

Effects of Socio-Economic and Health Variables on Survival Time of Hiv/Aids Patients in Manipuir

Background: The survival time of patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/ acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) is associated with many socio-economic, education, employment and socio-cultural factors. High prevalence rates of HIV/AIDS are observed in some Indian States including Manipur. Objectives: It is to examine the effects of socio-economic and health related variables on the survival time of HIV and Hepatitis-C virus (HCV) patients. Materials and Methods: Under simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR), it analysed a sample of 200 HIV+ patients attending the ART Centre of Jawaharlal Institute of Medical Science (JNIMS), Imphal during March – July 2016. Using multiple regression models, the significant covariates of the survival time of patients are explored. Findings: The five factors – sex of patient ($P<0.05$), marital status ($P<0.05$), family income ($P<0.05$), patient type ($P<0.01$) and CD4 count ($P<0.05$) are found to be significantly influencing the dynamics of survival duration of the patients. Conclusion: In Manipur, the average survival time of the HIV/ AIDS patients is observed to be about six years varying with their sex, marital status, size of family, employment and CD4 count.

Keywords: Manipur, regression model, sex, marital status, CD4

Introduction

The economic life of HIV/AIDS patients is influenced by various socio-economic, socio-cultural, behavioural and health care factors. In Europe and the USA, low income and low education have been associated with poorer outcomes for several diseases (1-3). In USA, in people with HIV receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART), lower education, unemployment and household poverty are associated with having poorer virological and immunological outcomes. (4-7) HIV-positive populations in UK and Europe also comprise distinct demographic groups, with substantial variation in social circumstances. The association between socio-economic factors and HIV outcomes in the USA might not be generalisable to settings with free universal health care. (7) In the Italian ICoNA cohort study (8) in individuals who had been taking ART for at least 6 months, unemployment was associated with double the risk of virological failure compared with working full-time. The findings of some European studies (7, 9-11) have also shown that lower socio-economic status measured by education, employment, and social support is associated with ART non adherence, but a minority of studies found no evidence. (12)

In this new millennium, HIV/AIDS has become a serious socio-economic and health problem with 33 million people living with HIV virus in globe and 2.4 million people in India in the year 2007. (13) In 2009, the National AIDS Control Organization (NACO) reports that there are 4987 integrated counseling and testing centers (ICTC) and 211 ART centers where ART treatment is given free of cost to

1 over 2 lakh people living with HIV. (14) The quality of life (QOL) of people
2 living with HIV has become a salient issue after increase in availability of anti-
3 retroviral treatment and increase in average life span. The QOL is defined as
4 individuals' perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and
5 value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations,
6 standards and concerns. (15) Patients who are diagnosed late until their CD4 count
7 fell to below 200 and also are estimated at age 20 to have a life expectancy that
8 was at least four years less than those who had a CD4 count of 200-499. (16)
9 When comparisons between the genders were made, it indicated that females
10 scored lower on all the domain of QOL compared with males. (17) In India, the
11 first HIV infection case was detected in 1986 among female sex workers in
12 Chennai. A finding also observed four southern states – Andhra Pradesh,
13 Karnataka, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and two North East States – Manipur and
14 Nagaland were categorized as high-prevalence states. (18) In case of co-infection,
15 IDUs residing in two districts of Manipur that among the 31% of HIV-positive
16 IDUs, 95% were co-infected. (19) Thus, the present study aims to explore the
17 effects of socio-economic and health related factors on the variation in the duration
18 of survival time of patients with HIV and HCV in Manipur.

19
20

21 **Objectives**

22

23 The study is to investigate the economic life of the patients with HIV and
24 HIV+HCV, to examine the effects of covariates influencing the survival duration
25 of the patients after detection of the diseases and also to explore the dependence of
26 patient's survival time on socio-economic and health related variables which are
27 hypothesized to have significant impacts on the survival time.

28

29

30 **Materials and Methods**

31

32 A cross-sectional study was carried out through simple random sampling
33 without replacement (SRSWOR) on 200 HIV+ patients who attended the ART
34 Centre of Jawaharlal Nehru Institute of Medical Sciences (JNIMS), Imphal during
35 March - July 2016. Out of these study subjects, 100 patients were HIV+ only and
36 the other 100 patients were HIV+ with Hepatitis-C virus (HCV) co-infection. The
37 eligibility criteria for the subjects include firstly, the HIV+ patients enrolled in
38 ART centre; secondly, regular or 100% adherence to the program and thirdly,
39 aged between 21-70 years. The exclusion criteria include 1) children with HIV; 2)
40 HIV+ patients with chronic co-morbidity like cancer, diabetes etc.; 3) patients
41 whose adherence to the program is less than 50%; and 4) HIV-patients who are on
42 ART-II program also known as second-line treatment. After completion of each
43 interview the fill-in questionnaire was co-signed by an attending medical officer.
44 As an econometric tool, regression analysis infers the functional relations between
45 patient's survival time (dependent variable) and explanatory variables say socio-
46 economic and health indicators. It is to estimate and/or predict the average values

1 of the dependent variable in terms of known or fixed values of explanatory
 2 variables under analysis. Though regression analysis does not necessarily mean
 3 causation, it reveals the precise causation on the basis of relation supported by the
 4 theory. The binary dummy variables were also used to represent categorical
 5 variables such as sex of patient (male/ female), employment status (employed/
 6 unemployed) etc. The dummy variables take on only two values, 0 and 1 for two
 7 categories. The empirical data was so analysed using SPSS.

10 Variables specification

12 The response variable is considered to be survival time duration of patient (in
 13 year). The longevity of survival time after detection of the disease (HIV/ both HIV
 14 and HCV) is assumed here to be functionally related with only eleven explanatory
 15 variables of interest. They are sex of patient (male = 1 and 0, otherwise that is
 16 female), age of patient (count in year), marital status of patient (currently married
 17 = 1 and 0, otherwise say single, widow, separated etc.), family size (count discrete
 18 number of family members), number of children (count discrete number), status of
 19 respondents employment (employed = 1 and 0 otherwise), monthly family income
 20 of the patient (in '000Rs., ordinal: 1 for <1; 2 for 1-3; 3 for 3-5 and 4 for 5+), type
 21 of patient (patient with HIV = 1 and 0 otherwise that is with both HIV and HCV),
 22 mode of transmission of the disease (sexual = 1 and 0 otherwise – IUD, blood,
 23 vertical, unknown etc.), CD4 count (ordinal: below 200 = 1, 200-500 = 2 and
 24 above 500 = 3) and application of supplementary medicine (yes = 1 and 0
 25 otherwise – no).

28 Functional Relationship and Hypothesis

30 In case of functional relationship, the patient's survival time after detection of
 31 HIV/HCV (Y) is assumed to be a function of eleven variables namely sex of
 32 patient, age, marital status, family size, number of children in the family,
 33 respondents employment, family income, type of patient, mode of transmission of
 34 the disease, CD4 count and application of supplementary medicine. The null
 35 hypothesis (H_0) of the present investigation may be spelt out as $H_0: \beta_i = 0$, each
 36 regression coefficient is zero indicating that the survival time period of the patients
 37 is not influenced by their socio-economic and health related factors as against the
 38 alternative hypothesis (H_1), pronounced by $H_1: \beta_i \neq 0$, that is the survival time is
 39 significantly influenced by the socio-economic and health related factors under
 40 study.

43 Analysis and Results

44 To quantify some qualitative variables, binary dummy variable (0, 1) and
 45 ordinal scale techniques are used and 0.40 was also taken as a cut off zero-order
 46

1 correlation value for scanning the multi-collinearity problems among the
2 explanatory variables. While interpreting the findings measuring the effects of the
3 independent variables on duration of survival time of the patients, the regression
4 coefficient (β) with its 95% confidence interval (CI) and P-values of the t-test for
5 β are used. The probability levels of significance of the effects of independent
6 variables have been advocated by 5% ($P<0.05$) as statistical significance and 1%
7 ($P<0.01$) as highly significance. It is evident that the null hypothesis is rejected in
8 the sense that all regression coefficients (β_i) can not be zero indicating that some
9 of the explanatory variables had significant impacts on the survival time of the
10 patients. It is evidenced by F-value of the regression model, say 3.02 ($P<0.01$)
11 shown in Table - 1. Here, the total variation in the survival time is explained about
12 25% ($R^2=0.251$) by the independent variables in the model. Out of eleven
13 variables only two were observed to have their statistically significant
14 contributions on the variation of patient's survival time in the population. They
15 were patient type that is patients with HIV and that of both HIV and HCV
16 ($P<0.01$) and CD4 count ($P<0.05$). Each statistically significant variable is
17 observed only when adjusted the joint effects of ten remaining variables under
18 investigation. Despite, five independent variables could be detected to have their
19 significant effects on the survival time of the patients in the step-wise regression
20 models.

21 It is observed that the mean patient's survival time is found to be nearly 7
22 years irrespective of effects of the independent variables. The survival time of
23 patient with HIV can extend at least 3 year 5 months ($\beta = 3.44$) from that of the
24 patients with HIV+ and HCV while controlled the joint effect of other ten
25 variables say sex of patient, age of patient, marital status of patient, family size,
26 number of children, status of respondents employment, monthly family income of
27 the patient, mode of transmission of the disease, CD4 count and application of
28 supplementary medicine. This positive effect of type of patient is highly
29 significant as evidenced by the value of t-statistics, 4.28 ($P<0.01$). In the similar
30 manner, the survival time may enhance 2.5 years corresponding to each
31 advancement of one level in CD4 count say from below 200 to 200-500 and again
32 to above 500 when adjusted the joint effects of other ten variables. This increment
33 in the patient's survival time is statistically significant as witnessed by t-statistics
34 (1.99, $P<0.05$). Apart from the statistical significance, the survival time may also
35 visibly enhance 1.5 years in male patient than those in female ($\beta = 1.55$). While
36 controlled the joint effects of ten variables, the survival time may be reduced by
37 eight and half months (0.7 year) in sexual transmission of the disease than those of
38 IUD, blood, vertical, unknown etc. ($\beta = -0.71$). The fitted regression model so
39 obtained is given by the Model - 1 with model diagnostics.

40 To identify more influencing factors on the variation in patient's survival
41 time, backward stepwise regression analysis is again applied. Screening of
42 significant covariates or explanatory variables to the response variable (patient's
43 survival time) has been performed through seven steps (Table - 2). The 1st model
44 is same as above regression model in which the effects of independent variables
45 are explained. The last, 7th model is achieved with five covariates indicating that

1 the patients' survival time is significantly varied with sex of patient, marital status
2 of patients, family income, patient type (HIV/ HCV) and CD4 count. Age of
3 patient is screened out to be lowest insignificant effect in the 2nd model from the 1st
4 model carrying the β -value of -0.002 with absolute t-value, 0.02 ($P>0.05$). The
5 transition of 3rd model from 2nd model can screen out the number of children in the
6 family with β - value of -0.029 ($t= 0.34$, $P>0.05$) along with patient's age ($\beta =$
7 0.003 , $t = 0.04$, $P>0.05$). In this advancement of each model the amount of
8 covariates' effects on survival time duration are also changes. In this way, six less
9 influencing independent variables can be screened out in the last fitted 7th model.

10 In the best fitted 7th model, the duration of patient's survival that is the time
11 duration from date of detection of HIV/AIDS to the survey date is estimated to be
12 at least six years ($\beta = 6.23$) assuming constant the joint effects of five covariates –
13 sex of patient, marital status, family income, patient type (HIV/ both HIV and
14 HCV) and CD4 count. In the similar way, the survival time of male patient can
15 extend about two years ($\beta = 1.99$) from that of female while controlled the joint
16 effects of other four covariates. It means that duration of survival time is
17 significantly influenced by the sex of patient as witnessed by statistics value ($t =$
18 2.39 , $P<0.05$). While controlled the joint effects of four variables the survival
19 duration of currently married patient may be reduced by 1 year and 3 months from
20 those of others say single, widow, separated etc. ($\beta = -1.24$). Keeping constant the
21 joint effects of sex of patient, marital status, type of patient and CD4 count, the
22 survival time is also reduced by seven months while increasing of one member in
23 the patient's family ($\beta = -0.59$). This reduction is found to be statistically
24 significant ($t= 2.37$, $P<0.05$). It is noted that the survival time of patient with HIV
25 can extend at least 3 years ($\beta = 3.19$) from the patient with both HIV and HCV
26 while controlled joint effect of four other covariates. This enhancement of survival
27 time is highly significant as witnessed by t - statistics, 4.29 ($P<0.01$). Lastly, the
28 survival time may also be increased by 2 years 5 months ($\beta = 2.42$) to each
29 increment of one level in CD4 count when adjusted the joint effects of four other
30 variables – sex of patient, marital status of patient, family size and type of patient.

31 32 33 **Discussion**

34
35 In the multiple regression models, out of eleven variables only two were
36 found to have their significant influences on patient's survival time. They were
37 patient type that is patients with HIV and the patients with both HIV and HCV
38 ($P<0.01$) and CD4 count ($P<0.05$) when the joint effects of ten remaining
39 variables are controlled. Despite statistically insignificance, the patients' survival
40 time may also visibly enhance 1.5 years more in male patient than those of female
41 irrespective of joint effects of other ten variables. This view is in association with
42 findings of previous study. (18) In the same manner, the survival time may be
43 reduced eight and half months (0.7 year) in sexual transmission of the disease than
44 those of IUD, blood, vertical, unknown etc. In the last regression model in 7th step,
45 the duration of patient's survival is estimated to be at least six years keeping

1 constant the joint effects of five covariates namely sex of patient, marital status of
 2 patients, family income, patient type and CD4 count. The survival time of male
 3 patient is extended to two years from that of female while controlled the joint
 4 effect of other four covariates – marital status of patient, family size, type of
 5 patient and CD4 count. It means that survival time of the patient after detection of
 6 disease is significantly influenced by the sex of patient. While controlled the joint
 7 effects of four variables, the survival duration of currently married patient may be
 8 reduced by 1 year and 3 months from those of others say single, widow, separated
 9 etc. Keeping constant the joint effects of sex of patient, marital status, type of
 10 patient and CD4 count, the survival time is also significantly reduced by seven
 11 months when increasing of one member in the patient's family. It is incorporated
 12 with the past findings. (1-3, 11) In this analysis, the survival time of the patient
 13 with HIV can extend at least 3 years from the patient with HIV+HCV in the same
 14 manner. As similar as in previous findings (16), the survival duration of patients
 15 may also be increased by 2 years 5 months corresponding to each increment of
 16 one level in CD4 count when adjusted the joint effects of four other variables.

17 Conclusion

18
 19
 20 To the present authors' knowledge, these effects of socio-economic and
 21 health and allied factors on duration of survival time after HIV diagnosed patients
 22 have not been previously provided in India's North Eastern Region particularly in
 23 Manipur, the easternmost state internationally bordering with Myanmar.
 24 Considering the eleven explanatory variables, the present findings could have
 25 health practitioners' prediction to patients about their life expectancies after
 26 detection of HIV/AIDS and also policy makers to estimate expenses and allocate
 27 government funds thereon. Besides, the present results can be helpful for patients
 28 planning and establishing general norms of their expectations or so called survival
 29 duration of time after diagnosis.

30
 31 **Table 1.** Regression coefficients on patient's survival time after detection of HIV/
 32 HCV

Factors	β	t	P-value	95%CI for b	
				Lower	Upper
(Constant)	6.912	2.18	0.031	0.652	13.172
Sex of patient	1.545	1.46	0.147	-0.546	3.636
Age of Patient	-0.001	-0.02	0.982	-0.106	0.103
Marital status of patient	-1.167	-1.55	0.123	-2.652	0.319
Family size	-0.553	-1.74	0.083	-1.179	0.074
Number of children	-0.107	-0.34	0.736	-0.735	0.521
Respondents employment	-0.363	-0.39	0.696	-2.189	1.464
Monthly income	-0.129	-0.45	0.657	-0.702	0.443
Type of patient	3.439	4.28	0.000	1.854	5.023
Mode of transmission	-0.713	-0.69	0.489	-2.743	1.316
CD4 count	2.531	1.99	0.040	-0.004	5.066
Supplementary medicine	0.425	0.54	0.587	-1.116	1.966

33 Model diagnostics: Model $F=3.02$, $P<0.001$; Durbin-Watson=1.95; $R^2=0.251$

1 **Table 2.** *Step-wise regression coefficients of on patient's survival time*

Model	Factors	β	t	P-value	95%CI for b	
					Lower	Upper
1	Constant	6.912	2.178	0.031	0.652	13.172
	Sex of patient	1.545	1.458	0.147	-0.546	3.636
	Age of Patient	-0.001	-0.022	0.982	-0.106	0.103
	Marital status of patient	-1.167	-1.549	0.123	-2.652	0.319
	Family size	-0.553	-1.740	0.083	-1.179	0.074
	Number of children	-0.107	-0.337	0.736	-0.735	0.521
	Respondents employment	-0.363	-0.391	0.696	-2.189	1.464
	Monthly income	-0.129	-0.445	0.657	-0.702	0.443
	Type of patient	3.439	4.281	0.000	1.854	5.023
	Mode of transmission	-0.713	-0.693	0.489	-2.743	1.316
	CD4 count	2.531	1.996	0.040	-0.004	5.066
Supplementary medicine	0.425	0.544	0.587	-1.116	1.966	
2	Constant	6.866	2.866	0.005	2.140	11.592
	Sex of patient	1.545	1.461	0.146	-0.540	3.629
	Marital status of patient	-1.169	-1.575	0.117	-2.633	0.295
	Family size	-0.553	-1.755	0.081	-1.175	0.069
	Number of children	-0.106	-0.339	0.735	-0.723	0.511
	Respondents employment	-0.364	-0.395	0.693	-2.181	1.453
	Monthly income	-0.128	-0.447	0.655	-0.695	0.438
	Type of patient	3.437	4.315	0.000	1.866	5.008
	Mode of transmission	-0.712	-0.695	0.488	-2.735	1.310
	CD4 count	2.529	1.997	0.040	0.006	5.052
	Supplementary medicine	0.423	0.547	0.585	-1.103	1.948
3	Constant	6.992	2.961	0.003	2.335	11.650
	Sex of patient	1.556	1.477	0.141	-0.523	3.635
	Marital status of patient	-1.218	-1.676	0.095	-2.651	0.215
	Family size	-0.616	-2.416	0.017	-1.119	-0.113
	Respondents employment	-0.384	-0.418	0.676	-2.193	1.425
	Monthly income	-0.125	-0.438	0.662	-0.691	0.440
	Type of patient	3.416	4.312	0.000	1.853	4.978
	Mode of transmission	-0.738	-0.723	0.471	-2.750	1.275
	CD4 count	2.580	2.036	0.043	0.080	5.080
Supplementary medicine	0.412	0.534	0.594	-1.109	1.932	
4	Constant	6.725	2.965	0.003	2.251	11.200
	Sex of patient	1.489	1.433	0.154	-0.561	3.538
	Marital status of patient	-1.231	-1.699	0.091	-2.660	0.198
	Family size	-0.604	-2.390	0.018	-1.103	-0.106
	Monthly income	-0.136	-0.478	0.633	-0.698	0.426
	Type of patient	3.395	4.303	0.000	1.839	4.951
	Mode of transmission	-0.731	-0.718	0.473	-2.739	1.277
	CD4 count	2.570	2.033	0.043	0.077	5.064
Supplementary medicine	0.408	0.531	0.596	-1.109	1.925	
5	Constant	6.337	2.997	0.003	2.167	10.508
	Sex of patient	1.531	1.482	0.140	-0.507	3.569
	Marital status of patient	-1.214	-1.681	0.094	-2.638	0.210
	Family size	-0.594	-2.362	0.019	-1.089	-0.098

	Type of patient	3.425	4.364	0.000	1.877	4.973
	Mode of transmission	-0.733	-0.721	0.472	-2.736	1.271
	CD4 count	2.515	2.002	0.047	0.037	4.994
	Supplementary medicine	0.408	0.531	0.596	-1.106	1.922
6	Constant	6.832	3.606	0.000	3.096	10.569
	Sex of patient	1.463	1.429	0.155	-0.556	3.481
	Marital status of patient	-1.267	-1.774	0.078	-2.675	0.142
	Family size	-0.586	-2.339	0.020	-1.080	-0.092
	Type of patient	3.401	4.349	0.000	1.858	4.943
	Mode of transmission	-0.870	-0.887	0.376	-2.804	1.064
7	CD4 count	2.404	1.944	0.053	-0.035	4.843
	Constant	6.226	3.525	0.001	2.743	9.709
	Sex of patient	1.989	2.388	0.018	0.346	3.633
	Marital status of patient	-1.237	-1.735	0.048	-2.643	0.169
	Family size	-0.594	-2.374	0.019	-1.087	-0.101
	Type of patient	3.188	4.286	0.000	1.721	4.655
	CD4 count	2.423	1.961	0.041	-0.014	4.860

1

2

3

References

4

- 5 1. Woods LM, Rachet B, Coleman MP. Origins of socioeconomic inequalities in cancer
6 survival: a review. *Annals of Oncology* 2006; 17(1): 5-19.
- 7 2. Saydah S, Lochner K. Socioeconomic status and risk of diabetes-related mortality in
8 the US. *Public Health Report* 2010; 125(3): 377-88.
- 9 3. Hawkins NM, Jhund PS, McMurray JJ, et al. Heart failure and socioeconomic status:
10 accumulating evidence of inequality. *European Journal Heart Failure* 2012 14(2):
11 138-46.
- 12 4. Shacham E, Nurutdinova D, Onen N, et al. The interplay of socio-demographic
13 factors on virologic suppression among a US outpatient HIV clinic population. *AIDS*
14 *Patient Care STDS* 2010; 24(4): 229-35.
- 15 5. Simoni JM, Yard SS, Huh D. Prospective prediction of viral suppression and
16 immune response nine months after ART initiation in Seattle, WA. *AIDS Care* 2013;
17 25(2): 181-85.
- 18 6. Beer L, Oster AM, Mattson CL, et al. Disparities in HIV transmission risk among
19 HIV-infected black and white men who have sex with men, United States. *AIDS*
20 2014; 28(1): 105-14.
- 21 7. Burch LS, Smith CJ, Phillips AN, et al. Socioeconomic status and response to
22 antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: a literature review. *AIDS* 2016;
23 30(8): 1147- 62.
- 24 8. Saracino A, Lorenzini P, Caputo SL. Increased risk of virologic failure to the first
25 antiretroviral regimen in HIV-infected migrants compared to natives: data from the
26 ICONA cohort. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 2016; 22(3): 288e1-e8.
- 27 9. Moralejo L, Ines S, Marcos M, et al. Factors influencing adherence to highly active
28 antiretroviral therapy in Spain. *Current HIV Research* 2006; 4(2): 221-27.
- 29 10. Glass TR, De Geest S, Weber R. Correlates of self-reported non-adherence to
30 antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *Journal*
31 *of Acquired Immune-deficiency Syndrome* 2006; 41(3): 385-92.

- 1 11. Collazos J, Asensi V, Carton JA, et al. The influence of the patients' educational
2 levels on socioeconomic, clinical, immunological and virological endpoints. *AIDS*
3 *Care* 2009; 21(4): 511-19.
- 4 12. Sherr L, Lampe FC, Clucas C. Self-reported non-adherence to ART and virological
5 outcome in a multiclinic UK study. *AIDS Care* 2010; 22(8): 939-45.
- 6 13. UNAIDS. Report on the global AIDS epidemic, 2005. Available at
7 http://www.unaids.org/en/KnowledgeCentre/HIVData/GlobalReport/2008/2008_Global_report.asp.
8
- 9 14. NACO. Department of AIDS Control, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare,
10 Annual Report 2009.
- 11 15. Anand D, Puri S, Mathew M. Assessment of Quality of Life of HIV-Positive People
12 Receiving ART: An Indian Perspective. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*
13 2012; 37(3): 165-69.
- 14 16. Mohammadi-Moein HR, Maracy MR, Tayeri K. Life expectancy after HIV
15 diagnosis based on data from the counseling center for behavioral diseases. *Journal*
16 *of Research Medical Science* 2013; 18:1040-45.
- 17 17. WHO. WHOQOL-HIV Instrument, Users Manual. Mental Health Evidence and
18 Research Department of Mental Health and Substance Dependence, World Health
19 Organization, Geneva, 2002.
- 20 18. Paranjape RS, Challacombe SJ. HIV/AIDS in India: an overview of the Indian
21 epidemic. *Oral Diseases* 2016; 22 (1): 10-14.
- 22 19. Kermode M, Nuken A, Medhi GK, et al. High burden of hepatitis C and HIV co-
23 infection among people who inject drugs in Manipur, Northeast India. *Indian*
24 *Journal of Medical Research* 2016; 143 (3): 348-56.
- 25