Menace of School-Related Gender-based Violence in Higher Institutions

School-based violence against female students relates to acts of sexual, physical, emotional, or psychological abuse and brutality inflicted on students in and around schools. This study adopted a descriptive survey research design to investigate the subject matter. The total number of respondents in the study was 602 students, which served as the sample in this study. A researcher-developed questionnaire was used for data generation. Mean and standard deviation were used to answer the research question raised in the study. The hypotheses generated were analysed with an independent t-test at 0.05 level of significance. The major causes of SRGBV, as indicated by the respondents, were broken homes where there is separation of parents, economic factors such as poverty, and unemployment. The main consequences of SRGBV were truancy, absenteeism, and eventual dropout. The most widely acceptable way strategy to control the menace of SRGBV in colleges of education was the use of widespread public enlightenment on the evils of violence against females. In conclusion, SRGBV on students is a serious problem with a wide range of causes and negative consequences. It was recommended that Government and Non-Government Organizations should organize public enlightenment programmes to educate the public on the evils associated with SRGBV.
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Introduction

In this modern era, education is globally acknowledged as a crucial tool for the sustainable transformation of society. Thus, it remains a viable means for developing individuals' cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains. The school remains the most universally appropriate, dynamic, and recognized formal agency of education (Barrett et al., 2019). School is generally considered to develop and reinforce citizens positively with pro-social attitudes and a place where adolescents are prepared for the role they are to play in society at large (Allen et al., 2021). The school is embedded with numerous critical functions, including cultural innovation, socialization, social change, social integration, and social placement (Tibi, 2020). In an ideal clime, one would expect that an agency that performs such critical roles would be a haven for all learners, irrespective of gender, tribe, or religion. However, this is not always the case, as schools are otherwise viewed as hostile antechambers within which the wider society's power struggle, ascendency, intolerance, segregation, and inequality practices are replicated (Gruijters et al., 2022). Schools are now sites of violence, and minorities and females are often targets for such acts (Gyan et al., 2017).

Violence has been defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the deliberate use of physical (naked) force to threatened or actual use against oneself, another person, or a group of persons that either aimed at or results in causing
injury, death, psychological harm, mal-development, or deprivation (World Health Organization. (2019). Violence against minorities and females and attempts to avert it have an extensive history. The focal point of studies on violence against females has basically been focused on domestic/household violence, but in recent years, there has been a rise in acknowledging that domestic violence is as much a barrier to women’s advancement as is violence in the public sphere (Russo, 2019).

In recent years, there have been growing reports of violence against females at different levels of educational institutions, also known as gender-based violence in educational settings. This is known as school-based violence against female students, which has turned out to be a global phenomenon (Alim, 2021). Cases of school-based violence have been categorized and reported differently and without reference to gender, e.g., unregulated and excessive corporal punishment, bullying, and physical assault (sometimes with sticks) should be included alongside sexual harassment, sexual abuse, and rape because they are also manifestations of school-based violence against females (Mennicke et al., 2020).

School-based violence is considered a form of violence that occurs in and around schools. School-based violence against female students relates to acts of sexual, physical, emotional, or psychological abuse and violence inflicted on students in and around schools because of labelling and roles attributed to or expected of them because of their sex or gendered identity (Olsen et al., 2017). It embodies all forms of violence, most especially noticeable when female students are ill-treated based on traditional gender-specific roles. This may vary from requiring only females to clean classrooms and school grounds to exposing them to demeaning, sexually laden language that undermines their self-esteem (Kor et al., 2022). It may occur in the classroom, teachers’ residences, toilets, dormitories, and the roads and areas near schools. School-based violence is a complex, multifaceted societal issue with its root causes and consequences within the spheres of society (Cuellar, 2016). It has become so persistent that the United Nations (U.N.) described it as an ‘everyday violence’ that violates children’s human rights and causes them to suffer. It has been identified as a threat to national development and could hamper the attainment of the Sustainable Development Goals (S.D.G.s) (Leal & Marisa, 2021). It was further explained that when female students drop out of school as a direct consequence of violence, the economic growth of a country suffers. A case in point was that Nigeria lost US$1.662 million in 2008 for failing to educate girls to the same standards as boys (Burger, 2022).

The safety and wellbeing of students within the school is an oft-cited but perhaps less well-understood determinant of children, especially girls’ participation in an educational system. As studies have shown, violence toward girls in schools is pervasive globally, resulting in an unsafe learning environment, which contributes significantly to making girls discontinue their studies or parents’ refutation to enroll and keep their daughters in school (Brehim & Boyle, 2018). In a report by the U.N. Human Rights Office, it was revealed that girls in at least 70 countries, including Nigeria, are facing increasing threats and violence for trying to attend school (Al-Jazeera, 2015). It is estimated that approximately 246 million students suffer from school-based violence every year based on the number of
children affected by verbal bullying, which is a common, widespread form of school-based violence (Education for All (E.F.A.), 2020). The report added that such violence makes many girls easy prey to sexual violence, intimidation, harassment, excessive corporal punishment, and abduction, which has resulted in lower attendance rates at school.

Some studies have examined that most forms of school-related gender-based violence (SRGBV) are deeply rooted in unequal gender dealings, gendered social norms, and discriminatory practices (Mutinta, 2022). SRGBV has been categorised into three broad categories, which are as follows; undignified and corporal punishment; Sexual violence, abuse, and exploitation; and other forms of violence are expressions of physical violence, psychological violence, or bullying (Ginestra, 2022).

Research Questions

i. What are the causes of SRGBV in colleges of education?
ii. What are the consequences of SRGBV in colleges of education?
iii. What strategies can be employed to control the menace of SRGBV in colleges of education?

Research Hypotheses

H_01: There is no significant difference in the causes of SRGBV in colleges of education based on school ownership.

H_02: There is no significant difference in the consequences of SRGBV in colleges of education based on school ownership.

Literature Review

Studies on SRGBV that focused on the causes, effects, and likely remedies for students were identified and reviewed. Violence against girls in schools was examined by Badri (2014). Within the study, the author determined that social and cultural elements are linked to the causes of violence in schools. The causes and expressions of gender-based violence in Namibian schools were investigated in a related study conducted by Brown (2016). The reasons for gender-based violence in schools have been identified as capacity limitations, societal and cultural expectations, gender inequity, and a lackluster system of coordination and control. According to Chikwiri & Lemmer (2017), there are unsupervised, dangerous places on school property where violence may break out and no efficient channels for reporting incidents. SRGBV on students is exacerbated by the school’s lax adherence to safety regulations as well as an apparent culture of silence upheld by peers, instructors, parents, and victims (Chikwiri & Lemmer, 2017). In a similar vein, Mingude & Dejene (2020) discovered cultural elements that could raise G.B.V. in schools, such as associations between sex and violence in the media. According to
Wanjiru’s (2021) research, laws, norms, and practices that are discriminatory due to social, cultural, or religious factors have the potential to marginalize women and girls and violate their rights. In the Ilorin, Uyanne (2021) looked into the types, causes, and effects of gender-based violence against teenagers enrolled in school. It was confirmed that the following factors contribute to gender-based violence: poverty, drug abuse, personality disorders, parents who fail to fulfill their duties to their children, low academic achievement, children’s experience of violence between parents when they were children, parental extramarital relationships, parental abused of family revenue, a lack of ethical behaviors and a lack of fear of God in the home, and the death of one or both parents. In their study, Saadu et al. (2022) examined how teachers perceived the widespread incidence and causes of gender-based violence. Gender-based violence has been linked to some factors, including unemployment, shifting economic statuses for men and women, low economic progress, poverty, and the unequal distribution of power between men and women. Place of residence, having witnessed parental violence, substance usage, marital status, and educational attainment were all strongly correlated with G.B.V. (Beyene et al., 2019).

However, research on the effects of SRGBV has been talked about. As stated by Sanusi (2015), victims of sexual and gender-based violence may be at risk for high rates of unintended pregnancies, abortions, S.T.D.s including HIV/AIDS, dropping out of school, difficulty focusing in class, and obstacles to girls’ access to higher education. Chitsamatanga and Rembe (2019) explored school-related gender-based violence as a violation of children’s rights to education. The findings identified low self-esteem, absenteeism, impaired concentration, school dropout, death ideation, and high health risks, especially for the girl child, as the consequences of SRGBV. Onoyase (2019) reported that SRGBV may result in victims finding it difficult to concentrate in class. Students who are constantly bullied may develop fear about school, become irregular in school, and may eventually drop out. The study conducted by Tanton et al. (2020) and Barasa et al. (2021) revealed that victims of SBGRV experienced negative effects on their educational experiences, mental health, and overall wellbeing. According to the research of Beyene et al. (2021), G.B.V. has adverse effects on female high school students in all domains, notably sexual, mental, physical, and overall. They stated that in addition to low academic performance, absenteeism, and dropout rates, there was swelling surrounding the genitalia, self-blame, atypical vaginal discharge, anxiety, and damage surrounding the genitalia. Among the additional effects of SBGRV are poor mental health, S.T.I.s, unintended pregnancies, depression, and death (Mtetwa, 2017).

Finally, the researchers thought it appropriate to mention earlier research related to SRGBV solutions. Parkes (2016) concluded that institutions of learning must create sensitive curricula that confront prejudiced and discriminatory views on gender and sexuality, address how violence influences masculine identities, and foster inclusive school environments. According to a separate study, there may be remedies in educational strategies that hinder violence and advance gender equality in preparing educators for both preventing and responding to SRGBV in
students and in creating secure environments where co-curricular measures can serve as a helpful starting point for tackling SRGBV (Psaki et al., 2017). The threat posed by sexual and gender-based violence (SRGBV) can be addressed, according to Bradbury-Jones et al. (2017) and Devine and Ojeda (2017), by increasing the capacity of educators and other staff members to advocate for, create, and enforce a code of conduct, enhancing reporting, monitoring, and accountability, and educating children about their rights, involvement, and equality based on gender. Mahlori et al. (2018) argued that increasing G.B.V. activism, taking the lead on gender initiatives, and encouraging more people to attend GBV-related events could resolve SRGBV linked to student difficulties. Other mechanisms suggested to lessen the threat in schools include using students’ native languages, incorporating G.B.V. prevention into the curriculum, and having school administrators organize sensitization programs that involve hanging posters across the school campus (Waterman et al., 2021).

Methodology

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design to investigate the subject matter. A descriptive survey is generally the most appropriate when the intention is to explore, describe, or explain the features of a phenomenon (Babbie, 2015). The descriptive research is also well thought-out and appropriate because it helps unfold prevailing practices, situations, conditions, and issues the study sets to inspect and portray. The design was suitable for the study because the researcher could investigate the menace of SRGBV in tertiary institutions in Kwara State, Nigeria. All female students in colleges of education across the state served as the participants in this study. It was gathered from initial discussions with stakeholders in teacher education that female students were more likely to experience SRGBV than their male counterparts. Thus, the reason for the choice of the female population as participants in the study.

There are 15 colleges of education in Kwara State. A stratified sampling technique was used to classify the colleges based on ownership (11 Private; 4 Public). Fifty percent of the colleges were selected to gather better information and generalization. Thus, five private and two public colleges were employed in the study through random sampling techniques. The total number of respondents in the study was 602 students, which served as the sample in this study. A researcher-developed questionnaire was used for data generation titled “Menace of School-Related Gender-Based Violence Questionnaire” (MSRGBVQ). Before proceeding with data collection, appropriate approvals were received from the authorities in each of the selected colleges of education. The participants were enlightened on the reasons for the research, and all ethical guidelines were adhered to strictly. The instrument was administered directly with the aid of a research assistant to the respondents, who were guided on how to complete the questionnaire items. The data collected from the respondents were analyzed using percentages to describe the demographic characteristics of the respondents. Mean and standard deviation
were used to answer the research question raised in the study. The hypotheses generated were analysed with an independent t-test at 0.05 significance level.

Data Analysis and Results

In this section, data analysis is presented below. A breakdown shows that public colleges 1 had the highest number of participants, followed by public college 2. This is further displayed in Table 1 and Figure 1 below.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colleges</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private College 1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private College 2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private College 3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private College 4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private College 5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public College 1</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public College 2</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Graphical Distribution of Demographic Characteristics of Respondents
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Answering Research Questions

R.Q. 1: What are the causes of SRGBV in colleges of education?

Table 2. Ranking Order of the respondents’ responses on causes of SRGBV in Colleges of Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broken home where there is separation of parents</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic factors such as poverty, unemployment</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience of domestic/home crisis</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overpopulation in class which may be difficult for effective management by teacher</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of appropriate information on sex education</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum contents that perpetuate stereotypes</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School environment such as the use of the same toilets by both gender</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School rules that don’t discourage stringent punishment</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table indicates that 602 respondents participated in this study. The major causes of SRGBV, as indicated by the respondents, are broken homes, where there is the separation of parents with the highest mean score of 3.74 (1<sup>st</sup>), economic factors such as poverty, unemployment with a mean score of 3.62 (2<sup>nd</sup>), exposure to domestic/home crisis with a mean score of 3.38 (3<sup>rd</sup>). At the same time, school rules that don’t discourage stringent punishment have the least mean scores of 2.86.

R.Q. 2: What are the consequences of SRGBV in colleges of education?

Table 3. Ranking Order of the respondents’ responses on consequences of SRGBV in Colleges of Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequences</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violence against female students can result in truancy and eventual dropout</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape can result in unwanted pregnancy</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiological violence caused by verbal abuse can lead to low self-esteem, e.g., depression.</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of female students to lecture could adversely affect their academic performance</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abortion could be the resultant effect of rape</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse can result in sexually transmitted diseases, e.g., H.I.V./Aids</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustration and abuse of female students by male counterparts could result in aggressive behavior among students in schools</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The table indicates that 602 respondents participated in this study. The main consequences of SRGBV were its results in truancy, absenteeism, and eventual dropout, with a highest mean score of 3.32 (1st). Rape can result in unwanted pregnancy, with a mean score of 3.24 (2nd). Physiological violence caused by verbal abuse can lead to low self-esteem, e.g., depression, with a mean score of 2.32 (3rd). In contrast, the treatment of males with preference over female students can lead to sex struggle, having a least mean score of 1.98.

R.Q. 3: What are the way forward strategies to control the menace of SRGBV in colleges of education?

Table 4. Ranking Order on the Strategies to Control the Menace of SRGBV in Colleges of Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies to Control the Menace</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Widespread public enlightenment on the evils of violence against female Students</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling teachers and students on the need to embrace love and jettison violence</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involvement of Parents Teachers’ Association in school activities</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy formulation and enforcement on the rights of female students</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favourable school rules and regulations</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>5th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum content on violence against female Students</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of learning materials and mediums that promote gender equality</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>7th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminar and workshop on effective means and strategies to curb violence against female students</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>8th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex education for both genders</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>9th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table indicates that 602 respondents participated in this study. The most widely acceptable way strategy to control the menace of SRGBV in colleges of education was the use of widespread public enlightenment on the evils of violence against female students, which has the highest mean score of 3.26 (1st), counselling of teachers and students on the need to embrace love and jettison violence with a mean score of 3.12 (2nd), involvement of parents teachers association in school activities with a mean score of 2.86 (3rd). In contrast, sex education for both genders has the lowest mean score of 1.86.

Hypothesis Testing

Two research hypotheses postulated for this study were tested using the t-test.
**H₀₁**: There is no significant difference in the causes of SRGBV in colleges of education based on school ownership.

The questionnaire responses about the causes of SRGBV in colleges of education were compiled based on school ownership to evaluate this study hypothesis. Table 5 displays the outcome of the t-test analysis of the study’s data collection.

**Table 5.** t-test Analysis of the Difference in the Causes of SRGBV in Colleges of Education based on School Ownership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std.</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Cal.t-Value</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>H₀₁ Not Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>51.59</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>H₀₂ Not Rejected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5’s result indicates a t-value of .14 and an alpha level of 0.89 > 0.05. Hence, hypothesis one is not rejected because 0.89 is higher than the 0.05 alpha threshold. This suggests no discernible variation in the causes of SRGBV in education institutes according to ownership.

**H₀₂**: There is no significant difference in the consequences of SRGBV in colleges of education based on school ownership.

The questionnaire responses about the effects of SRGBV in education colleges were compiled according to school ownership in order to evaluate this study hypothesis. Table 6 displays the outcome of the t-test analysis of the study’s data collection.

**Table 6.** t-test Analysis of the Difference in the Consequences of SRGBV in Colleges of Education based on School Ownership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std.</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Cal.t-Value</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>H₀₂ Not Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>51.07</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>H₀₂ Not Rejected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6’s result indicates a t-value of .65 and an alpha level of 0.52 > 0.05. Hence, hypothesis two is not rejected because 0.52 is higher than the 0.05 alpha threshold. This suggests no discernible variation in the effects of SRGBV in educational institutions according to ownership.
Discussion

The study’s findings showed that several factors, including parent-child separation in broken homes, economic factors like poverty and unemployment, exposure to domestic and home difficulties, and class overcrowding, which may make it challenging for teachers to manage effectively, contribute to the threat of SRGBV in higher education. There are several contributing variables to the complicated issue of school-based violence against female students. Although there isn’t a single reason for this widespread issue, the study has uncovered a number of crucial components that are involved. Broken households are strongly associated with a higher likelihood of violence against female pupils in schools. There is a higher likelihood of violence in schools for children whose parents are divorced or single parents. Several things, which include inadequate supervision and support, elevated stress and anxiety, and exposure to violence, might be the cause of this. It is quite probable that many circumstances are involved in every instance, as there is no one reason for this issue. A thorough strategy that considers all of the relevant elements will be needed to address this problem. The results of this study are consistent with Uyanne (2021) and Saadu et al. (2022), who proposed that poverty, parental inability to meet their child’s needs, and childhood exposure to violence between parents are factors contributing to sexual and gender-based violence (SRGBV).

Also, it was discovered that sexual harassment and rape might result in unintended pregnancy, that verbal abuse could create physiological violence that could lead to despair, suicidal thoughts, drug addiction, and misuse, and that SRGBV on students could result in truancy, absenteeism, and final dropout. SRGBV on students can majorly affect their wellbeing and educational opportunities. The most frequent aftereffect of violence in schools is truancy and absence. Girls who experience abuse in the classroom are more likely to miss lessons, arrive late, or quit entirely. Their academic success and prospects for the future may suffer significantly as a result. Girls who experience violence at school are more likely to be truant or absent for a few reasons. Initially, individuals might be terrified to attend school because to concerns about being harassed or attacked by others. Secondly, they can be experiencing overwhelming levels of tension and worry, which might hinder their ability to concentrate in class. Thirdly, they may be dealing with psychological or physical trauma that prevents them from going to school. The results of this research support the independent investigations by Chitsamūtanga and Rembe (2019); and Onoyase (2019), which show that SRGBV may negatively impact victims’ learning experiences, health, and wellbeing.

It was also found in this study that the use of widespread public education about the evils of violence against female students, counselling of teachers and students on the need to embrace love and give up violence, and the involvement of parent-teacher associations in school activities were found to be the most widely accepted ways to control the threat of SRGBV in higher education. Increasing public knowledge of the problem and teaching people about the negative impacts of violence against people, especially women and girls, is one strategy to reduce SRGBV. Public service announcements, educational campaigns, and training on
awareness, the many types of SRGBV, the indications of misuse, and how to obtain treatment are just a few of the ways this may be accomplished. Counseling and supporting both violent offenders and victims is a crucial part of the fight against SRGBV. This can aid in the healing process for victims and teach offenders new techniques for handling conflict. Additionally, counseling may be utilized to teach people how to have good relationships and how to settle disputes amicably. Working with schools to establish a secure and encouraging learning environment, parent-teacher associations (P.T.A.s) can be crucial in reducing sexual harassment and bullying (SRGBV). According to Bradbury-Jones et al. (2017) and Devine and Ojeda (2017), reducing sexual and gender-based violence against children may be facilitated by developing and enforcing a code of conduct, enhancing reporting, monitoring, and accountability, and educating children about their rights, this study supports their findings.

Additionally, this study showed that ownership did not significantly alter the causes of SRGBV in educational institutions. Regardless of whether a college is private or public, the causes of sexual harassment and gender-based violence remain the same. This implies that neither kind of institution is uniquely affected by the conditions that lead to SRGBV. This observation has several plausible interpretations. One theory is that independent of the kind of institution, the elements leading to SRGBV are more common in society. There is also a chance that educational institutions, independent of who owns them, have comparable cultures and customs that support SRGBV. Whatever the explanation, the finding that there is no significant difference in the causes of SRGBV based on ownership suggests that prevention efforts should focus on addressing the underlying causes of SRGBV, regardless of the type of institution. This includes promoting healthy relationships, teaching conflict resolution skills, and providing support services for victims of SRGBV (Samakao & Manda, 2023).

Lastly, it was discovered that there was no discernible variation in the effects of SRGBV in educational institutions according to ownership. This indicates that victims of SRGBV are likely to face comparable bad outcomes, such as emotional and physical harm, trouble in school, mental health issues, and social isolation, regardless of the kind of institution. SRGBV may have particular repercussions besides these broad ones depending on the kind of institution. For instance, SRGBV may make it more probable for students attending private institutes of education to have financial difficulties as they may need to take out loans to pay for tuition and other expenses. Additionally, because public institutions are more likely to have systems and processes in place for reporting and penalizing offenders of SRGBV, students at public schools of education may also be more likely to face legal repercussions. Regardless of the kind of institution, prevention initiatives should concentrate on treating the root causes of SRGBV (von Meullen & van der Waldt, 2022). This includes encouraging wholesome relationships, imparting knowledge on resolving conflicts, and offering assistance to SRGBV sufferers.
Conclusion and Recommendations

In conclusion, SRGBV on students is a serious problem with a wide range of causes and negative consequences. It is essential to take steps to prevent this violence from happening in the first place. Additionally, it is crucial to provide support services to individuals, especially girls who have been victims of school-based violence, so that they can recover from their experiences and build healthy, happy lives. Therefore, it was recommended that:

1. Government and Non-Government Organizations should organize public enlightenment programmes to educate the public (students, teachers, parents) on the evils and dangers associated with SRGBV on students.
2. Parents’ Teachers’ Association (P.T.A) should promote the teachers-parent relationship so as to draw remedies to sensitive students’ problems that may lead to violence in the schools.
3. Government should enact strict laws against all forms of violence, especially those concerning females and minority students in educational institutions in order to ensure the attainment of the agenda of Education For All (E.F.A.).
4. The school guidance and counselling unit should organize orientation programmes for students on the importance of peaceful co-existence in school settings and society.
5. There should be more coverage of school-based violence (especially against females and other minority groups) education in the curriculum. And the need to accommodate and tolerate colleagues and other students within the school system should be encouraged among students.

Limitations of this Study

Participants’ self-reported data was used in the study. This implies that the results might be skewed since SRGBV offenders might be more inclined to deny their involvement, and victims would be reluctant to disclose their experiences. One particular kind of higher education institution that was the subject of the investigation was the colleges of education. This implies that other institutions, such as universities and technical colleges, might not be able to use the findings. Notwithstanding these drawbacks, the research offers insightful information about the origins and effects of SRGBV in higher education. The results of the study can be utilized to create preventative and intervention plans that are more successful.

References


2 Studies. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529714388.n256
8 https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/2z6qa
10 perceptions of discipline and how often acts of indiscipline occur in Ghanaian
12 Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 1(3), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.21694/2378-
13 7031.15011
15 students’ harmful sexual behaviors: A Scoping Review. Trauma, Violence, & Abuse,
19 sustainable development goals. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-
20 95882-8_300068
22 violence among university staff: Interim Results. SAGE Open, 8(3). https://doi.org/
23 10.1177/2158244018800163
25 school boys’ and girls’ risk of sexual harassment, sexual violence, stalking, and
27 801220937811
29 based violence among Baso high school female students. Reproductive Health,
31 Metawa, S. (2017). Definitions, prevalence and ways to curb gender-based violence:
32 empirical findings from police and public in Bindura, Zimbabwe. International
33 Journal of Humanities and Social Science 7(7), 171-177.
34 Mutinta, G. (2022) Gender-based violence among female students and implications for
35 health intervention programmes in public universities in Eastern Cape, South
36 Africa. Cogent Social Sciences, 8(1), 12-20. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.20
37 22.079212
41 0886260517708757
42 Onoyase, J. (2019). School-related gender-based violence (SRGBV) and its consequences
43 on secondary school students: implications for counselling. Journal of Education and
44 Training Studies, 8(2), 29-37. https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v8i2.4671
45 Parkes, J. (2016). The evolution of policy enactment on gender-based violence in
48 in school and at home and education outcomes in rural Malawi: A longitudinal
49 analysis. Journal of School Health, 86(8), 620-627


