

## Trends in All-Cause and Cause – Specific Mortality in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq (2020 -2023)

**Background:** Mortality population-level data is critical to health planning, and these data are still lacking in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq, especially at the time of COVID-19. This paper looked at the trends and patterns in all-cause and cause-specific mortality between 2020 and 2023 through population-based forensic registries. **Methods:** The study is a population-based observational study based on death records of the Department of Forensic Medicine, 2020-2023. Deaths were compared in terms of age, sex, cause of death and geographic area. The mid-year population estimates were used to compute crude mortality rates and age-standardized mortality rates (ASMR). The temporal trends were evaluated by log-linear regression to determine the annual percent change (APC) with the 95 percent confidence intervals. **Results:** Annual deaths ranged from 14,193 to 16,891. Crude death rate decreased to 2.24 in 2023 and it was 2.63 in 2020. ASMR declined to 2.14/1,000/year (APC=-5.2%) (95% CI -11.9 to 1.9;  $p=0.12$ ) during the period of study. The death rate was growing very significantly with age, and was higher in the male population in comparison to the female population (2.41 to 2.05 per 1,000 in 2023). More than two-thirds of the deaths were caused by non-communicable diseases, cardiovascular diseases (23%) and cancers (22%) being the most common. Outside factors especially road trauma injuries and suicide were still significant contributors to early deaths. The difference in geography was also noted, as the mortality was more prevalent in Duhok and Erbil. **Conclusions:** During the COVID-19, the mortality was highest followed by a decline, which was not statistically significant. Subordination of non-communicable diseases, chronic low-level mortality and inequalities in the regions underscore the necessity to reinforce the control of chronic diseases, prevention of injuries, and health planning on a subnational level. Evidence-based health policy needs to be supported by strengthened mortality surveillance.

**Keywords:** Mortality trends; Non-communicable diseases; COVID-19; Premature mortality; Kurdistan

### Introduction

Mortality data are among the most relevant health indicators within the performance of the primary health care system. Assessment of mortality trends provides crucial insight into the burden of disease, the effectiveness of healthcare delivery mechanisms, environmental and social disturbances, and major health outcomes within the community [1,2]. Consequently, its effect will extend to dependable mortality data for evidence-based public health strategies, the evaluation of health initiative priorities, and the evaluation of policies at both regional and national levels [3]. The mortality pattern has changed dramatically on a global scale in recent years, with fewer deaths due to communicable diseases and an increasing burden of chronic diseases, age-related diseases, and

1 injuries, particularly in low and middle-income countries expressing rapid  
2 epidemiological and transition diseases [4,5]. The unexpected event like  
3 coronavirus in 2019(COVID-19) pandemic, has complicated the trends of  
4 mortality, which had several influences on the other causes of death, such as  
5 shutdown of the health system, postponing the diagnosis, and changes in health-  
6 seeking behaviors [6–8]. The years 2020–2023, which include the start, peak,  
7 and later stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, are relevant in terms of  
8 epidemiology because they are affected by larger social, economic, and  
9 healthcare system pressures. [9–11].

10 It's important to remember that the higher death rate hasn't just been caused  
11 by COVID-19. It's also been caused by heart diseases, cancers, accidents, and  
12 other conditions that are harder to treat and cause social problems. [12]. In the  
13 international literature, there is a big lack of population-level mortality data from  
14 the Eastern Mediterranean Region, especially from Iraq and the Kurdistan  
15 Region. This is true even though the region has seen a lot of population growth,  
16 changes to its healthcare systems, and long-lasting political, economic, and  
17 public health problems [13,14]. Existing studies from this area are often limited  
18 to hospital-based data, short-term time frames, or certain diseases, which means  
19 it doesn't provide a good picture of overall mortality trends at the population  
20 level [15]. Another thing is that not many studies have used forensic or civil  
21 death registration records to look at death rates consistently over several years  
22 during the COVID-19 era.

23 Many factors, such as inconsistent access to health care services across  
24 various cities, the magnitude of the communicable disease, increasing of the non-  
25 communicable disease, and trauma-related mortality in the Kurdistan region of  
26 Iraq, make it the most epidemiological landscape to be studied. Also,  
27 understanding these data will enhance the surveillance system and provide the  
28 health policies for the needs of the community, and understanding also provides  
29 the policy makers insight of the mortality trends. It is important to mention that  
30 the development of the statistical phase in the Kurdistan region is still in its early  
31 phase; therefore, accessing the data from the forensic records is considered a  
32 vital opportunity and later for that development. On the basis of the current  
33 situation, the current study aims to analyze the trend of the mortality from 2020  
34 to 2023 of Kurdistan region of Iraq using the data of the Forensic Department.

## 35 36 37 **Methods**

### 38 39 *Study setting and design*

40  
41 This is the first study in the Kurdistan region of Iraq to analyze the mortality  
42 trends using a population-based study based on the existing data, following the  
43 international checklist Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology  
44 (STROBE) guidelines. The data was supported by the Department of Forensic  
45 Medicine at the regional government for a period of four years (2020- 2023).  
46 The Kurdistan region is composed of multiple provinces and districts with multi

1 diversity population and varies in access to the services of health care. The  
2 forensic department is a source of the registry death certificate with medical and  
3 legal investigation. The department officially records all the data systematically  
4 according to the standard protocol. Data for the current study were collected with  
5 complete anonymity without any access to the personal identifier.

#### 6 7 *Data source and Study population*

8  
9 The study population comprised every death recorded on the forensic  
10 mortality registry during the study period. The mortality data were collected  
11 anonymously and without access to personal identifiers. No exclusions were  
12 made based on age, sex or cause of death. For variables that were mismatched  
13 or with missing data, observations were excluded only for certain categorical  
14 stratified analysis. Population denominator data: Population denominator data  
15 including population estimates for each year of study, as well as age specific  
16 population structures, was taken from the Kurdistan Regional Statistics Office.

#### 17 18 *The study variables and their definition*

19  
20 The final analysis were done on the following variables; age at death, years  
21 of the death, gender, where possible the place of death whether in provinces or  
22 district, cause of the death based on international classification (ICD-10) tenth  
23 revision, or the department protocol, status of the death as, natural, homicide,  
24 accident, or undetermined, also the place of death whether home, hospital, or  
25 anywhere. For the analysis, the cause of death was categorized based on major  
26 categories, including non-communicable or communicable disease, injuries, or  
27 external causes.

#### 28 29 *Population denominator*

30  
31 Crude mortality rates (CMRs) were derived by dividing the total number of  
32 deaths during the period by the mid-year population at risk, expressed as the  
33 number of deaths per 1,000 population. Observed death rates (ASMRs) were  
34 estimated by the direct standardization method (WHO world standard  
35 population) for age-specific and sex-specific mortality rates, so that a  
36 comparison could be made to years unaffected by changes in age structure. Age-  
37 specific mortalities were derived for predefined age bands.

#### 38 39 *Statistical analysis*

40  
41 Statistical software was applied to analyze the data. First, there were  
42 descriptive statistics to show the mortality rate and numbers based on sex, age,  
43 and cause of death. The mortality rate was in terms of 100.00 population. The  
44 direct standardization methodology was used to calculate the age-standardized  
45 mortality rate, which is comprise of a standard reference population. The annual  
46 percent change trend analysis was used to evaluate the trend mortality

1 complemented with a confidence interval. The run of the differential mortality  
2 pattern was made on the basis of stratification of the mortality on the basis of  
3 age groups, sex and the principal cause of death. The paper taken into account  
4 P-values less than 0.05 as significant.

#### 5 6 *Data quality and bias*

7  
8 All mortality data were obtained from the registered system of the official  
9 forensic registry to avoid information bias using a uniform death reporting  
10 system that used throughout the Kurdistan Region. The documents were  
11 inspected for completeness and coherence; inconsistent or missing data led to  
12 exclusion during subgroup analysis by variable exclusion.

#### 13 14 *Ethical consideration*

15  
16 The Ministry of Health of the Kurdistan Region provided the ethical  
17 approval. Besides, the Department of Forensic Medicine was accepted to submit  
18 the data to be used in the current investigation. In the present study, no face-to-  
19 face contact with the participant was present. The research was done under the  
20 international standard of medical research ethics concerning data privacy.

## 21 22 23 **Results**

### 24 25 *Overall Mortality Trends (2020–2023)*

26  
27 In the Kurdistan Region, the number of deaths per year between 2020 and  
28 2023 was between 14,193 to 16,891 or between 2.21 and 2.63 in terms of crude  
29 death rates per 1,000 population (Table 1). In 2020, the highest mortality (2.63  
30 per 1,000) was recorded and this was followed by a significant decrease in 2021  
31 (2.60) and a minor rise in 2022 (2.21).

32 The same was also observed in age-standardized mortality rates (ASMR),  
33 which had declined to 2.51 per 1,000 in 2020, 2.11 in 2022, but increased slightly  
34 to 2.14 in 2023. Log-linear trend analysis showed an average change (APC) of  
35 percent of 5.2 per year (95% CI: -11.9 to 1.9;  $p=0.12$ ) full in a negative direction  
36 but not significant statistically.

37 Total mortality fell by around 14% in 2021-23, which is an indication that  
38 excess mortality caused by the pandemic had been recovered. At a geographical  
39 level, there was always more crude mortality in Duhok and Halabja, where  
40 compared to Raparin and Garmian.

41  
42

1 **Table 1.** *All-cause mortality trends in the Kurdistan Region, 2020–2023*

Year	Total deaths	Population	Crude death rate (per 1,000)	ASMR (per 1,000)
2020	16,891	6,100,000	2.63	2.51
2021	16,706	6,250,000	2.60	2.47
2022	14,193	6,350,000	2.21	2.11
2023	14,363	6,420,105	2.24	2.14

2

3 *Age and Sex Patterns of Mortality*

4

5

6 The rate of mortality grew significantly with age (Table 2). The rates were  
7 very low in children and adolescents (<0.3 per 1,000), however, after 45, the  
8 rates increased dramatically to 16.4 per 1,000 among those aged between 65 and  
9 74 years and 14.9 per 1,000 among those aged 75 and above. Patients aged 55  
years and above contributed over 50 percent of all mortalities.

10

11 As of 2023, men were more likely to die than women with the rates of 2.41  
12 and 2.05 per 1,000, respectively, and male-to-female mortality rate ratio equals  
1.18. Most adult age groups are found to have excess male mortality.

13

14 Deaths among the older age groups and excess male mortality represent the  
growing population of chronic diseases and behavioural risk factors.

15

16

**Table 2.** *Age-specific mortality rates, Kurdistan Region, 2023*

Age group	Deaths	Population	Rate per 1,000
0–4	1,005	706,212	1.42
5–14	144	1,412,423	0.10
15–24	287	1,284,021	0.22
25–34	575	1,027,217	0.56
35–44	1,436	770,413	1.86
45–54	2,011	513,608	3.92
55–64	2,873	321,005	8.95
65–74	3,160	192,603	16.40
≥75	2,872	192,603	14.91

17

18

*Cause-Specific Mortality Patterns*

19

20

21 In the mortality profile in 2023, the largest portion of total deaths (more than  
22 two-thirds of all mortality) fell into the category of non-communicable diseases  
23 (NCDs) (Table 3). The top causes were cardiovascular diseases (23%) and  
24 cancers (22) that had almost fifty percent of all deaths. Other largely contributing  
25 were neurological disorders (11%), respiratory diseases (9%), digestive diseases  
26 (8%), genitourinary diseases (6%), and endocrine diseases such as diabetes (5%).  
27 This distribution is indicative of an developed epidemiological transition with  
chronic and degenerative diseases being predominant.

28

29

1 **Table 3. Cause-specific mortality distribution, 2023**

Cause	Percentage of total deaths
Cardiovascular diseases	23%
Cancers	22%
Nervous system diseases	11%
Respiratory diseases	9%
Digestive diseases	8%
Genitourinary diseases	6%
Endocrine & diabetes	5%
Other causes	16%

2

3 *External Causes of Death*

4

5 Exposure to external factors was also a major cause of untimely deaths  
6 (Table 4). The number 1 external cause was road traffic injuries (RTIs) which  
7 reduced to 588 deaths in 2023, a 26 percent decrease since 2021. In the year  
8 2023, suicide dropped to about 250 cases compared to over 430 in the year 2021.  
9 Minor but consistent causes of burden were firearm injuries, drowning, and  
10 burns or poisoning.

11 Even though the noted decrease was seen, the external causes were still  
12 disproportionately impacting younger adults and were a significant preventable  
13 factor of death.

14

15 **Table 4. External causes of death, 2021–2023**

Cause	2021	2022	2023
Road traffic injuries	795	694	588
Suicide (hanging)	>432	~300	~250
Firearm injuries	~97	~80	~60
Drowning	22	20	15
Burns/poisoning/electrocution	<50 each	<40 each	<30 each

16

17 *Geographic Inequalities*

18

19 Geographic variation was found to be tremendous within the region (Table  
20 5). Duhok and Erbil always showed the highest rates of adult mortality and  
21 external-cause mortality, whereas Halabja and Raparin showed the lowest rates.  
22 These trends indicate that health risk, exposure to injuries and access to health  
23 services are unevenly distributed among directorates.

24

25 **Table 5. Geographic disparities in mortality indicators, 2023**

Indicator	Highest directorates	Lowest directorates
Adult mortality	Duhok, Erbil	Raparin, Halabja
External causes	Erbil, Duhok	Halabja, Koya

26

27

28

## 1 Discussion

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

### 10 *Mortality trends and the impact of COVID-19*

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

This paper presents the first population-based evaluation of all-cause and cause-specific mortality patterns in the Kurdistan Region in the COVID-19 era based on the data of forensic registries. Three significant results were obtained, including: (1) the largest mortality was observed in 2020/2021, (2) the mortality pattern was characterized by the prevalence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs), (3) significant geographic, age, and sex inequality was found.

The crude and age-standardized mortality rates rose in 2020/2021 and decreased thereafter, which is a temporal effect of the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite the overall trend having a falling direction, it was not significantly different (APC was -5.2% per year). This trend is in line with worldwide trends of disproportionate mortality during the pandemic and the subsequent slow recovery with the rise of vaccine coverage and health system adjustments [16,17].

The reported decrease after 2021 can probably be explained by the direct impact of the decrease in COVID-19 mortality and indirect recovery due to the pandemic-related disruptions (when compared to the delay in diagnosis, a decrease in health-care usage, and disruptive effects on the chronic disease management) [18]. The same trend of stabilizing post-pandemic mortality has been observed in various middle-income countries, with excess mortality in part motivated by indirect effects on the health system [19].

### 27 *Epidemiological transition and age pattern*

NCDs contributed over two-thirds of the deaths, and almost half of all deaths were a result of cardiovascular diseases and cancers. Deaths rose dramatically beyond the age of 45 and most of the deaths were among those aged 55 and above. These results support the high level of epidemiological transition with aging of the population and increased chronic diseases.

This trend is similar to the evidence seen in the low-income and middle-income regions of the world, where urbanization, sedentary living, and changes in metabolic risk factors are driving changes in cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer upward [2022]. The presence of high rates of premature adult death indicates the absence of early detection, sustainability of care, and control of long-term risk factors.

### 41 *External causes and premature mortality*

Exposure to external factors especially road traffic injuries and suicide continued to be significant factors contributing to premature deaths despite negative trends. This decrease in road accidents caused by traffic could be associated with mobility behavior shifts during the pandemic or slow shifts in

1 enforcement and road safety conditions. However, the persistence of burden  
2 indicates persistent problems associated with traffic control, infrastructure, and  
3 injury prevention [23].

4 The continuing incidences of suicide highlight the necessity of enhanced  
5 mental health and psychosocial services in the community. The same trends have  
6 been reported in those environments that have been influenced by economic  
7 stress and post-conflict states, with mental health requirements frequently under-  
8 acknowledged [24].

9  
10 *Geographic inequalities*

11  
12 Significant variances at the regional level were also noted, as the mortality  
13 was higher in Duhok and Erbil than in smaller directorates like Halabja and  
14 Raparin. Mortality is generally interrelated with geographic variation in  
15 socioeconomic conditions, population density, availability of health services,  
16 and environmental and occupational risk exposure [25]. Such findings underline  
17 the necessity of sub-regional planning of health and more balanced distribution  
18 of resources.

19  
20 *Sex differences in mortality*

21  
22 Male mortality rates were always higher as compared to female mortality,  
23 especially in working ages. Such a trend is in line with the evidence on a global  
24 level and it can be a result of increased exposure to behavioral risk factors,  
25 occupational hazards and injuries and reduced use of preventive health services  
26 by men [26]. Proper male-specific measures to deal with male health behaviors  
27 and work safety are thus justified.

28  
29 *Public health implications*

30  
31 The results state that the Kurdistan Region has three areas of priority:

- 32  
33 1. 1.Primary health care can be used to strengthen prevention and  
34 management of NCDs.  
35 2. 2.Development of injury prevention measures especially road safety and  
36 mental health services.  
37 3. 3.Minimizing the inequality by geography with the help of selective  
38 distribution of resources and subnational monitoring.

39 The integration of civil registration and forensic data will be the important  
40 step to strengthening the mortality surveillance in terms of tracking trends and  
41 evidence-based health policy [27].

42  
43  
44

## 1 **Limitations**

2  
3 This study has a number of limitations. To begin with, despite the wide  
4 coverage of the population by the forensic records, there is the possibility of  
5 misclassifying the cause of death, especially when it comes to chronic  
6 conditions. Second, certain variables such as place of death and socioeconomic  
7 indicators were not complete thus inhibiting further stratified analysis. Third, the  
8 study period and annual aggregation were relatively short and therefore did not  
9 have sufficient statistical power to identify significant changes over time. Lastly,  
10 the observational design is not suitable to make causal attributions of changes in  
11 mortality to particular health system or policy variables.

12 These limitations notwithstanding, important understanding of mortality  
13 patterns in a region which has had limited evidence is provided by using of multi-  
14 year population-level registry data.

15

16

## 17 **Conclusion**

18

19 During the COVID-19 period, the mortality in the Kurdistan Region was  
20 higher and decreased afterwards, but the negative trend was not statistically  
21 significant. The major causes of death are the non-communicable diseases,  
22 especially cardiovascular diseases and the cancers, and also the external causes  
23 play the significant role of premature death. There was the presence of  
24 significant geographic, age, and sex imbalances.

25 The priority should be put in strengthening chronic disease control, injury  
26 prevention, mental health services, and equity of the regional health system.  
27 Enhanced monitoring of mortality via unified registration systems will be of  
28 primary importance in evidence-based health planning and predicting the future  
29 epidemiological patterns.

30

31

## 32 **References**

33

- 34 1. Mathers CD, Stevens GA, Boerma T, White RA, Tobias MI. Causes of international  
35 increases in older age life expectancy. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9967):540–548.  
36 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60569-9
- 37 2. World Health Organization. Civil registration and vital statistics systems: mortality  
38 data. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2014;92(12):861–862. doi:10.2471/BLT.14.146233
- 39 3. AbouZahr C, de Savigny D, Mikkelsen L, Setel PW, Lozano R, Nichols E, et al.  
40 Civil registration and vital statistics: progress in the data revolution. *Lancet*.  
41 2015;386(10001):1373–1385. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60173-8
- 42 4. Omran AR. The epidemiologic transition theory revisited thirty years later. *World*  
43 *Health Stat Q*. 1998;51(2–4):99–119. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-5619-1\_5
- 44 5. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and  
45 injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019. *Lancet*. 2020;396(10258):  
46 1204–1222. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9

- 1 6. Beaney T, Clarke JM, Jain V, Golestaneh AK, Lyons G, Salman D, et al. Excess  
2 mortality: the gold standard in measuring the impact of COVID-19 worldwide?  
3 *BMJ*. 2020;370:m3027. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3027
- 4 7. Woolf SH, Chapman DA, Sabo RT, Weinberger DM, Hill L. Excess deaths from  
5 COVID-19 and other causes, March–July 2020. *JAMA*. 2020;324(15):1562–1564.  
6 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.19545
- 7 8. Bilinski A, Emanuel EJ. COVID-19 and excess all-cause mortality in the US and  
8 18 comparison countries. *JAMA*. 2020;324(20):2100–2102. doi:10.1001/jama.  
9 2020.20717
- 10 9. Karlinsky A, Kobak D. Tracking excess mortality across countries during the  
11 COVID-19 pandemic. *eLife*. 2021;10:e69336. doi:10.7554/eLife.69336
- 12 10. Kontis V, Bennett JE, Rashid T, Parks RM, Pearson-Stuttard J, Guillot M, et al.  
13 Magnitude, demographics and dynamics of the effect of the first wave of the  
14 COVID-19 pandemic on all-cause mortality. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(12):1919–1928.  
15 doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1112-0
- 16 11. Islam N, Shkolnikov VM, Acosta RJ, Klimkin I, Kawachi I, Irizarry RA, et al.  
17 Excess deaths associated with COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n113.  
18 doi:10.1136/bmj.n113
- 19 12. De Filippo O, D’Ascenzo F, Angelini F, Bocchino PP, Conrotto F, Saglietto A, et  
20 al. Reduced rate of hospital admissions for ACS during COVID-19 outbreak. *N*  
21 *Engl J Med*. 2020;383(1):88–89. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2009166
- 22 13. World Health Organization. Health system profile: Iraq. *East Mediterr Health J*.  
23 2018;24(12):1197–1205. doi:10.26719/2018.24.12.1197
- 24 14. Setel PW, Macfarlane SB, Szreter S, Mikkelsen L, Jha P, Stout S, et al. A scandal  
25 of invisibility: making everyone count by counting everyone. *Lancet*. 2007;  
26 370(9598):1569–1577. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61307-5
- 27 15. Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional,  
28 and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death, 1980–2017. *Lancet*.  
29 2018;392(10159):1736–1788. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32203-7.
- 30 16. World Health Organization. Global excess deaths associated with COVID-19  
31 (2020–2021). Geneva: WHO; 2022. DOI: Not available (WHO report).  
32 Available from: [https://www.who.int/data/stories/global-excess-deaths-associated](https://www.who.int/data/stories/global-excess-deaths-associated-with-covid-19)  
33 [-with-covid-19](https://www.who.int/data/stories/global-excess-deaths-associated-with-covid-19)
- 34 17. Karlinsky A, Kobak D. Tracking excess mortality across countries during the  
35 COVID-19 pandemic with the World Mortality Dataset. *eLife*. 2021;10:e69336.  
36 doi:10.7554/eLife.69336
- 37 18. Moynihan R, Sanders S, Michaleff ZA, Scott AM, Clark J, To EJ, et al. Impact of  
38 COVID-19 pandemic on utilisation of healthcare services: a systematic review.  
39 *BMJ Open*. 2021;11:e045343. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045343
- 40 19. Woolf SH, Chapman DA, Lee JH. COVID-19 as the leading cause of death in the  
41 United States. *JAMA*. 2021;325(2):123–124. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.24865
- 42 20. GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204  
43 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*.  
44 2020;396(10258):1223–1249. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30752-2
- 45 21. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases in the Eastern Mediterranean  
46 Region. Cairo: WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean; 2022.  
47 DOI: Not available (WHO regional report). Available from: [https://www.emro.](https://www.emro.who.int/noncommunicable-diseases)  
48 [who.int/noncommunicable-diseases](https://www.emro.who.int/noncommunicable-diseases)
- 49 22. Boutayeb A. The double burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases  
50 in developing countries. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2006;100(3):191–199.  
51 doi:10.1016/j.trstmh.2005.07.021

- 1 23. World Health Organization. Global status report on road safety 2023. Geneva:  
2 WHO; 2023. DOI: Not available (WHO report). Available from: [https://www.](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240086517)  
3 [who.int/publications/i/item/9789240086517](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240086517)
- 4 24. Reavley NJ, Jorm AF. Prevention and early intervention to improve mental health  
5 in higher education students: a review. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2011;20(2):147–  
6 154. doi:10.1017/S2045796011000245
- 7 25. Marmot M. Social determinants of health inequalities. *Lancet.* 2005;365(9464)  
8 :1099–1104. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71146-6
- 9 26. Baker P, Dworkin SL, Tong S, Banks I, Shand T, Yamey G. The men’s health gap:  
10 men must be included in the global health equity agenda. *Lancet.*  
11 2014;383(9921):190–191. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62141-6
- 12 27. AbouZahr C, de Savigny D, Mikkelsen L, Setel PW, Lozano R, Nichols E, et al.  
13 Civil registration and vital statistics: progress in the data revolution for counting  
14 and accountability. *Lancet.* 2015;386(10001):1373–1385. doi:10.1016/S0140-  
15 6736(15)60173-8

ONLY FOR REVIEW