in the legend of Serbian despots and who appears in the imaginary of the legend of Tismana and the Ruthenians' as mentioned in Dluglosz's Polish History as a convert to Orthodoxy[10]). There is another legend about the conversion of Andrew II(1205-1235) to Orthodoxy. John's donations for Tismana are perfectly integrated. We are dealing with an idea that contrasts with the Catholic policy of the Corvinus's, and that shows a Hungary with complex realities.

I also believe that there are connections between Vlad Dracul's mother and the great Buzau nobility, and grandparents such as Pârscov, Bolintin, Bucșani, Ciulnița (where an enigmatic brother of Mircea the Elder(1386-1418) is attested) and the ancestors of the Razbici-Sărățeanu family, made the estate Sărata an old estate of Draculea family, where Vlad Vintilă(1532-1535) has courtyards, inherited of course. Power was exercised during this period by a small group of people, related to the monarchs involved in the battle of Kossovopolije, which John exceptionally reissues showing sensitivity to the sacralization of the battle through the death of the monarch, the cult of Lazarus in Serbia, obviously in the Serbian epic in which the Brankovićs appear as traitors, and through what Lazarević(1389-1427), as first knight, spoke of this battle in the Order of the Dragon, a battle that became a model for his knights.

---

9. DRH, B, I, doc. 97: 168-171.

10. Ioannes Dlugoss (Jan Długosz), „Historia Polonica", in *Ștefan cel Mare și Sfânt/ Stephen the Great and Saint 1504-2004, Portret în cronică/ Portrait in the Chronicle*, Holy Monastery Putna, 2004: 158-172.

This period will be continued by the influence of the Branković family, during the period of Neagoe Basarab(1512-1521), a ruler married to Maxim's niece, Milița, and by the policy of Rareş, married to her sister, Elena, who somewhat prepared the actions that followed of the Grand Vizier Mehmet Sokolovici and of his brother Macarie Sokolovici (canonized), they to a subtle extent, coordinated with their relative, through Jakšić, Ivan the Terrible. This is the period when Hilandar Monastery receives large donations. The two families, Craiovescu and Rareş, are continued by their relatives Brâncoveanu and Movila, who dominate the political scene and create the legitimate lines of succession in these two states. The memory of the relatives of these families, such as Prăjescu or Bărbătescu, being that they descend from Serbian despots. We can say that Matthias Corvinus's line of Serbian politics was defining for his geopolitical action, and here we can see his ideational succession of Serbian kings, an asserted succession (*atasov suos regis bulgariae*).

Serbian –Roman-Vlach Aristocracy

It is obvious that the Romanian population does not descend from the Roman colonists left in Trajan's Dacia, that is, the continuity in Dacia is a romantic, noble, but unrealistic conception.

Lack of archaeological discoveries of Byzantine Christian churches and cemeteries until the 13th century in north of the Danube and the beginning of the western ones in the 11th century clearly shows the coming of the Orthodox population from the southwest, a phenomenon that can be related to the claim of Stefan Dragutin (who may be through linguistic alteration "Negru Vodă", as well as the Stefan honored in the royal hall of Radu the Great and by Muntenian singers).

Thus the high Slavic-Roman bilingual Serbian aristocracy could come to the areas of Hungary that supported Stefan Dragutin(1276-1316) and Stefan Vladislav(1316-1325), his son (probably the one who was buried under the effigy tomb from Argeş, today at the Museum of National History of Bucharest), areas that were under the influence of Ladislaus Apor and Ladislaus Kahn(1296-1315), who were both related to the Nemanid family from northern Serbia and southern Hungary mentioned.

Probably both the Wallachian dynasty and the Moldavian dynasty have direct genealogical links with this chain of northern

1 Serbian kings, Stefan Dragutin, the great usurper (both in southern
2 Serbia by his younger brother Milutin and the son of the Angevin
3 married to his Árpádian mother's younger sister), Charles Robert of
4 Anjou(1308-1342), because Katerina, present in Câmpulung
5 chronicles as Negru Vodă's wife is the older sister of Charles Robert
6 of Anjou's mother)[11], who may be the proof of the group of Serbian
7 sovereigns who wear heraldically in their coat of arms, either simply
8 (as in Bogdan or Lazarević, the classic "Lazarević" crest), or with
9 raven-griffon ornament (as in Vladislav Vlaicu(1364-1377), the raven
10 being probably the old symbol of Cumania, and the horned helmet of
11 the Srem dynasty mentioned) [12].

12   In this context, the imperial succession, the massive presence of
13 the title of "tsar" and the donations to Mount Athos are no longer the
14 result of a "transaltio imperii", but are the result of the full belonging
15 of entire Moldova and Wallachia to the Balkans, both through the
16 origin of the dynasty and aristocracy, and through religious
17 affiliation to Orthodoxy, the only Christian community that resists
18 legitimately without rebellion in its history (as the rebellion of the
19 pope against synodality or Monophysites, etc.), the apostolic roots.

20   Thus we have an explanation for the art and architecture of the
21 Romanian space, as well as for the whole Romanian Byzantine
22 liturgical civilization.

23   Adrian Andrei Rusu's considerations about pagan Romans in the
24 north of the Danube[13], arbitrarily postulated and thus affirmed based
25 on the reality of the non-existence of tombs and churches before the
26 11th – 12th centuries (Byzantine 13th century) definitively exclude
27 ethnogenesis in the north of the Danube. It is impossible for the
28 language developed by the Aromanians, for example, who have
29 Christian continuity, to be identical with the language spoken by
30 "Dacian-Romanians", who were supposed to have a vast pagan
31 stage, according to the Cluj scientist trying to save continuity.

32   The expansion of Serbian civilization following Dragutin's
33 Hungarian royal claim to the extinction of the Árpádian dynasty, its
34 assimilation of Hungarian and Pecheneg-Cuman aristocratic
35 elements that integrated into the Moldavian and Muntenian

---

11. Stefan Staretu, *Europe: Serbian Despotate of Srem and the Romanian Area: Between the 14th and the 16th Centuries*, Derc Publishing House (December 19, 2018, Amazon), SUA .

12. Dragomir M. Acovic, *Heraldika i Srbi*, Zavod za Utbenike, Beograd, 2008: 32.

13. Adrian Andrei Rusu, *Castelul şi spada: cultura materială a elitelor din Transilvania în Evul Mediu târziu*, Ed. Mega, Cluj-Napoca, 2019: 829.

aristocracy, the homogeneous Orthodox character of this aristocracy and the certain presence of the Serbian-Byzantine imperial ideology around the North Danube "landlords" gives meaning to an obvious reality: Moldavia and The Romanian Country-Wallachia are the state vestiges of a Northern Serbian Kingdom-Kingdom of Srem, founded by Dragutin, which went through the experience of trying to absorb Hungary, on the clear basis of the rights of dynastic succession (the Angevins are usurpers by genealogy, but supported by the papacy).

The grafting of a Cuman element to the Muntenian dynasty, which did not necessarily give the trunk of the succession (the name Radoslav-Vladislav, Radu-Vlad specific to Dragutin's family, dominates the Muntenian genealogy, in relation to Basarab isolated family), grafting postulated by Mr. Djuvara[14], does not change the Serbian-Romanian cultural landscape of the Wallachian monarchy.

From this perspective, the immigrationist theory of Romanian ethnogenesis must be translated from the model of pastoral migration to the model of aristocratic and royal migration. The mistake of Hungarian historiography is not in presenting the phenomenon, but in postulating the social status of those who came.

The legend of Roman and Vlahata remains a distorted form of myth and mythology of this phenomenon. The attempts of imperial succession in Serbia or even Byzantium of Stephen the Great, Neagoe Basarab, Petru Rareș or Alexandru Lăpușneanu, the traces of a dynastic cult around them that comes precisely from their discovery in the posterity of the holy Serbian dynasty, no longer seem so awkward, as well as "The Lament of Ivan Peresvetov" [15], the icons of Saints Simion and Sava in all monasteries and churches from "Bucovina" or Argeș in the crowning and funerary space par excellence of the Wallachian dynasty, or the presence of the term Axial Vidovdan term in the Teachings of Neagoe, appear to us as natural phenomena.

I consider that both Lazarević and Bogdan or the Wallachian dynasty improperly called "Basarab" must have their origin in the succession of Stefan Dragutin, Serbian Moravia (also called Lazarus's Wallachia in documents) or Hungarian-Wallachia even coinciding

14. Neagu Djuvara, *Thocomerius-Negru Vodă-Un voivod de origine cumană la începuturile Țării Românești / A voivode of Cuman Origin at the Beginning of Wallachia*, Humanitas Publisher, Bucharest, 2007: 24-36.

15. Ștefan Ciobanu (translation by), *Manual de domnie al Țărilor Ruși/ Reign Manual of the Russian Tsars* or *Plângerea lui Ivan Peresvetov / Ivan Peresvetov's Complaint*, Fundației Anastasia Publisher, Bucharest, 2004: 8-36.

territorially with the state of these Nemanid kings and Árpádian monarchs.

The belonging to the Serbian Orthodoxy of this ensemble is undeniable, being demonstrated by the architecture, hagiography and early liturgical language.

The absorption of some elements of Bulgarian imperial ideology (the title of *IO*, taken over by Vladislav Vlaicu after the conquest of Vidin, or elements of title) are related to Vidin's influence on this northern Serbian kingdom, and does not decisively influence the cultural spectrum of the area.

The fact that Srem is listed together with Transylvania, Hungarian-Wallachia and Maramureș in papal and Hungarian documents as being inhabited by Wallachians (a term applied by Hungarians for the Serbian-Vlach aristocratic synthesis we are talking about) shows their connection, even in the system conceived by Catholic propaganda for their conversion from Orthodoxy to Catholicism as a form of pope pressure on the Angevins.

It is obvious, in the context of the researches of Messrs. Năsturel, Marinescu and Năstase, that Hilandar was the axial center of the system of donations from Athos of the Muntenian and Moldavian rulers, just as it is obvious that the other group of monasteries based on aids from them is the one from Serbia, not the one from Bulgaria, proof of the Serbian dynastic succession, and not Bulgarian of those called today Romanians (Athos is taken over as a bloc, because it was formed in the time of Dušan as a bloc, Dušan who donated to each of them, including Zografu, where he stayed during his visit).

The phenomena described by Mr. Năstase in his synthesis are too deep to be the result of a cultural mimicry. They are not to be found in the powerful Russian-Lithuanian Orthodox aristocracy. Families like Ostrogsky, Wiszniovyecky or Holshansky do not enter this game, although financially they were even stronger than the rulers of Moldova and Wallachia. The phenomenon is different.

The phenomenon says a lot about what medieval Serbia was like and about its posterity in the northern Danube world.

Conclusions

Serbia, a space of civilization resulting from the fusion of Illyrian Romanians who took refuge in the Dinaric Alps after the Avar invasion, merged with a Slavic aristocracy different from that of the invaders from Bulgaria and Macedonia today, aristocracy that was probably already accepted in the military Byzantine world since the time of Justinian, space that developed a Romanian-Slavic bilingualism that is still specific to Serbia, and a dual, Serbian geographical identity, after a Byzantine name, and social-ethnic Vlach, radiated on Hungarian power structures to northeast, which is part of an older expansion of the Byzantine influence on Hungary (Bela-Alexios, Elena de Rashka, Stephen V and others).

Stefan Dušan must be seen as the definitive architect of saving Dragutin's claim, the Negru Vodă Na(n)-Grutin double usurped as already stated, in the north, by the defining support of Wallachia and Moldavia against the Angevins, and after the Ottoman expansion, the entire aristocracy there is assimilated in this space, not between foreigners, but as an end to a phenomenon of moving from south to north of Serbia beginning in the 13th century (Branković, Jaksic and other families merged in our nobility and not in other aristocracies, to which we can add the Cantacuzino, who also come as "Serbians").

Tihomir (Negru Vodă), Basarab's father from Hungarian sources, seems to be the same Ștefan Dragutin, the nemanid king dethroned by his younger brother, as detailed above, and then a monk, and canonized as the monk Teoctist. The name Tihomir, specific to the nemanid dynasty, and present in both the Dalmatian and Bulgarian branches of the dynasty, is the only one that can explain the monastic name of the Serbian king, legitimate heir of the Arpadian dynasty, son of Maria Arpad's older sister, through whom the Angevins will he finally usurped the throne of the Hungarian kingdom. Obviously, historiography has approached this discovery in steps[16], but following successive clarifications, the following history emerges: Stefan Tihomir, known as Dragutin, *the beloved*, after his dethronement in Serbia, motivated by pro-Byzantine interests,

---

16. Adrian Ioniță, Beatrice Kelemen, Alexandru Simon, *AL WA Prințul Negru al Valahiei și vremurile sale*, Academia Română, Centrul de Studii Trnasilvane, Ed. Argonaut, Cluj-Napoca, 2017: despre ipoteza originii materne a Basarabilor din ramura lui Ștefan Vladislav, p. 473 și Petre Buneci, Bogdan Gâlculescu, Ștefan Dumitrache, Cristian Moșneanu, *Ordinul Cavalerilor Basarabi -Order of the Bassarabian Chivalry*, Ed. Neverland, București, 2020 și Tiberiu Frățilă-Felmer, *Basarabii Valahiei-Heraldică și genealogie*, Asociația Villa Wellmer, 2016: 3-88.

1   formed a strong kingdom of colonization, if we can call it that, in a
2   vast area that starts in western Bosnia and ends in Olt, by
3   agglutinating the many bans of the area. The aristocratic
4   colonizations in this area, the establishment of an Orthodox
5   hierarchy that slowly dislocated the Catholic one, the assimilation of
6   most Hungarian nobles in the area through mixed marriages
7   favoring the Orthodox side[17], created in the Kingdom of Srem an
8   ethnic reality anchored in the civilization of non-Serbian Serbia. the
9   most advanced part of the Arpadian kingdom. The whole structure
10   was deployed in Cumania, part of the Hungarian kingdom east of
11   Olt, but also in the entire Tisa basin, to the area of Mureș
12   Hunedorean or Maramureș, following the arrival of the Angevins,
13   who engaged in usurping Dragutin's right to the Arpadian throne
14   with papal support.

15   The Greeks come to the north also as a result of this inertia from
16   the ancient Dušanian space to the north, and therefore were never
17   considered foreigners in reality, but organically assimilated, as a
18   process of rebuilding that Empire of Serbia and Romania entirely
19   conceived by Dušan, intuited by N. Iorga as Byzantium after
20   Byzantium.

21   The complexity of the phenomenon places us in the reality and
22   takes us out of the primitivizing mythology of Trajan's Dacian-
23   Romans, pagans, in forests, to suddenly in the 14th century to rise
24   royal, Slavic-Byzantine, and sophisticated.

25   Indeed, many of today's Orthodox Romanians are the remnant
26   of the posterity of Andrew III, the last Árpádian, of his Serbian
27   posterity, and the Catholic Hungarians, the remnants of his Angevin
28   posterity.

Bibliography

Acović, Dragomir M. *Heraldika i Srbi*, Zavod za Utbenike. Beograd, 2008: 32.

Barițiu, Ge. "Ioan Corvin de Hunedoara. Originea, genealogia, faptele sale immortali/ John Corvinus Hunyadi. The Origin, the Genealogy, His Immortal Deeds", in *Transilvania. Foia Asociatiunei transilvane pentru literatura romana si cultura poporului romanu/Transylvania. Sheet of the*

---

17. P. P. Panaitescu, *Cronicile slavo-romîne din sec. XV-XVI, publicate de Ion Bogdan*, ediție revăzută și completată, *Editura Academiei R.P.R.*, București, 1959: 158-161.

1 *Transylvanian Association for Romanian Literature and Culture of the Romanian*
2 *People*, Year VI, nr. 5-10, 1873.
3 Bojović, Boško I. *L'Ideologie Monarchique dans les Hagio-Biographies Dynastiques*
4 *du Moyen Age Serbe*, Orientalia Christiana Analecta 248, Pontifcio Istituto
5 Orientale, Roma, 1995 : 173-204.
6 Buneci, Petre, Gâlculescu, B., Dumitrache, Ș., Moșneanu, C. *Ordinul Cavalerilor*
7 *Basarabi -Order of the Bassarabian Chivalry*, Ed. Neverland, București, 2020.
8 Căprăroiu, D. „Opinii istoriografice despre unul dintre voievozii cu numele
9 Bogdan din secolul al XIV-lea/ Historiographical Opinions about One of
10 the Voivodes Named Bogdan from the 14th Century", in *Analele*
11 *Universităţii din Craiova. Seria Istorie/ Annals of the University of Craiova.*
12 *History Series*, XVI, nr. 1 (19), Craiova, 2011: 27-43.
13 Chihaia, P. *Învăţături şi Mituri în Ţara Românească/Teachings and Myths in*
14 *Wallachia*, Ex Ponto Publisher, Constanța, 2010: 127-136.
15 Ciobanu, Ștefan (translation by), *Manual de domnie al Țarilor Ruși/Reign Manual*
16 *of the Russian Tsars* or *Plângerea lui Ivan Peresvetov/Ivan Peresvetov's*
17 *Complaint*, Fundaţiei Anastasia Publisher, Bucharest, 2004: 8-36.
18 Ćirković, Sima, *La Serbie au Moyen Age*, Zodiaque, Paris, 1992 :7-15.
19 Codul de Legi al lui Ștefan *Dušan*/Stefan Dušan's Code of Laws (Information
20 on: http://www.Dušanov-zakonik.com/).
21 Djuvara, Neagu, *Thocomerius-Negru Vodă-Un voivod de origine cumană la*
22 *începuturile Ţării Româneşti/A voivode of Cuman Origin at the Beginning of*
23 *Wallachia*, Humanitas Publisher, Bucharest, 2007: 24-36.
24 Dlugoss (Jan Długosz), Ioannes, „Historia Polonica", in *Ștefan cel Mare și Sfânt/*
25 *Stephen the Great and Saint 1504-2004, Portret în cronică/ Portrait in the*
26 *Chronicle*, Holy Monastery Putna, 2004: 158-172.
27 DRH, B, I, doc. 97: 168-171.
28 Frăţilă-Felmer, T. *Basarabii Valahiei-Heraldică și genealogie*, Asociaţia Villa
29 Wellmer, 2016: 3-88.
30 Ioniță, A., Kelemen, B., Simon, A. *AL WA Prințul Negru al Valahiei și vremurile*
31 *sale*, Academia Română, Centrul de Studii Trnasilvane, Ed. Argonaut,
32 Cluj-Napoca, 2017.
33 Ivković, Zorica Zlatić, *Stefanu Nemanju povodom 900 godina od rođenja* (*1113-*
34 *2013)*, Grafoprint, Beograd, 2013, Grafoprint, Beograd, 2013: 142.
35 Panaitescu, P. P. *Cronicile slavo-romîne din sec. XV-XVI, publicate de Ion Bogdan*,
36 ediție revăzută și completată, *Editura Academiei R.P.R.*, București, 1959:
37 158-161.
38 Rusu, A. A. *Castelul și spada: cultura materială a elitelor din Transilvania în Evul*
39 *Mediu târziu*, Ed. Mega, Cluj-Napoca, 2019.
40 Staretu, Stefan, *Europe: Serbian Despotate of Srem and the Romanian Area: Between*
41 *the 14th and the 16th Centuries*, Derc Publishing House (December 19, 2018,
42 Amazon), SUA.

1   Székely, Maria Magdalena, „Obârşia lui Luca Arbure. O ipoteză/ The origin of
2   Luca Arbure. A Hypothesis", in Violeta Barbu Publisher, vol. *In honorem*
3   *Paul Cernovodeanu*, Bucharest, 1998: 419-429.
4
5