

# **A Research Study on Tobacco Associated Oral Potentially Malignant Disorders (OPMD's) Prevalent in Oral Mucosa of Lumbini Province/District Rupandehi Population of Nepal**

*Background: Tobacco associated Oral mucosal lesions (OML), oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMD's) comprising of oral sub mucous fibrosis, oral leukoplakia, tobacco pouch keratosis, chewer's mucositis, pan encrustation are the most prevalent diseases in Rupandehi District, Lumbini province (Province Five) of Nepal. These OPMD's are usually caused by the consumption of both smokeless and smoked forms of tobacco. Smoking, drinking and chewing of the tobacco products, are the common prevalent habits in Nepal and have been positively associated with oral mucosal lesions. Aim of the research study: With this background, a research study has been conducted to assess the prevalence of OPMD's and their association with pattern of tobacco use, among the patients visiting the outpatient department in Rupandehi district of Nepal. Research methods and material: This descriptive, cross-sectional prevalence study included five hundred participants who visited the Outpatient Department and fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The study data was carried out from August 2003 till April 2024. Institutional Review Committee (IRC) provided the ethical clearance to the study, which was duly obtained along with patient consent that was taken who willingly participated in the study. Self-designed proforma was used for recording demographic details, and WHO Assessment form for oral mucosal lesions were used to record the tobacco use status and findings of the clinical and laboratory investigation. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS version 23, and the mean, frequency, and percentage were calculated along with the level of significance. Research Study Results: The final sample was comprised of 500 cases of which 392 were males and 108 were females. The mean age of the study population was  $58.19 \pm 12.33$  years ( $p < 0.001$ ). Leukoplakia in its varied forms (OPMD) accounted for almost 82% of the sample cases. The lesions from patients aged from 41 to 80 years presented moderate and severe dysplasia more often than lesions from patients in other age groups. The prevalence of tobacco use among study participants was 41.25% and that of the OML (oral mucosal lesions) positively attributed with use of tobacco was 39.28%. Tobacco chewing (areca nut) were significant predictors of oral sub mucous fibrosis in this population. Conclusion: The prevalence study emphasizes the deleterious effects of tobacco use towards oral mucous membrane and also serves as a path for future tobacco cessation programs that would be helpful to prevent OPMD's in the Nepalese population especially in Province V (Rupandehi District) of Nepal.*

**Keywords:** *OPMD's, oral diagnosis, oral leukoplakia, oral sub mucous fibrosis, chewer's mucositis, tobacco cessation*

1 **Introduction**

2

3 Oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMD's) are tissue changes that may  
4 precede squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)<sup>1-3</sup>, a malignant neoplasm that accounts  
5 for 80-90% of all cancers in the oral cavity. The most common disorders in this  
6 group are leukoplakia, speckled leukoplakia, erythroplakia, and oral submucous  
7 fibrosis.<sup>3-7</sup>

8 Considering the various characteristics of OPMD in different populations,  
9 knowledge of their clinical-pathological profile is an important diagnostic tool and,  
10 therefore, may play a role in preventing malignant transformation of these lesions

11 Given the low number of epidemiological studies about this topic in the  
12 Nepalese population especially in Rupandehi District region, this study aimed to  
13 analyze the clinical-pathological features of OPMD diagnosed in Province five of  
14 Nepal.

15

16

17 **Material and Methods**

18

19 A descriptive, cross-sectional prevalence study has been done among five  
20 hundred patients with histopathologically diagnosed oral potentially malignant  
21 disorders, from the period of August 2023 to December 2023 , attending in  
22 outdoor clinic of Department of Oral Medicine, Diagnosis and Radiology ,  
23 Universal College of Medical Sciences (UCMS), Bhairahawa, Lumbini Province  
24 of Nepal.

25

26 *Exclusion Criteria*

27

28 Consecutive sampling technique was used and patient those were already  
29 operated for oral cancer, underwent radio/chemo therapy for oral cancer, with  
30 recurrent cancer and who failed to submit sufficient information were excluded.

31 **Specific Objectives of the study** were to identify current demographics of  
32 oral cancer with risk factors, types, histopathological types and presenting varied  
33 and as well as specific grades and stages.

34 **Ethical clearance was duly obtained** from Institutional Review Board of  
35 Universal College of Medical Sciences and Departmental permission for the study  
36 was granted. The informed written consent was taken from each patient included  
37 in study. Standardized data collection sheet was used to collect the data. Collected  
38 data was summarized and analyzed by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences  
39 (SPSS) Version 24.0 and results were presented and tabulated in table form and  
40 the level of significance Chi square test was used to test the statistically significant  
41 differences with level of p-value<0.05 considered to be statistically significant.

42

43

44

1 **Results**

2

3 The study was carried out in 500 patients of which 78.26% were male  
4 patients and 21.74% were female patients. The most common site was buccal  
5 mucosa 97(59.9%). Other characteristics are duly depicted in Table 1.

6

7 **Table 1. Gender Characteristics of Patient and Prevalent Site of OPMD**

Gender Characteristics & Site Predilection		N (%)
Gender	Male	78.26%
	Female	21.74%
Site of OPMD Oral Potentially Malignant Disorders	Mandibular Lower alveolus	10.5%
	Buccal mucosa	19.0%
	Gingivobuccal sulcus	15.4%
	Lower lip	20%
	Lip and palate	23%
	Lateral border of Tongue	13%
	Retromolar area	16%
	Maxillary alveolar mucosa	10%

8

9 The lateral border of the tongue was the most frequently affected anatomical  
10 site 13%, followed by the lower lip 20%, buccal mucosa/vestibule 19.0%,  
11 mandibular alveolar mucosa 10.5%, and maxillary alveolar mucosa 10%  
12 respectively.

13 Leukoplakia predominantly represented 82% of the sample, followed by  
14 actinic cheilitis 12%, and speckled leukoplakia 6%. Pure erythroplakias were not  
15 found in the sample. Ulceration was described in 10%. The mean size of the  
16 leukoplakias was 13 mm (ranging from 1 to 100 mm) and the mean size of  
17 speckled leukoplakias was 15 mm (ranging from 5 to 30 mm) ( $p=0.460$ ). With  
18 regard to smoking and drinking, 53% of the patients were smokers/ex-smokers and  
19 30% were drinkers.

20 Approximately 63% of the males and 46% of the females reported smoking  
21 ( $p=0.001$ ), and approximately 48% of the males and 15% of the females reported  
22 drinking ( $p<0.0001$ ).

23 Histological analysis showed that 49% showed no dysplasia, and 28%, 12%,  
24 and 11% showed mild, moderate, and severe epithelial dysplasia, respectively. The  
25 anatomical distribution of lesions showed statistically significant differences  
26 according to the sex of the patient ( $p<0.0001$ ).

27 The mean age of the patients did not show statistically significant difference  
28 with regard to the anatomical location of the lesions ( $p=0.207$ ). The anatomical  
29 distribution of the lesions according to the final diagnosis showed statistically  
30 significant difference between leukoplakia and speckled leukoplakia ( $p<0.001$ ). Of  
31 the OPMD diagnosed Leukoplakia, speckled leukoplakia, and actinic cheilitis  
32 presented ulceration in 9%, 10%, and 18% of the cases, respectively ( $p=0.03$ ). The  
33 distribution of the different degrees of dysplasia showed statistically significant  
34 difference between the various anatomical sites where the lesions were found

1 ( $p=0.002$ ). The floor of the mouth and ventral tongue were the anatomical sites  
 2 with the greatest percentage of cases with moderate and severe dysplasia. Oral  
 3 leukoplakias and speckled leukoplakia's presented moderate or severe dysplasia in  
 4 15% and 41% of the cases, respectively ( $p<0.0001$ ).

5 The distribution of the different degrees of dysplasia among the various age  
 6 groups showed that patients aged between 41-60 years, 61-80 years, and older than  
 7 80 years presented moderate and severe dysplasia in 24%, 27%, and 30% of the  
 8 lesions, respectively, contrasting with the patients younger than 40 years old  
 9 ( $p<0.001$ )

## 12 Discussion

13  
 14 OPMD are relatively common, with a worldwide prevalence of 4.4%, while  
 15 leukoplakia alone has a prevalence of 4.1% numerous studies on OPMD have  
 16 been conducted worldwide in the past few years<sup>8-12, 13-14</sup> though not much of these  
 17 studies focused on Nepalese populations in Province V region. Knowledge of the  
 18 social-demographic profile of patients with OPMD in a given population is  
 19 important for understanding the most prevalent risk factors and for outlining  
 20 prevention and early diagnosis strategies. In the present study, male patients made  
 21 up 78.26% of the sample.

22 The predominance of male patients has also been observed in previous  
 23 studies<sup>30-37</sup> on Indian and South East Asian subcontinent populations conducted by  
 24 *Adhikari et al (2015)*<sup>30</sup> *Rahman et al*<sup>31(2018)</sup>, *Habib M et al (2017)*<sup>32</sup>, in other  
 25 worldwide studies<sup>16-23</sup> conducted by *Liu W et al (2011)*<sup>16</sup>, *Mello FW et al (2018)*<sup>18</sup>,  
 26 however, this predominance was not observed in several studies involving  
 27 different populations.

28 Over 80% of the patients were aged between 41 and 80 years, which  
 29 highlights the connection between age and increased risk for developing OPMD,  
 30 as previously reported in the scientific literature<sup>15-19</sup>. It is important to note that the  
 31 age distribution observed in the present study was similar to a descriptive study  
 32 that evaluated 346 cases of SCC between 2005 and 2012 in accordance with *Avon*  
 33 *S and Klieb H (2012)*<sup>22</sup>. In our study, the age of the patients did not show  
 34 statistically significant difference with regard to the anatomical site where the  
 35 lesions were found.

36 Leukoplakias are the most common oral OPMD, with a worldwide incidence  
 37 between 2 and 4%<sup>24-29</sup>. In the present study, 82% of the patients had a diagnosis of  
 38 leukoplakia, similarly to a previous study on a South East Asian Bangladeshi  
 39 population in accordance with findings from *Kumar, M., Nanavati, R., Modi, T et*  
 40 *al (2016)*<sup>25</sup>, *Sultana N and Malik M (2014)*<sup>29</sup>.

41 None of the cases in our sample were diagnosed as pure erythroplakia,  
 42 possibly due to the lack of precise clinical correlation required for a lesion to be  
 43 classified as pure erythroplakia. Cases of oral lichen planus were excluded from  
 44 our sample, due to the difficulties in distinguishing its clinical features from other  
 45 OPMD. Some earlier studies have included cases diagnosed as dysplastic oral

1 lichen planus<sup>33-35</sup>, but lacked detailed individual histological information to  
2 document the malignant transformation process in those lesions.

3 In the present sample, leukoplakia and speckled leukoplakia were more  
4 frequent in females in all anatomical sites, except for the lips. Furthermore, the  
5 frequency of moderate/severe dysplasia in lesions of the lower lip was greater than  
6 the frequency of moderate/severe dysplasia in most of the other anatomical sites,  
7 which corroborates the findings from previously done studies<sup>28,29,31</sup>, and *Rai H*  
8 *Ahmed J et al* (2016)<sup>37</sup>

9 Comparison between the mean size of leukoplakias and of speckled  
10 leukoplakias did not show statistically significant difference. This finding suggests  
11 that the presence of erythroplakia does not appear to be part of the natural  
12 evolution of OPMD. Therefore, the size of the lesion alone is not an indicator of its  
13 potential for malignant transformation. Nonetheless, Speight *et al.*<sup>21</sup> listed lesion  
14 size greater than 200 mm<sup>2</sup> as a clinical parameter associated with increased risk of  
15 malignant transformation in OPMD.

16 The tongue – especially the lateral border – was the most frequently affected  
17 anatomical site, a finding that is in agreement with other studies This site was also  
18 most frequently affected by SCC, according to an earlier study carried out with the  
19 same population .Other studies including from *Anis R (2013)*<sup>33</sup> however, reported  
20 greater frequencies of buccal mucosa or alveolar mucosa involvement.

21 This may be due to population variations, difficulty in distinguishing  
22 leukoplakia from other lesions (for example, reactional hyperkeratosis) clinically  
23 or pathologically, or even inclusion of oral lichen planus lesions in the sample.

24 The degree of dysplasia is based on structural and cytological characteristics  
25 of the epithelium and is one of the findings commonly used to evaluate the risk of  
26 malignant transformation in OPMD.

27 Half the lesions included in this study showed no epithelial dysplasia on  
28 histological analysis but were still considered OPMD as the clinical aspect was  
29 compatible with leukoplakias or leuko-erythroplakias and they could not be  
30 diagnosed as any other oral lesions. In the present study, comparison of the  
31 anatomical location and degree of dysplasia showed that most of the lesions found  
32 on the lateral border of the tongue either did not present dysplasia or only  
33 presented mild dysplasia 52% and 28% of the cases, respectively.

34 The floor of the mouth and ventral tongue were the anatomical sites with the  
35 greatest percentage of moderate 23% and severe dysplasia 16%. These results are  
36 in agreement with previous studies on South East Asian population and reinforce  
37 the notion that OPMD located on the tongue and on the floor of the mouth have  
38 higher risk of malignant transformation.<sup>16, 21,24,29,37</sup>

39 Accordingly, these anatomical sites deserve special attention because they are  
40 the most commonly affected by squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) in this  
41 population.

42 The frequency of severe epithelial dysplasia increased as patient age  
43 increased; no correlation with age was observed for lesions with mild or moderate  
44 dysplasia. This finding supports the theory that the malignant transformation  
45 process is slow and gradual over the years, and that older patients are at greater  
46 risk for malignant transformation than their younger counterparts.

1 In addition, analysis of the degree of dysplasia based on the clinical aspect of  
 2 the lesions revealed that leukoplakias and speckled leukoplakias presented  
 3 moderate or severe dysplasia in 15% and 41% of the cases, respectively  
 4 ( $p < 0.0001$ ), similarly to the findings from previous studies.<sup>18,27,28,34</sup>

5 In conclusion, In Nepalese population oral Leukoplakias were the most  
 6 commonly observed OPMD, followed by Oral submucous fibrosis. The lateral  
 7 border of the tongue, the lower lip, and the buccal mucosa/vestibule were the most  
 8 frequently affected anatomical sites. Lesions found on the floor of the  
 9 mouth/ventral tongue presented the highest frequency of severe epithelial  
 10 dysplasia, and the highest frequency of severe epithelial dysplasia was observed in  
 11 older patients.

## 14 References

- 16 1. Villa A, Gohel A. Oral potentially malignant disorders in a large dental population. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2014;22:473–6.
- 18 2. Johnson NW, Jayasekara P, Amarasinghe AA. Squamous cell carcinoma and precursor lesions of the oral cavity: epidemiology and aetiology. *Periodontology* 2000. 2011;57:19–37.
- 21 3. El-Naggar AK, Chan JKC, Grandis JR, Takata T, Slootweg PJ. WHO Classification of head and neck tumours. 4th ed, Lyon. *International Agency for Research on Cancer.* 2017;66:10–12.
- 24 4. Warnakulasuriya S, Ariyawardana A. Malignant transformation of oral leukoplakia: a systematic review of observational studies. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2016;45:155–66.
- 26 5. Scully C. Challenges in predicting which oral mucosal potentially malignant disease will progress to neoplasia. *Oral Dis.* 2014;20:1–5.
- 28 6. Sarmento DJS, Miguel MCC, Queiroz LM, Godoy GP, da Silveira EJ. Actinic cheilitis: clinicopathologic profile and association with degree of dysplasia. *Int J Dermatol.* 2014;53:466–72.
- 31 7. Kumar S, Debnath N, Ismail MB, Kumar A, Kumar A, Badiyani BK. Prevalence and risk factors for oral potentially malignant disorders in Indian population. *Adv Prev Med.* 2015;2015:208519.
- 34 8. Kavarodi AM, Thomas M, Kannampilly J. Prevalence of oral pre-malignant lesions and its risk factors in an Indian subcontinent low income migrant group in Qatar. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15:4325–9.
- 37 9. Hassona Y, Scully C, Almangush A, Baqain Z, Sawair F. Oral potentially malignant disorders among dental patients: a pilot study in Jordan. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15:10427–31.
- 40 10. Foy JP, Bertolus C, Goudot P, Deneuve S, Blanc E, Lasset C. Bibliometric analysis of a century of research on oral erythroplakia and leukoplakia. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2018;47:388–95.
- 43 11. Dionne KR, Warnakulasuriya S, Zain RB, Cheong SC. Potentially malignant disorders of the oral cavity: current practice and future directions in the clinic and laboratory. *Int J Cancer.* 2015;136:503–15.
- 46 12. Muller S. Oral epithelial dysplasia, atypical verrucous lesions and oral potentially malignant disorders: focus on histopathology. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2018;125:591–602.

- 1 13. Mello FW, Miguel AFR, Dutra KL, Porporatti AL, Warnakulasuriya S, Guerra ENS.  
2 Prevalence of oral potentially malignant disorders: a systematic review and meta-  
3 analysis. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2018;47:633–40.
- 4 14. Pereira JS, Carvalho MV, Henriques ACG, Camara THQ, Miguel MCC, Freitas RA.  
5 Epidemiology and correlation of the clinicopathological features in oral epithelial
- 6 15. Queiroz SIML, Medeiros AMC, Silva JSP, Silveira EJD. Clinical and  
7 histopathological evaluation and habits associated with the onset of oral leukoplakia  
8 and erythroplakia. *J Bras Patol Med Lab.* 2014;50:144–9.
- 9 16. Liu W, Bao ZX, Shi LJ, Tang GY, Zhou ZT. Malignant transformation of oral  
10 epithelial dysplasia: clinicopathological risk factors and outcome analysis in a  
11 retrospective cohort of 138 cases. *Histopathology.* 2011;59:733–40.
- 12 17. Porter S, Gueiros LA, Leão JC, Fedele S. Risk factors and etiopathogenesis of  
13 potentially premalignant oral epithelial lesions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*  
14 *Oral Radiol.* 2018;125:603–11.
- 15 18. Mello FW, Melo G, Meurer MI, Rivero ERC. Intraoral potentially malignant disorders  
16 in a Brazilian oral pathology service: epidemiological, clinical, and histopathological  
17 findings. *J Oncol.* 2018;2018:2325808.
- 18 19. Pires FR, Ramos AB, Oliveira JBC, Tavares AS, Luz PSR, Santos TCRB. Oral  
19 squamous cell carcinoma: clinicopathological features from 346 cases from a single  
20 Oral Pathology service during an 8-year period. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2013;21:460–7.
- 21 20. Gheno JN, Martins MA, Munerato MC, Hugo FN, Sant'ana Filho M, Weissheimer C.  
22 Oral mucosal lesions and their association with sociodemographic, behavioral, and  
23 health status factors. *Braz Oral Res.* 2015;29:1–6.
- 24 21. Speight PM, Khurram AS, Kujan O. Oral potentially malignant disorders: risk of  
25 progression to malignancy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral*  
26 *Radiol.* 2018;125:612–27.
- 27 22. Avon, S. and Klieb, H. (2012). Oral Soft-Tissue Biopsy. *Journal of Canadian Dental*  
28 *Association, 78(c75).*
- 29 23. Hussein, A., Helder, M., de Visscher, J., Leemans, C., Braakhuis, B., de Vet, H. and  
30 Forouzanfar, T. (2017). Global incidence of oral and oropharynx cancer in patients  
31 younger than 45 years versus older patients: A systematic review. *European Journal*  
32 *of Cancer, 82:115-127.*
- 33 24. Hussain, S. (2013). Comprehensive update on cancer scenario of Bangladesh. *South*  
34 *Asian Journal of Cancer, 2(4): 279.*
- 35 25. Kumar, M., Nanavati, R., Modi, T. and Dobariya, C. (2016). Oral cancer: Etiology  
36 and risk factors: A review. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics, 12(2): 458*
- 37 26. Martin, T. and Webster, K. (2012). Lip and oral cavity. In: J. Watkinson and R.  
38 Gilbert, ed., *Textbook of Head and Neck Surgery and Oncology, 5th ed.*
- 39 27. Nunn, H., Lalli, A., Fortune, F. and Croucher, R. (2009). Oral cancer screening in the  
40 Bangladeshi community of Tower Hamlets: a social model. *British Journal of*  
41 *Cancer, 101(S2): 68-S72.*
- 42 28. Saba, N., Goodman, M., Ward, K., Flowers, C., Ramalingam, S., Owonikoko, T.,  
43 Chen, A., Grist, W., Wadsworth, T., Beitler, J., Khuri, F. and Shin, D. (2011).  
44 Gender and Ethnic Disparities in Incidence and Survival of Squamous Cell  
45 Carcinoma of the Oral Tongue, Base of Tongue, and Tonsils: A Surveillance,  
46 Epidemiology and End Results Program-Based Analysis. *Oncology, 81(1):12-20.*
- 47 29. Sultana, N. and Malik, M. (2014). The Overview of Oral Cancer and Risk Factors in  
48 Bangladesh. *International Journal of Dental Sciences and Research, 2(5A): 8-10.*
- 49 30. Adhikari, R.B., Karmacharya A., Malla N., and Gurung M.N (2015), "Oral  
50 Squamous cell Carcinoma Pattern in Manipal Teaching Hospital, Nepal." *American*  
51 *Journal of Public Health Research, vol. 3, no.5A (2015). P 41-43*

- 1 31. Rahman, Q B., Gautam, S., Habib M A. (2018) A Study to Detect Sentinel Lymph  
2 Node by Methylene Blue Dye and Histopathological Confirmation of Metastasis in  
3 Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Patient. *American Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial*  
4 *Surgery*, 5(1), p.13-21
- 5 Shah, J. and Gil, Z. (2009). Current concepts in management of oral cancer – Surgery.  
6 *Oral Oncology*, 45(4-5): 394.
- 7 32. Habiab m A., Rahman Q B., Imon A A., Kundu G C. Effectiveness of Preoperative  
8 lymphoscintigraphy for the detection of cervical lymph node metastasis in patient  
9 with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Ann Maxillofac Surg.*2017, 7, p30-36
- 10 33. Anis, R. and Gaballah, K. (2013). Oral cancer in the UAE: a multicenter,  
11 retrospective study. *Libyan Journal of Medicine*, 8(1), p.21782.
- 12 34. Dhanuthai, K., Rojanawatsirivej, S., Thosaporn, W., Kintarak, S., Subarnbhesaj, A.,  
13 Darling, M., Kryshalskyj, E., Chiang, C., Shin, H., Choi, S., Lee, S. and Shakib, P.  
14 (2017). Oral cancer: A multicenter study. *Medicina Oral Patología Oral y*  
15 *Cirugia Bucal*, pp.0-0.
- 16 Sharma, A., Kim, J. and Paeng, J. (2018). Clinical analysis of neck node metastasis in oral  
17 cavity cancer. *Journal of the Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons*,  
18 44(6), p.282.
- 19 35. Thomas, B., Stedman, M. and Davies, L. (2013). Grade as a prognostic factor in oral  
20 squamous cell carcinoma: A population-based analysis of the data. *The*  
21 *Laryngoscope*, 124(3), pp.688-694.
- 22 36. Sharma, A., Kim, J. and Paeng, J. (2018). Clinical analysis of neck node metastasis  
23 in oral cavity cancer. *Journal of the Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial*  
24 *Surgeons*, 44(6), p.282.
- 25 37. Rai, H. and Ahmed, J. (2016). Clinicopathological Correlation Study of Oral  
26 Squamous Cell Carcinoma in a Local Indian Population. *Asian Pacific Journal of*  
27 *Cancer Prevention*, 17(3), pp.1251-1254.